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Abstract

The main object of this paper is to introduce and investigate various properties and characteristics of a unified class $P(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$ of prestarlike functions with negative coefficients. The results presented here involve distortion inequalities and modified Hadamard products (or convolution) of functions belonging to the class $P(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$. Growth and distortion theorems involving fractional integrals and fractional derivatives are also considered. Relevant connections of some of these results with those given in earlier works are briefly pointed out.

1. Introduction and Definitions

We denote by $S$ the class of (normalized) functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

which are analytic and univalent in the open unit disk

$$\mathcal{U} = \{ z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1 \}.$$ 

The familiar Hadamard product (or convolution) of two functions $f(z)$ given by (1.1), and $g(z)$ given by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.2)

is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) := z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.3)
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Following the work of Sheil-Small et al. [7], we define the subclass $R(\alpha, \beta)$ of $S$ consisting of $\alpha$-prestarlike functions of order $\beta$ by
\[
R(\alpha, \beta) := \{ f \in S : (f \ast s_\alpha)(z) \in S^*(\beta) \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1; 0 \leq \beta < 1) \},
\]
(1.4)
where $S^*(\beta)$ denotes the class of starlike functions of order $\beta$ ($0 \leq \beta < 1$) and $s_\alpha(z)$ is the well-known extremal function for $S^*(\alpha)$ given by (cf., e.g., [1] and [11])
\[
s_\alpha(z) := \frac{z}{(1 - z)^{2(1-\alpha)}} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; 0 \leq \alpha < 1)
\]
(1.5)
with
\[
c_n(\alpha) := \frac{\prod_{k=2}^{n} (k - 2\alpha)}{(n - 1)!} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}; \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \ldots \}).
\]
(1.6)
We also define the subclass $C(\alpha, \beta)$ of $S$ by
\[
C(\alpha, \beta) := \{ f \in S : zf'(z) \in R(\alpha, \beta) \}.
\]
(1.7)

Let $T$ denote the subclass of $S$ consisting of functions of the form:
\[
f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (a_n \geq 0).
\]
(1.8)
We denote by $R[\alpha, \beta]$ and $C[\alpha, \beta]$ the classes obtained by taking intersections, respectively, of the classes $R(\alpha, \beta)$ and $C(\alpha, \beta)$ with the class $T$. Thus we have (cf. [9, p. 55])
\[
R[\alpha, \beta] := R(\alpha, \beta) \cap T
\]
(1.9)
and
\[
C[\alpha, \beta] := C(\alpha, \beta) \cap T.
\]
(1.10)

The following known results for the classes $R[\alpha, \beta]$ and $C[\alpha, \beta]$ will be required in our present investigation.

**Lemma 1** (Silverman and Silvia [8]). Let $f(z)$ be defined by (1.8). Then $f(z) \in R[\alpha, \beta]$ if and only if
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \beta)c_n(\alpha)a_n \leq 1 - \beta.
\]
(1.11)
The result is sharp.

**Lemma 2** (Owa and Uralegaddi [3]). Let $f(z)$ be defined by (1.8). Then $f(z) \in C[\alpha, \beta]$ if and only if
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n - \beta)c_n(\alpha)a_n \leq 1 - \beta.
\]
(1.12)
The result is sharp.
In view of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we find it to be worthwhile to present here a unified study of the classes $\mathcal{R} [\alpha, \beta]$ and $\mathcal{C} [\alpha, \beta]$ by introducing a new class $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$. Indeed we say that a function $f(z)$ defined by (1.8) belongs to the class $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$ if and only if
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(n-\beta)(1-\sigma+\sigma n)}{1-\beta} \right] c_n(\alpha) a_n \leq 1 \tag{1.13}
\]
\[\quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1; 0 \leq \beta < 1; 0 \leq \sigma \leq 1).\]

Clearly, we have
\[
\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma) = (1-\sigma)\mathcal{R} [\alpha, \beta] + \sigma \mathcal{C} [\alpha, \beta] \quad (0 \leq \sigma \leq 1),
\tag{1.14}
\]
so that
\[
\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta, 0) = \mathcal{R} [\alpha, \beta] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta, 1) = \mathcal{C} [\alpha, \beta]. \tag{1.15}
\]

The main purpose of the present paper is to investigate various properties and characteristics of the general class $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$. We also indicate relevant connections of some of our results with those given in earlier works on the subject of investigation here.

2. A Set of Distortion Inequalities

We first establish the following distortion inequalities for functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$.

**Theorem 1.** If a function $f(z)$ defined by (1.8) is in the class $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$, then
\[
|z| - \frac{1-\beta}{2(2-\beta)(1+\sigma)(1-\alpha)} |z|^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq |z| + \frac{1-\beta}{2(2-\beta)(1+\sigma)(1-\alpha)} |z|^2 \tag{2.1}
\]
and
\[
1 - \frac{1-\beta}{(2-\beta)(1+\sigma)(1-\alpha)} |z| \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{1-\beta}{(2-\beta)(1+\sigma)(1-\alpha)} |z| \tag{2.2}
\]
\[
\quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}; 0 \leq \beta < 1; 0 \leq \sigma \leq 1).
\]

**Proof.** Observing that $c_n(\alpha)$ defined by (1.6) is nondecreasing for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we find from (1.13) that
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq \frac{1-\beta}{2(2-\beta)(1+\sigma)(1-\alpha)}. \tag{2.3}
\]

Using (1.8) and (2.3), we readily have (for $z \in \mathcal{U}$)
\[
|f(z)| \geq |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \geq |z| - \frac{1-\beta}{2(2-\beta)(1+\sigma)(1-\alpha)} |z|^2 \tag{2.4}
\]
and
\[
|f(z)| \leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq |z| + \frac{1-\beta}{2(2-\beta)(1+\sigma)(1-\alpha)} |z|^2, \tag{2.5}
\]
which prove the assertion (2.1) of Theorem 1.
Also, from (1.8), we find for \( z \in \mathcal{U} \) that
\[
|f'(z)| \geq 1 - |z| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n \geq 1 - \frac{1 - \beta}{(2 - \beta)(1 + \sigma)(1 - \alpha)} |z|
\] (2.6)
and
\[
|f'(z)| \leq 1 + |z| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n \leq 1 + \frac{1 - \beta}{(2 - \beta)(1 + \sigma)(1 - \alpha)} |z|,
\] (2.7)
which prove the assertion (2.2) of Theorem 1.

Finally, since each of the results (2.1) and (2.2) is sharp for the function \( f(z) \) given by
\[
f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \beta}{2(2 - \beta)(1 + \sigma)(1 - \alpha)} z^2,
\] (2.8)
we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

3. Properties Involving Hadamard Products (or Convolution)

For the functions \( f_1(z) \) and \( f_2(z) \) defined by
\[
f_j(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,j} z^n \quad (a_{n,j} \geq 0; j = 1, 2),
\] (3.1)
we define here the modified Hadamard product (or convolution) by [cf. Equation (1.3)]
\[
(f_1 \bullet f_2)(z) := z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,1} a_{n,2} z^n.
\] (3.2)

We now prove

**Theorem 2.** Let each of the functions \( f_j(z) \) \((j = 1, 2)\) be in the class \( \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma) \). Then the modified Hadamard product \( (f_1 \bullet f_2)(z) \) belongs to the class \( \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \rho, \sigma) \), where
\[
\rho := \frac{2(2 - \beta)^2(1 + \sigma)(1 - \alpha) - 2(1 - \beta)^2}{2(2 - \beta)^2(1 + \sigma)(1 - \alpha) - (1 - \beta)^2}
\] (3.3)
\[
\left( 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}; 0 \leq \beta < 1; 0 \leq \sigma \leq 1 \right).
\]

**Proof.** We need to find the largest \( \rho \) such that
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(n - \rho)(1 - \sigma + \sigma n)}{1 - \rho} \right] c_n(\alpha) a_{n,1} a_{n,2} \leq 1.
\] (3.4)

By hypothesis, \( f_j(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma) \) \((j = 1, 2)\), so the definition (1.13) yields
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(n - \beta)(1 - \sigma + \sigma n)}{1 - \beta} \right] c_n(\alpha) a_{n,j} \leq 1 \quad (j = 1, 2).
\] (3.5)
Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(n - \beta)(1 - \sigma + \sigma n)}{1 - \beta} \right] c_n(\alpha) \sqrt{a_n,1a_{n,2}} \leq 1. \tag{3.6}
\]
Thus it is sufficient to show that
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(n - \rho)(1 - \sigma + \sigma n)}{1 - \rho} \right] c_n(\alpha)a_{n,1}a_{n,2} \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(n - \beta)(1 - \sigma + \sigma n)}{1 - \beta} \right] c_n(\alpha) \sqrt{a_n,1a_{n,2}}, \tag{3.7}
\]
that is, that
\[
\sqrt{a_n,1a_{n,2}} \leq \frac{(n - \beta)(1 - \rho)}{(n - \rho)(1 - \beta)} (n \geq 2). \tag{3.8}
\]
The inequality (3.6) implies that
\[
\sqrt{a_n,1a_{n,2}} \leq \frac{1 - \beta}{(n - \beta)(1 - \sigma + \sigma n)c_n(\alpha)} (n \geq 2). \tag{3.9}
\]
It, therefore, suffices to prove that
\[
\frac{1 - \beta}{(n - \beta)(1 - \sigma + \sigma n)c_n(\alpha)} \leq \frac{(n - \beta)(1 - \rho)}{(n - \rho)(1 - \beta)} (n \geq 2), \tag{3.10}
\]
which will follow if
\[
\rho \leq \frac{(n - \beta)^2(1 - \sigma + \sigma n)c_n(\alpha) - n(1 - \beta)^2}{(n - \beta)^2(1 - \sigma + \sigma n)c_n(\alpha) - (1 - \beta)^2} =: \Theta(n) (n \geq 2). \tag{3.11}
\]
Putting
\[
\Delta(n) = (n - \beta)^2(1 - \sigma + \sigma n)c_n(\alpha) (n \geq 2), \tag{3.12}
\]
we readily observe that \( \Delta(n) > 0 \quad (n \geq 2) \) and
\[
\Theta'(n) = \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{[\Delta(n) - (1 - \beta)^2]^2} [(n - 1)\Delta'(n) - \Delta(n) + (1 - \beta)^2] (n \geq 2). \tag{3.13}
\]
A simple calculation from (3.12) shows that
\[
\Delta'(n) = 2(n - \beta)^{-1}\Delta(n) + \sigma(1 - \sigma + \sigma n)^{-1}\Delta(n) \\
+ \Delta(n) \{\psi(n + 1 - 2\alpha) - \psi(n)\}, \tag{3.14}
\]
where \( \psi(z) \) denotes the Psi (or Digamma) function defined by
\[
\psi(z) := \frac{d}{dz} \{\log \Gamma(z)\} = \frac{\Gamma'(z)}{\Gamma(z)} \tag{3.15}
\]
in terms of the Gamma function. Now, multiplying each member of (3.14) by \((n - 1)/\Delta(n)\), we have
\[
\frac{(n - 1)\Delta'(n)}{\Delta(n)} = \frac{2(n - 1)}{n - \beta} + \frac{\sigma(n - 1)}{1 - \sigma + \sigma n} + (n - 1) \{\psi(n + 1 - 2\alpha) - \psi(n)\}
> (n - 1) \{\psi(n + 1 - 2\alpha) - \psi(n)\}
> 0 \quad (n \geq 2; 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}),
\]
which, in conjunction with (3.13), shows that \(\Phi(n)\) is an increasing function of \(n \ (n \geq 2)\) when \(0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}\). Hence we conclude from (3.11) that
\[
\rho \leq \Theta(2) = \frac{2(2 - \beta)^2(1 + \sigma)(1 - \alpha) - 2(1 - \beta)^2}{2(2 - \beta)^2(1 + \sigma)(1 - \alpha) - (1 - \beta)^2}, \quad (3.16)
\]
and the proof of the Theorem 2 is completed by observing that the result of Theorem 2 is sharp for the functions \(f_j(z) \ (j = 1, 2)\) given by (2.8).

**Theorem 3.** Let each of the functions \(f_j(z) \ (j = 1, 2)\) defined by (3.1) be in the class \(\mathcal{P} (\alpha, \beta, \sigma)\). Then the function \(h(z)\) defined by
\[
h(z) := z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(a_{n,1}^2 + a_{n,2}^2\right) z^n \quad (3.17)
\]
belongs to the class \(\mathcal{P} (\alpha, \delta, \sigma)\), where
\[
\delta := \frac{(2 - \beta)^2(1 + \sigma)(1 - \alpha) - 2(1 - \beta)^2}{(2 - \beta)^2(1 + \sigma)(1 - \alpha) - (1 - \beta)^2} \quad (3.18)
\]
\[
\left(0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}; 0 \leq \beta < 1; 0 \leq \sigma \leq 1\right).
\]

**Proof.** In view of the hypothesis of Theorem 3, it is easily seen that
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(n - \beta)^2(1 - \sigma + \sigma n)^2}{(1 - \beta)^2}\right] \{c_n(\alpha)\}^2 a_{n,j}^2 \leq \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(n - \beta)(1 - \sigma + \sigma n)}{(1 - \beta)}\right] c_n(\alpha)a_{n,j}\right)^2 \leq 1 \ (j = 1, 2). \quad (3.19)
\]
Therefore
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(n - \beta)^2(1 - \sigma + \sigma n)^2}{(1 - \beta)^2}\right] \{c_n(\alpha)\}^2 \left(a_{n,1}^2 + a_{n,2}^2\right) \leq 2. \quad (3.20)
\]
It is sufficient to find the largest \(\delta\) such that
\[
\frac{(n - \delta)(1 - \sigma + \sigma n)c_n(\alpha)}{1 - \delta} \leq \frac{(n - \beta)^2(1 - \sigma + \sigma n)^2 \{c_n(\alpha)\}^2}{2(1 - \beta)^2},
\]
which follows when

$$\rho \leq \frac{(n - \beta)^2(1 - \sigma + \sigma n)c_n(\alpha) - 2n(1 - \beta)^2}{(n - \beta)^2(1 - \sigma + \sigma n)c_n(\alpha) - 2(1 - \beta)^2} := \Phi(n) \quad (n \geq 2).$$

(3.21)

The remainder of our proof would run parallel to that of Theorem 2, which we have already detailed above.

4. Growth and Distortion Theorems Involving Fractional Calculus Operators

We begin by recalling the fractional integral operator $I_{0, z}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta}$ as follows.

**Definition 1** (Srivastava et al. [12]). Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\mu, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, in terms of the familiar (Gauss’s) hypergeometric function $\,_2F_1$, the fractional integral operator $I_{0, z}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta}$ is defined by

$$I_{0, z}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta} f(z) := \frac{z^{-\lambda - \mu}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^z (z - t)^{\lambda - 1} \,_2F_1 \left( \lambda + \mu, -\eta; \lambda, 1 - \frac{t}{z} \right) f(t)\,dt,$$

(4.1)

where the function $f(z)$ is analytic in a simply-connected region of the $z$-plane containing the origin, with the order

$$f(z) = O(|z|^\kappa) \quad (z \to 0)$$

(4.2)

for

$$\kappa > \max \{0, \mu - \eta\} - 1,$$

(4.3)

and the multiplicity of $(z - t)^{\lambda - 1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z - t)$ to be real when $z - t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Next, under the same constraints as in Definition 1 above, an extended definition of the fractional derivative operator $J_{0, z}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta}$ is given by

$$J_{0, z}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta} f(z) := \frac{d^n}{dz^n} \left( \frac{z^{-\lambda - \mu}}{\Gamma(n - \lambda)} \int_0^z (z - t)^{n - \lambda - 1} \,_2F_1 \left( \mu - \lambda, n - \eta; n - \lambda, 1 - \frac{t}{z} \right) f(t)\,dt \right),$$

(4.4)

$$n - 1 \leq \lambda < n; \ n \in \mathbb{N}; \ \mu, \eta \in \mathbb{R}. \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$$

The fractional derivative operator $J_{0, z}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta}$ ($0 \leq \lambda < 1$), studied recently in [4] and [5], follows from (4.4) when $n = 1$. The fractional calculus operators defined by (4.1) and (4.4) include the Riemann-Liouville operators as their particular cases (cf. [2], [6], and [10]):

$$I_{0, z}^{\lambda, -\lambda, \eta} f(z) = \alpha D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) \quad (\lambda > 0)$$

(4.5)

and

$$J_{0, z}^{\lambda, -\lambda, \eta} f(z) = \alpha D_z^{\lambda} f(z) \quad (\lambda \geq 0).$$

(4.6)

We now prove the following growth and distortion theorems involving the fractional calculus operators defined by (4.1) and (4.4).

**Theorem 4.** Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\mu, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mu < 2, \ \eta > \max \{-\lambda, \mu\} - 2, \ \text{and} \ \mu(\lambda + \eta) \leq 3\lambda.$$
If \( \mathbf{f}(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma) \) for \( 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq \beta < 1, \) and \( 0 \leq \sigma \leq 1, \) then
\[
\left| I_{\alpha, \varepsilon}^{\mu, \eta} \mathbf{f}(z) \right| \geq \frac{\Gamma(2 - \mu + \eta)}{\Gamma(2 - \mu) \Gamma(2 + \lambda + \eta)} \left| z \right|^{1 - \mu} \left\{ 1 - \frac{(1 - \beta)(2 - \mu + \eta)}{(2 - \beta)(1 + \sigma)(1 - \alpha)(2 - \mu)(2 + \lambda + \eta)} \left| z \right| \right\}
\] (4.7)
and
\[
\left| I_{\alpha, \varepsilon}^{\mu, \eta} \mathbf{f}(z) \right| \leq \frac{\Gamma(2 - \mu + \eta)}{\Gamma(2 - \mu) \Gamma(2 + \lambda + \eta)} \left| z \right|^{1 - \mu} \left\{ 1 + \frac{(1 - \beta)(2 - \mu + \eta)}{(2 - \beta)(1 + \sigma)(1 - \alpha)(2 - \mu)(2 + \lambda + \eta)} \left| z \right| \right\}
\] (4.8)
\((z \in \mathcal{U} \text{ if } \mu \leq 1; z \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{0\} \text{ if } \mu > 1).\)

The equalities in (4.7) and (4.8) are attained by the function \( \mathbf{f}(z) \) given by (2.8).

**Proof.** Since \( \mathbf{f}(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma) \) for \( 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq \beta < 1, \) and \( 0 \leq \sigma \leq 1, \) it follows from (1.13) that
\[
\frac{2(2 - \beta)(1 + \sigma)(1 - \alpha)}{1 - \beta} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n 
\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(n - \beta)(1 - \sigma + \sigma n)}{1 - \beta} \right] c_n(\alpha) a_n \leq 1,
\] (4.9)
which gives
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq \frac{1 - \beta}{2(2 - \beta)(1 + \sigma)(1 - \alpha)}.
\] (4.10)

From (1.12), (4.1), and the known formula [12, p. 415, Lemma 3], we obtain
\[
\left| I_{\alpha, \varepsilon}^{\mu, \eta} \mathbf{f}(z) \right| \geq \frac{\Gamma(2 - \mu + \eta)}{\Gamma(2 - \mu) \Gamma(2 + \lambda + \eta)} \left\{ 1 - \left| z \right| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Psi(n) a_n \right\},
\] (4.11)
where
\[
\Psi(n) := \frac{(2 - \mu + \eta)(2 - \mu + \eta - 1)}{(2 - \mu)_{n-1}(2 + \lambda + \eta)_{n-1}} \quad (n \geq 2)
\] (4.12)
with \((\lambda)_n := \Gamma(\lambda + n)/\Gamma(\lambda).\) We observe that the function \( \Psi(n) \) is a non-increasing function of \( n, \) under the hypotheses of Theorem 4, and the desired inequality (4.7) is easily obtained on using (4.10) to (4.12).

The assertion (4.8) can be proved in a similar manner.

**Remark 1.** In view of the relationships (1.15) and (4.5), a special case of Theorem 4 when \( \mu = -\lambda \) would correspond to Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 of Srivastava and Aouf [9] for \( \sigma = 0 \) and \( \sigma = 1, \) respectively.

**Remark 2.** In its special cases when \( \sigma = 0 \) and \( \sigma = 1, \) Theorem 4 also yields Theorem 5 and Theorem 6, respectively, of Srivastava and Aouf [9].

The proof of the following growth and distortion inequalities for the fractional derivative of \( \mathbf{f}(z) \) belonging to the class \( \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma) \) would run parallel to that of Theorem 4.
Theorem 5. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\mu, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that
$$\mu < 2, \eta > \max \{\lambda, \mu\} - 2, \text{ and } \mu(\lambda - \eta) \geq 3\lambda.$$ If $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq \beta < 1,$ and $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1,$ then
$$\left|J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z)\right| \geq \frac{\Gamma(2 - \mu + \eta)}{\Gamma(2 - \mu)\Gamma(2 - \lambda + \eta)} |z|^{1-\mu} \left\{1 - \frac{(1 - \beta)(2 - \mu + \eta)}{(2 - \beta)(1 + \sigma)(2 - \mu)(2 - \lambda + \eta)} |z|\right\}$$ (4.13)
and
$$\left|J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z)\right| \leq \frac{\Gamma(2 - \mu + \eta)}{\Gamma(2 - \mu)\Gamma(2 - \lambda + \eta)} |z|^{1-\mu} \left\{1 + \frac{(1 - \beta)(2 - \mu + \eta)}{(2 - \beta)(1 + \sigma)(2 - \mu)(2 - \lambda + \eta)} |z|\right\}$$ (4.14)
$$\left(z \in \mathcal{U} \text{ if } \mu \leq 1; \ z \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{0\} \text{ if } \mu > 1\right).$$ The equalities in (4.13) and (4.14) are attained by the function $f(z)$ given by (2.8).

Remark 3. For $\mu = \lambda,$ Theorem 5 corresponds to Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 of Srivastava and Aouf [9] when $\sigma = 0$ and $\sigma = 1,$ respectively. These special cases of Theorem 5 hold true for rather less restrictive condition for $\lambda$ (and thus provide slightly improved versions of the growth and distortion inequalities involving $\alpha D_{\lambda}^z,$ which were established in [9] for $0 \leq \lambda < 1$).
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