GENERATING FUNCTIONS FOR A CLASS OF q-POLYNOMIALS

Ву

H.M. SRIVASTAVA and A.K. AGARWAL

DM-426-IR

SEPTEMBER 1986

<sup>1980</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 33A30, 33A65; Secondary 11B65.

Key words and phrases. Generating functions, q-polynomials, classical orthogonal polynomials, q-series, hypergeometric identities, quadratic transformations, special functions, q-Pfaff transformation, Kummer's summation theorem, Gauss's second theorem, Pfaff-Saalschütz theorem, basic (or q-) hypergeometric function, Gaussian polynomial (or q-binomial coefficient), q-binomial theorem, q-Laguerre polynomials, little q-Jacobi polynomials, q-Hahn polynomials, q-Meixner polynomials, q-Charlier polynomials, Heine's transformation, confluent hypergeometric function, q-Hermite polynomials, q-summation formula, q-hypergeometric polynomials.

#### ABSTRACT

Some simple ideas are used here to prove a theorem on generating functions for a certain class of q-polynomials. This general theorem is then applied to derive a fairly large number of known as well as 'new generating functions for the familiar q-analogues of various polynomial systems including, for example, the classical orthogonal polynomials of Hermite, Jacobi, and Laguerre. A number of other interesting consequences of the theorem are also discussed.

## 1. INTRODUCTION, NOTATIONS, AND THE MAIN RESULT

A great surge of activities in the theory of q-series and q-polynomials has been witnessed in recent years. Various q-extensions of well-known hypergeometric identities and quadratic transformations have recently been obtained by several workers. These q-extensions are known to have important applications in many areas of pure as well as applied mathematics, physics, and engineering. Workers in the field of q-series and q-polynomials are realizing the need of extending all the important results involving special functions to hold for their q-analogues. With this objective in mind, we prove a general theorem on generating functions for an important class of q-polynomials, and then apply this theorem not only to derive q-extensions of several familiar generating functions, but also to deduce (for example) Jackson's q-Pfaff transformation [8] which Andrews [3, p. 527] used to prove q-analogues of Kummer's summation theorem and Gauss's second theorem, Hahn's q-analogue [7] of Kummer's first formula, and Jackson's q-analogue [9] of the celebrated Pfaff-Saalschütz theorem.

For real or complex q, |q| < 1, let

(1.1) 
$$(\lambda;q)_{\mu} = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1 - \lambda q^{j}}{1 - \lambda q^{\mu+j}} \right)$$

for arbitrary  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , so that

$$\begin{cases} \left(\lambda; q\right)_{0} = 1; \ \left(\lambda; q\right)_{n} = (1-\lambda)(1-\lambda q) \cdots (1-\lambda q^{n-1}), \ \forall n \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}, \ \text{and} \\ \left(\lambda; q\right)_{\infty} = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1-\lambda q^{j}). \end{cases}$$

Define, as usual, a generalized basic (or q-) hypergeometric function by (cf. [11, Chapter 3]; see also [13, p. 347, Equation (272)])

(1.3) 
$$p+1^{\Phi}p+r \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{1}, \dots, \alpha_{p+1}; \\ \beta_{1}, \dots, \beta_{p+r}; \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} \frac{(\alpha_{1};q)_{n} \cdots (\alpha_{p+1};q)_{n}}{(\beta_{1};q)_{n} \cdots (\beta_{p+r};q)_{n}} \frac{z^{n}}{(q;q)_{n}},$$

where, for convergence, |q| < 1 and  $|z| < \infty$  when r is a positive integer, |z| < 1 when r = 0, provided that no zeros appear in the denominator.

We shall also need the Gaussian polynomial (or q-binomial coefficient) defined, for all non-negative integers n and k, by (see, e.g., [4, p. 35])

(1.4) 
$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ k & \frac{1-q^{n-j+1}}{1-q^j}, & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0, & \text{if } k > n. \end{cases}$$

For a non-negative integer m, the familiar q-binomial theorem (cf. [4, p. 17, Theorem 2.1])

(1.5) 
$$1^{\Phi_0} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\lambda}; \\ q, t \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda;q)_n}{(q;q)_n} t^n = \frac{(\lambda t;q)_{\infty}}{(t;q)_{\infty}}, \quad |t| < 1, \quad |q| < 1$$

can be rewritten at once as

(1.6) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\lambda; q\right)_{m+n}}{\left(q; q\right)_{n}} t^{n} = \frac{\left(\lambda; q\right)_{m}}{\left(\lambda t; q\right)_{m}} \frac{\left(\lambda t; q\right)_{\infty}}{\left(t; q\right)_{\infty}}, \quad |t| < 1, \quad |q| < 1,$$

which, in view of (1.2), yields (1.5) when m=0 (or when  $\lambda$  is replaced by  $\lambda q^{-m}$ ). Making use of (1.6), we shall prove the following

THEOREM. In terms of a bounded complex sequence  $\left\{S_{n,q}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  generated by

(1.7) 
$$F_{\omega}(\lambda,\mu,q,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda;q)_{\omega n}}{(\lambda\mu;q)_{\omega n}(q;q)_{\omega n}} S_{n,q} t^{n},$$

define a family of basic (or q-) polynomials  $\left\{f_{n,N}(x;q)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  by

(1.8) 
$$f_{n,N}(x;q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/N \rfloor} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ Nk \end{bmatrix} S_{k,q} x^{k} \qquad (n = 0,1,2,...),$$

where N is a positive integer.

Then

(1.9) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda;q)_n}{(q;q)_n} f_{n,N}(x;q) t^n = \frac{(\lambda t;q)_{\infty}}{(t;q)_{\infty}} F_N(\lambda,t,q,xt^N),$$

provided that each side exists, |t| < 1, and |q| < 1.

### 2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Denote, for convenience, the left-hand side of our assertion (1.9) by  $\Omega(t)$ . Substituting for  $f_{n,N}(x;q)$  from the definition (1.8) into  $\Omega(t)$ , and inverting the order of summation, we have

$$\Omega(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{k,q} \frac{(xt^N)^k}{(q;q)_{Nk}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda;q)_{n+Nk}}{(q;q)_n} t^n,$$

provided that the series involved converge absolutely.

Now sum the inner series by appealing to (1.6) with  $\,$  m = Nk,  $\,$  and we find for  $\,$   $\,$  |t| < 1  $\,$  and  $\,$  |q| < 1  $\,$  that

$$\Omega(t) = \frac{(\lambda t; q)_{\infty}}{(\lambda; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda; q)_{Nk}}{(\lambda t; q)_{Nk} (q; q)_{Nk}} S_{k,q} (xt^{N})^{k}.$$

Interpreting this last expression by means of the generating relation (1.7), we are led immediately to the theorem.

REMARK. For substantially more general classes of q-generating functions, and for their multivariable extensions, the reader should refer to Section 3 of a recent paper by Srivastava [12].

#### APPLICATIONS

We begin by applying our theorem to derive generating functions for the q-analogues of many of the classical orthogonal polynomials. Setting

$$S_{n,q} = \frac{q^{n(n-1)}}{(\alpha q;q)_n}$$

in our theorem, we find from (1.8) that

$$f_{n,1}(x;q) = 1^{\Phi_1} \begin{bmatrix} q^{-n}; \\ q, xq^n \\ \alpha q; \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(q;q)_n}{(\alpha q;q)_n} L_n^{(\alpha)}(x;q),$$

where  $L_n^{(\alpha)}(x;q)$  denotes the q-Laguerre polynomial defined by (<u>cf</u>. [6])

(3.1) 
$$L_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x;q) = \frac{(\alpha q;q)_{n}}{(q;q)_{n}} 1^{\Phi} 1 \begin{bmatrix} q^{-n}; \\ q, xq^{n} \\ \alpha q; \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus our theorem yields the following generating function for the q-Laguerre polynomials:

(3.2) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda;q)_n}{(\alpha q;q)_n} L_n^{(\alpha)}(x;q) t^n = \frac{(\lambda t;q)_{\infty}}{(t;q)_{\infty}} 1^{\Phi} 2 \begin{bmatrix} \lambda; \\ \alpha q, \lambda t; \end{bmatrix},$$

which provides a q-extension of a well-known generating function for Laguerre polynomials [14, p. 132, Equation (5)].

Next we consider the little q-Jacobi polynomials defined by (cf. [6])

(3.3) 
$$p_{n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x;q) = \frac{(\alpha q;q)_{n}}{(q;q)_{n}} 2^{\phi_{1}} \begin{bmatrix} q^{-n}, \alpha \beta q^{n+1}; \\ q^{-n}, \alpha \beta q^{n+1}; \\ q^{-n}, q^{-n$$

and our theorem with N = 1, and

$$S_{n,q} = (-1)^n q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} \frac{(\alpha\beta q;q)_n}{(\alpha q;q)_n}$$

gives us the generating function:

(3.4) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda;q)_n}{(\alpha q;q)_n} p_n^{(\alpha,\beta q^{-n})} (xq^n;q) t^n = \frac{(\lambda t;q)_{\infty}}{(t;q)_{\infty}} 2^{\Phi} 2^{\begin{bmatrix} \lambda, \alpha \beta q; \\ q, xqt \end{bmatrix}}.$$

For  $\lambda = 0$ , (3.4) reduces immediately to

(3.5) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n^{(\alpha,\beta q^{-n})} (xq^n;q) \frac{t^n}{(\alpha q;q)_n} = \frac{1}{(t;q)_{\infty}} 1^{\phi_1} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \beta q; \\ q, xqt \end{bmatrix},$$

which is a q-extension of a known generating function for Jacobi polynomials ([1, p. 159, Equation (3.5)]; see also [14, p. 170, Problem 19(i)]).

Setting

$$S_{n,q} = (-1)^{n} q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} \frac{(\alpha \beta q; q)_{n} (\nu q/x; q)_{n}}{(\beta q; q)_{n} (\nu q; q)_{n}},$$

we observe from (1.8) that

$$f_{n,1}(x;q) = \frac{(\alpha^{-1};q)_n}{(\beta q;q)_n} Q_n(\alpha x;\alpha q^{-n},\beta,\nu|q)$$

in terms of the q-Hahn polynomials defined by

(3.6) 
$$Q_{n}(x;\alpha,\beta,\nu|q) = {}_{3}^{\Phi} 2 \begin{bmatrix} q^{-n}, \alpha \beta q^{n+1}, x; \\ q, q \\ \alpha q, \nu q; \end{bmatrix}$$

or, equivalently, by

(3.7) 
$$Q_{n}(x;\alpha,\beta,\nu|q) = \frac{(\beta q;q)_{n}}{(1/\alpha q^{n};q)_{n}} 3^{\Phi} 2^{\begin{bmatrix} q^{-n}, \alpha \beta q^{n+1}, \nu q/x; \\ q, \frac{x}{\alpha} \end{bmatrix}}.$$

Our theorem when applied to the q-Hahn polynomials yields the generating function:

(3.8) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda;q)_{n}(\alpha^{-1};q)_{n}}{(\beta q;q)_{n}(q;q)_{n}} Q_{n}(x;\alpha q^{-n},\beta,\nu|q) t^{n}$$

$$= \frac{(\lambda t;q)_{\infty}}{(t;q)_{\infty}} 3^{\Phi} 3 \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\lambda}, \alpha \beta q, \nu q/x; \\ q, \frac{xt}{\alpha} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Similarly, for the q-Meixner polynomials defined by

(3.9) 
$$M_{n}(x;\beta,\gamma|q) = (\beta;q)_{n} 2^{\Phi_{1}} \begin{bmatrix} q^{-n}, x; & q^{n+1} \\ & q, \frac{q^{n+1}}{\gamma} \end{bmatrix},$$

we obtain the generating function

(3.10) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda;q)_n}{(\beta;q)_n(q;q)_n} M_n(x;\beta,\gamma|q) t^n = \frac{(\lambda t;q)_{\infty}}{(t;q)_{\infty}} 2^{\Phi} 2 \begin{bmatrix} \lambda, x; \\ q, \frac{qt}{\gamma} \end{bmatrix}.$$

In particular, (3.10) with  $\lambda = \beta$  yields

(3.11) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_{n}(x;\beta,\gamma|q) \frac{t^{n}}{(q;q)_{n}} = \frac{(\beta t;q)_{\infty}}{(t;q)_{\infty}} 1^{\Phi} 1 \begin{bmatrix} x; \\ q, \frac{qt}{\gamma} \end{bmatrix},$$

which provides a q-extension of a known generating function for the Meixner polynomials [5, p. 225, Equation 10.24(13)].

The definitions (3.3) and (3.9) imply the following relationship between q-Meixner polynomials and the little q-Jacobi polynomials:

(3.12) 
$$M_{n}(x;\beta,\gamma|q) = (q;q)_{n} p_{n}^{(\beta/q,x/\beta q^{n})} \left(\frac{q^{n}}{\gamma}\right),$$

which can be used to show that the generating functions (3.4) and (5.10), and indeed also (3.5) and (3.11), are essentially the same.

Now we turn to the q-Charlier polynomials defined by

(3.13) 
$$c_{n}(x;\alpha|q) = 2^{\Phi_{1}}\begin{bmatrix} q^{-n}, x; & q^{n+1} \\ & q, -\frac{q^{n+1}}{\alpha} \\ & 0; & q \end{bmatrix}$$

for which our theorem with N = 1, and

$$S_{n,q} = q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)}(x;q)_n$$

readily yields the generating function:

(3.14) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda;q)_n}{(q;q)_n} c_n(x;\alpha|q) t^n = \frac{(\lambda t;q)_{\infty}}{(t;q)_{\infty}} 2^{\Phi} 2^{\begin{bmatrix} \lambda, x; \\ q, -\frac{qt}{\alpha} \end{bmatrix}}.$$

In its special case when  $\lambda = 0$ , (3.14) reduces immediately to

(3.15) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(x;\alpha|q) \frac{t^n}{(q;q)_n} = \frac{1}{(t;q)_{\infty}} 1^{\Phi} 1^{\left[x;q\right]_{\Phi}} q, -\frac{qt}{\alpha},$$

which is a q-extension of a known generating function for Charlier polynomials [5, p. 226, Equation 10.25(6)].

Setting

$$S_{n,q} = (-1)^n q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)},$$

the definition (1.8) assumes the form:

$$f_{n,1}(x;q) = (x;q)_n$$

and our theorem immediately yields the identity:

(3.16) 
$$2^{\Phi_1} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda, & x; \\ & q, & t \\ & 0; \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(\lambda t; q)_{\infty}}{(t; q)_{\infty}} 1^{\Phi_1} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda; \\ & q, & xt \\ \lambda t; \end{bmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, in view of Heine's transformation ( $\underline{cf}$ . [4, p. 19, Corollary 2.3]; see also [13, p. 348, Equation (275)])

(3.17) 
$$2^{\Phi_{1}} \begin{bmatrix} a, b; \\ q, z \\ c; \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(b;q)_{\infty}(az;q)_{\infty}}{(c;q)_{\infty}(z;q)_{\infty}} 2^{\Phi_{1}} \begin{bmatrix} z, c/b; \\ q, b \\ az; \end{bmatrix},$$

the first member of (3.16) can also be expressed as

(3.18) 
$$2^{\Phi_1} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\lambda}, x; \\ q, t \\ 0; \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(x;q)_{\infty}(\lambda t;q)_{\infty}}{(t;q)_{\infty}} 2^{\Phi_1} \begin{bmatrix} t, 0; \\ q, x \\ \lambda t; \end{bmatrix} .$$

Comparing (3.16) and (3.18), we readily obtain [7, p. 374, Equation (10.2)]

(3.19) 
$$2^{\Phi_1} \begin{bmatrix} a, 0; \\ q, z \\ b; \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{(z;q)_{\infty}} 1^{\Phi_1} \begin{bmatrix} b/a; \\ q, az \\ b; \end{bmatrix},$$

which is a q-extension of Kummer's first formula for the confluent hypergeometric function [10, p. 125, Theorem 42].

The orthogonal q-polynomials  $\Phi_n^{(\alpha)}(x;q)$  studied by Al-Salam and Carlitz [2, p. 48, Equation (1.11)] are precisely the polynomials defined by (1.8) with N = 1, and

$$S_{n,q} = (\alpha;q)_n$$

Thus our theorem yields the following generating function for  $\Phi_n^{(\alpha)}(x;q)$ :

(3.20) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda;q)_n}{(q;q)_n} \Phi_n^{(\alpha)}(x;q) t^n = \frac{(\lambda t;q)_{\infty}}{(t;q)_{\infty}} 2^{\Phi_1} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda, \alpha; \\ q, xt \\ \lambda t; \end{bmatrix},$$

which, for  $\lambda = 0$ , reduces to the following result due to Al-Salam and Carlitz [2, p. 48, Equation (1.13)]:

(3.21) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi_n^{(\alpha)}(x;q) \frac{t^n}{(q;q)_n} = \frac{(\alpha xt;q)_{\infty}}{(t;q)_{\infty}(xt;q)_{\infty}}.$$

Setting  $\alpha = 0$  in (3.20) and then applying (3.19), we have

(3.22) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda;q)_n}{(q;q)_n} H_n(x;q) t^n = \frac{(\lambda t;q)_{\infty}}{(t;q)_{\infty}(xt;q)_{\infty}} 1^{\Phi} 1 \begin{bmatrix} t; \\ q, \lambda xt \\ \lambda t; \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $H_n(x;q)$  denotes the q-Hermite polynomial defined by (<u>cf</u>. [15]; see also [4, p. 49])

(3.23) 
$$H_{n}(x;q) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^{k}.$$

Formula (3.22) may be compared with a <u>divergent</u> generating function for the classical Hermite polynomials (see, <u>e.g.</u>, [14, p. 138, Equation (7)]). On the other hand, a further special case of (3.21) when  $\alpha = 0$  [that is, (3.22) with  $\lambda = 0$ ] is a well-known result [4, p. 49, Example 3].

Yet another interesting application of our theorem with  $\ x = \beta/\alpha$ , N = 1, and

$$S_{n,q} = (-1)^n q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} \frac{(\alpha;q)_n}{(\beta;q)_n}$$

leads us to the generating function:

(3.24) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda;q)_n}{(q;q)_n} 2^{\Phi} 1 \begin{bmatrix} q^{-n}, \alpha; \\ q, \frac{\beta}{\alpha} q^n \end{bmatrix} t^n = \frac{(\lambda t;q)_{\infty}}{(t;q)_{\infty}} 2^{\Phi} 2 \begin{bmatrix} \lambda, \alpha; \\ q, \frac{\beta t}{\alpha} \end{bmatrix}.$$

In view of the q-summation formula [11, p. 97, Equation (3.3.2.6)]:

(3.25) 
$$2^{\phi_1} \begin{bmatrix} q^{-n}, b; \\ q, \frac{c}{b} q^n \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(c/b;q)_n}{(c;q)_n},$$

the generating function (3.24) can be rewritten fairly easily as

(3.26) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda;q)_{n}(\beta/\alpha;q)_{n}}{(q;q)_{n}(\beta;q)_{n}} t^{n} = \frac{(\lambda t;q)_{\infty}}{(t;q)_{\infty}} 2^{\Phi} 2^{\left[\begin{array}{c} \lambda, \alpha; \\ q, \frac{\beta t}{\alpha} \end{array}\right]}$$

or, equivalently, as Jackson's q-Pfaff transformation [8, p. 145, Equation (4)]

(3.27) 
$$2^{\Phi_1} \begin{bmatrix} a, b; \\ q, z \\ c; \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(az;q)_{\infty}}{(z;q)_{\infty}} 2^{\Phi_2} \begin{bmatrix} a, c/b; \\ q, bz \\ c, az; \end{bmatrix}.$$

Formula (3.27) is the main lemma of Andrews [3] which he used to derive q-analogues of Kummer's summation theorem and Gauss's second theorem.

Finally, we set  $x = \gamma \delta/\alpha \beta$ , N = 1, and

$$S_{n,q} = (-1)^n q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} \frac{(\alpha;q)_n (\beta;q)_n}{(\gamma;q)_n (\delta;q)_n},$$

and our theorem yields the generating function:

$$(3.28) \qquad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} \, 3^{\Phi_2} \begin{bmatrix} q^{-n}, \alpha, \beta; \\ & q, \frac{\gamma \delta}{\alpha \beta} \, q^n \end{bmatrix} t^n = \frac{(\lambda t; q)_{\infty}}{(t; q)_{\infty}} \, 3^{\Phi_3} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda, \alpha, \beta; \\ & q, \frac{\gamma \delta t}{\alpha \beta} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The  $_3{}^\Phi{}_2$  occurring in (3.28) can be transformed by appealing to the familiar identity:

(3.29) 
$$3^{\Phi} 2 \begin{bmatrix} q^{-n}, a, b; \\ q, \frac{cd}{ab} q^{n} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(c/a;q)_{n}}{(c;q)_{n}} 3^{\Phi} 2 \begin{bmatrix} q^{-n}, a, d/b; \\ q, q, q \end{bmatrix},$$

which incidentally is involved in the equivalence of (3.6) and (3.7), and we thus find from (3.28) that

(3.30) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda;q)_{n} (\gamma/\alpha;q)_{n}}{(q;q)_{n} (\gamma;q)_{n}} 3^{\Phi} 2 \begin{bmatrix} q^{-n}, \alpha, \delta/\beta; \\ q^{-n}, \alpha, \delta/\beta; \\ q, q \end{bmatrix} t^{n}$$

$$= \frac{(\lambda t;q)_{\infty}}{(t;q)_{\infty}} 3^{\Phi} 3 \begin{bmatrix} \lambda, \alpha, \beta; \\ q, \frac{\gamma \delta t}{\alpha \beta} \end{bmatrix}.$$

In its special case when  $\gamma$  =  $\beta$ , the right-hand side of (3.30) becomes identical with the right-hand side of (3.26) with, of course,  $\beta$  replaced by  $\delta$ . Equating the coefficients of  $t^n$  in the first members of (5.26) and (5.30), in this special case, we obtain the q-summation formula:

(3.31) 
$$3^{\Phi} 2 \begin{bmatrix} q^{-n}, \alpha, \delta/\beta; \\ q, q \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(\beta;q)_{n}(\delta/\alpha;q)_{n}}{(\delta;q)_{n}(\beta/\alpha;q)_{n}}$$

or, equivalently,

(3.32) 
$$3^{\Phi} 2 \begin{bmatrix} a, b, q^{-n}; \\ q, q \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(c/a;q)_n (c/b;q)_n}{(c;q)_n (c/ab;q)_n},$$

which is Jackson's q-analogue of the celebrated Pfaff-Saalschütz theorem (cf. [9, p. 111, Equation (B)]; see also [11, p. 97, Equation (3.3.2.2)]). Conversely, setting  $\gamma = \beta$  in (3.30) and summing the resulting  $3^{\Phi}_2$  series by appealing to Jackson's result (3.32), we shall arrive at (3.26) or (3.27). Thus our formula (3.30) may also be looked upon as a generalization of the principal result employed by Andrews [3, p. 527].

We conclude by remarking that many of the q-generating functions considered in this section can alternatively be deduced from the following consequence of our theorem (see also [12, Section 3]):

(3.33) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda;q)_{n}}{(q;q)_{n}} p+1^{\Phi} p \begin{bmatrix} q^{-n}, \alpha_{1}, \dots, \alpha_{p}; \\ & q, xq^{n} \end{bmatrix} t^{n}$$

$$= \frac{(\lambda t;q)_{\infty}}{(t;q)_{\infty}} p+1^{\Phi} p+1 \begin{bmatrix} \lambda, \alpha_{1}, \dots, \alpha_{p}; \\ & q, xt \end{bmatrix}, |t| < 1, |q| < 1,$$

$$\lambda t, \beta_{1}, \dots, \beta_{p};$$

which provides a q-analogue of a well-known hypergeometric generating function (cf., e.g., [14, p. 138, Equation (8)]). Formula (3.33) can indeed be specialized also to derive generating functions for a number of q-hypergeometric polynomials in addition to those that are considered here.

# Acknowledgements

The present investigation was initiated during the second author's visit to the University of Victoria in the summer of 1985 while he held a National Scholarship for Higher Study Abroad awarded by the Ministry of Education and Culture (Government of India). This work was supported, in part, by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada under Grant A-7353.

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] A.K. Agarwal and H.L. Manocha, A new class of generating functions for generalized hypergeometric polynomials, <u>Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul.</u> 28(1979), fasc. 2, 157-162.
- [2] W.A. Al-Salam and L. Carlitz, Some orthogonal q-polynomials, Math. Nachr. 30(1965), 47-61.
- [3] G.E. Andrews, On the q-analog of Kummer's theorem and applications, <u>Duke Math. J. 40</u>(1973), 525-528.
- [4] G.E. Andrews, <u>The Theory of Partitions</u>, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1976.
- [5] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F.G. Tricomi, <u>Higher Transcendental Functions</u>, Vol. II, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.
- [6] W. Hahn, Über Orthogonalpolynome, die q-Differenzengleichungen, Math. Nachr. 2(1949), 4-34.
- [7] W. Hahn, Beiträge zur Theorie der Heineschen Reihen, Math. Nachr. 2(1949), 340-379.
- [8] F.H. Jackson, Transformations of q-series, Messenger Math. 39(1910), 145-153.
- [9] F.H. Jackson, Summation of q-hypergeometric series, Messenger Math. 50(1921), 101-112.
- [10] E.D. Rainville, Special Functions, Macmillan, New York, 1960; Reprinted by Chelsea, Bronx, New York, 1971.
- [11] L.J. Slater, Generalized Hypergeometric Functions, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1966.
- [12] H.M. Srivastava, A family of q-generating functions, <u>Bull. Inst. Math.</u> Acad. Sinica 12(1984), 327-336.

- [13] H.M. Srivastava and P.W. Karlsson, <u>Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series</u>, Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1985.
- [14] H.M. Srivastava and H.L. Manocha, <u>A Treatise on Generating Functions</u>, Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1984.
- [15] G. Szegő, Ein Beitrag zur Theorie der Thetafunktionen, <u>Sitzungsber. Preuss</u>. Akad. Wiss. Phys.-Math. Kl. 19(1926), 242-252.

H.M. SRIVASTAVA:
Department of Mathematics
University of Victoria
Victoria, British Columbia V8W 2Y2
Canada

A.K. AGARWAL:
Department of Mathematics
Pennsylvania State University
(Mont Alto Campus)
Mont Alto, Pennsylvania 17237
U.S.A.