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Abstract The lack of robust, spatially distributed subsurface data is the key obstacle limiting the implementation of complex and realistic groundwater dynamics into global land surface, hydrologic, and climate models. We map and analyze permeability and porosity globally and at high resolution for the first time. The new permeability and porosity maps are based on a recently completed high-resolution global lithology map that differentiates fine and coarse-grained sediments and sedimentary rocks, which is important since these have different permeabilities. The average polygon size in the new map is ~100 km², which is a more than hundredfold increase in resolution compared to the previous map which has an average polygon size of ~14,000 km². We also significantly improve the representation in regions of weathered tropical soils and permafrost. The spatially distributed mean global permeability ~10⁻¹⁵ m² with permafrost or ~10⁻¹⁴ m² without permafrost. The spatially distributed mean porosity of the globe is 14%. The maps will enable further integration of groundwater dynamics into land surface, hydrologic, and climate models.

1. Introduction

A number of fundamental and applied scientific problems and policy issues need improved data sets of global hydrogeologic parameters and a better understanding of groundwater systems at regional to continental scales. These problems include the complex coupling between groundwater, climate, and global change [Maxwell and Kollet, 2008; Taylor et al., 2013], global groundwater stress and depletion [Wada et al., 2010; Konikow, 2011; Aeschbach-Hertig and Gleeson, 2012; Döll et al., 2012; Gleeson et al., 2012; Pokhrel et al., 2012], and the role of groundwater in base flow and ecological flows [Smakhtin, 2001; Smakhtin et al., 2004; Poff et al., 2009] and groundwater as a component of blue water in global virtual water modeling and water footprint calculations [Rohwer et al., 2007; Rost et al., 2008; Hoekstra, 2009; Hoff et al., 2010; Hanasaki et al., 2010; Hoekstra and Mekonnen, 2012; Hoekstra et al., 2012]. Groundwater systems have been integrated into some global hydrologic models [i.e., Döll and Fiedler, 2008; Wada et al., 2012]; and the water table has been modeled globally [Fan et al., 2013], but the dynamic nature of the water table has not been explicitly incorporated into these models and lateral groundwater flow has only been simulated using soil permeability [Krakauer et al., 2014]. The lack of robust, spatially distributed subsurface data is one of the primary reasons why more complex and realistic groundwater dynamics are not integrated into global hydrologic models, with current attempts limiting themselves to regional applications in data-rich locations [Sutanudjaja et al., 2011; Vergnes et al., 2012].

Two of the most important hydrogeologic parameters are permeability and porosity. Permeability, the ease of fluid flow through porous media, is a fundamental control on subsurface flow at all depths. Porosity, a measure of the void spaces in a material, controls how much water can be stored in the subsurface. Global hydrologic models that include groundwater generally use permeability and porosity of the soil, which only represents the first couple of meters of the subsurface. However, the deeper subsoil permeability is essential to constrain and quantify the water table dynamics and lateral/regional groundwater flow, especially in arid or mountainous regions with deeper water tables. The deeper, subsoil permeability, mapped globally at a coarse resolution by Gleeson et al. [2011], is starting to be incorporated in regional to global hydrologic models. Previous efforts have synthesized hydrogeologic parameters at the continental to global scale [U.S. Geological Survey, 2003; Bundesanstalt für Geowissenschaften und Rohstoffe/United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (BGR/UNESCO), 2008; Gleeson et al., 2011; MacDonald et al., 2012]. For example, Figure 1 illustrates...
Figure 1. Examples of previous efforts that have synthesized hydrogeologic parameters at the continental to global scale for Africa including (a) groundwater resources and recharge [BGR/UNESCO, 2008], (b) aquifer productivity [MacDonald et al., 2012], (c) coarse-resolution permeability [Gleeson et al., 2011], and (d) high-resolution permeability (this study).
Table 1. Hydrolithological and Lithological Categories [After Gleeson et al., 2011]a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hydrolithology</th>
<th>Permeability log($k_{\text{geo}}$) $\mu$k (m$^2$)</th>
<th>Permeability log($k$) $\sigma$ (m$^2$)</th>
<th>Porosity</th>
<th>Lithology b</th>
<th>Sublithology b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unconsolidated</td>
<td>-13.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>SU, WB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.g. unconsolidated</td>
<td>-10.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f.g. unconsolidated</td>
<td>-14.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>SH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sil. Sedimentary</td>
<td>-15.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>SS, SM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.g. sil. Sedimentary</td>
<td>-12.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>SS, SM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f.g. sil. Sedimentary</td>
<td>-16.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>SS, SM, EV</td>
<td>SH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbonate</td>
<td>-11.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystalline</td>
<td>-14.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>MT, PA, PB, PI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volcanic</td>
<td>-12.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>VA, VB, VI, PY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not assigned</td>
<td>-20 (-)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ND, IG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a log $k_{\text{geo}}$ is the geometric mean logarithmic permeability; $\sigma$ is the standard deviation; $n$ is the number of hydrolithologic units; sil. sedimentary is siliciclastic sedimentary; c.g. and f.g. are coarse grained and fine grained, respectively.

b Lithology and sublithology codes from [Hartmann and Moosdorf, 2012]. If no sublithology is provided, all sublithology classes except those explicitly mentioned in other lines are included in the definition.

how aquifer productivity for Africa [MacDonald et al., 2012] compares to global coarse resolution mapping of groundwater resources and recharge [BGR/UNESCO, 2008], and permeability [Gleeson et al., 2011].

Our objective is to map and analyze permeability and porosity globally and at high resolution for the first time. We expect our spatially distributed data will be useful for a number of fundamental and applied scientific problems and policy issues. Specifically, we hope the new data will enable further integration of groundwater dynamics into land surface, hydrologic, and climate models. The new permeability map is based on a recently completed high-resolution global lithology map [Hartmann and Moosdorf, 2012] with important refinements in regions of weathered tropical soils and permafrost regions. Together these new, freely available maps of permeability and porosity are called the GLobal HYdrogeology MaPS (GLHYMPS).

2. Methodology

This study derives new maps of near-surface permeability and porosity by synthesizing and modifying existing global databases into new databases. Gleeson et al. [2011] previously compiled 233 hydrogeologic units from calibrated groundwater models of diverse hydrogeologic conditions in North America (Arizona (6 hydrogeologic units), British Columbia (1), California (4), Florida (4), Georgia (1), Manitoba (7), Massachusetts (2), Minnesota (3), Mississippi (26), Nevada (119), New Mexico (15), Ontario (16), Oregon (1), South Carolina (1), South Dakota (2), Southeastern USA (26), Texas (1), Utah (2), Virginia (19), and Wisconsin (1)) and around the world (Argentina (1), Bangladesh (2), Barbados (1), Belgium (6), Brazil (1), Chile (1), China (2), Finland (1), France (2), India (3), Nicaragua (8), Poland (5), Switzerland (1), and Vietnam (4); see supporting information of Gleeson et al. [2011] for full data set and description of methodology). Only peer-reviewed, calibrated models with hydro lithologic units that are >5 km in length with a shallow upper contact (<100 m depth) were included. The minimum length scale was set to be above the scale at which heterogeneities such as discrete fractures control groundwater flow. Potential bias is reduced by including a diversity of model software, modelers, geographical areas and calibration targets (flow, tracer, and heat), and excluding multiple models from the same geographic area. Data were grouped into five hydro lithologic categories: unconsolidated sediments, siliciclastic sedimentary, carbonate, crystalline, and volcanic (Table 1). Unconsolidated sediments and siliciclastic sedimentary were further divided into fine grained and coarse grained. Although this compilation is biased toward North America, Gleeson et al. [2011] found that the geometric mean permeability of each hydrology is normally distributed, scale independent at these regional scales, and best described using the geometric mean. Using these seven hydro lithologic categories, near-surface permeability was mapped globally at low resolution and for North America at high resolution by pairing the geometric mean permeability values of each hydrology with the polygons of a lithology map [Dürr et al., 2005; Moosdorf et al., 2010]. The previous global permeability map had four significant limitations: (1) the resolution was coarse; (2) unconsolidated sediments and siliciclastic sedimentary could not be divided into fine grained and coarse grained so the combined permeability values with larger uncertainties had to be used for these categories; (3) the very low permeability of frozen ground [Freeze and Cherry, 1979] was not represented; and (4) in tropical regions the bedrock lithology mapped on the surface may in fact be deeply weathered...
laterite, which was also not represented. The high-resolution map of North America had an additional limitation of artifacts at some jurisdictional boundaries due to different data sources.

First, the previously derived permeability values (Table 1) are combined with a higher-resolution global lithology map (GLiM) [Hartmann and Moosdorf, 2012] and then modified to address the previous limitations in the global map. GLiM was assembled and translated from 92 regional geological maps and consists of a total of 1,200,000 polygons representing geological units. The “average” scale of the GLiM is 1:3,750,000 [Hartmann and Moosdorf, 2012]. The global lithology maps do not include geological structures such as fault zones. Following Gleeson et al. [2011], we adopt the geometric mean as the best and scale-independent estimate of regional-scale permeability.

Second, unconsolidated and consolidated siliciclastic-dominated sediment rock lithologies were mapped in greater detail in GLiM so that they could often be divided into fine grained, coarse grained, or characterized as mixed (i.e., without a dominant grain size). Characteristics of sedimentary units were examined during translation of geological maps instead of just focusing on their stratigraphic age. In sum, the sedimentary classes represent 6100 different rock descriptions, ranging in detail from “sediment, undifferentiated” to “cross bedded and rippled medium to fine-grained quartz sandstone with shale pellet layers in places; minor shale, siltstone, limestone and chert with conglomerate and sublithic sandstone near the base.” Analysis of the individual rock descriptions shows that the geological features are often finer than the assessed scale; and thus, a minority of units is consistently fine or coarse grained in the GLiM database.

Third, the GLiM was merged with spatial information on permafrost using the permafrost zonation index (PZI) [Gruber, 2012]. In areas with continuous permafrost (defined at PZI > 0.99), the permeability was assigned a value of log(k) = −20, assuming that the ice prohibits groundwater flow (Figure 2a). Permeability values are not reassigned in regions of discontinuous permafrost due to the unknown relationship between PZI and permeability, but the maximum expectable permafrost extent (PZI > 0) is shown in Figures 2a and 3a for reference. The new global permeability map is available with or without the permafrost reassignment so that it can be used in climate change analysis.

Figure 2. Detail to show the modifications made to the permeability map of (a) an Arctic region for permafrost and (b) a tropical region for weathering. (c) The extent of Figures 2a and 2b.
Fourth, the GLiM was refined in regions of deeply weathered soils ("laterite" soil class in *Food and Agriculture Organization* [2009]). In these regions, the permeability value is likely dominated by the soil and not by the bedrock tens of meters below the surface. Thus, areas with mapped laterite in the World Harmonized Soil Database were assigned the permeability value of unconsolidated sediment hydrolithologies (Table 1). Figure 2b shows how the deeply weathered soils in the Amazon basin were remapped as an example.

Finally, porosity values from literature (Table 1) are combined with the higher-resolution lithology map in a similar way as the permeability values. Porosity or storage coefficient is defined as the fraction of the volume of voids over the total volume and derived from *Morris and Johnson* [1967] and *Rasmussen* [1963]. Deeply weathered soils in tropical regions were treated as mixed unconsolidated sediments.

---

*Figure 3.* New global high-resolution maps of near-surface permeability. (a) Global map with permeability with regions of continuous permafrost set to log(k) = −20 and (b) with permeability unaffected by continuous permafrost. The maximum permafrost extent is also shown from *Gruber* [2012]. (c) The uncertainty of permeability as shown by the standard deviation of the geometric mean (Table 1). (d) Histogram of the logarithmic permeability of ice-free areas neglecting permafrost effects (Figure 3b). The average polygon size in the new map is 107 km² (including Antarctica) compared to the previous map based on *Dür et al.* [2005], which has an average polygon size of 14,000 km².
3. Results and Conclusions

Figure 3 shows the new global high-resolution map of near-surface permeability either with regions of continuous permafrost set to very low permeability (Figure 3a), or assuming permafrost does not modify the permeability (Figure 3b). The permeability map has an inherent uncertainty represented by the standard deviation of the individual hydro lithologies which is mapped globally in Figure 3c. The spatially distributed mean logarithmic permeabilities \[ \log(k) \] for the globe (assuming permafrost regions have very low permeability \[ \log(k) = -20 \] and excluding glaciated regions) is \( -14.56 \pm 1.9 \text{ m}^2 \). This spatially distributed mean permeability is consistent with previous estimates of shallow crustal permeability [Ingebritsen and Manning, 1999; Gleeson et al., 2011]. The spatially distributed mean logarithmic permeability for a world without permafrost \[ \log(k) = 13.77 \text{ m}^2 \]. Porosity values from literature (Table 1) are combined with the higher-resolution lithology map in a similar way as the permeability values in Figure 4. The spatially distributed mean porosity or storage coefficient for the globe is 14%. The average polygon size in the new maps is 107 km\(^2\) (including Antarctica) compared to the previous map based on Dürr et al. [2005], which has an average polygon size of 14,000 km\(^2\).

Depending on the application of the permeability maps, various caveats may be important. First, artifacts are present at some jurisdictional boundaries due to different data sources in the global lithological map (GLIM). Although the previous coarse-scale permeability map did not have artifacts at jurisdictional boundaries, the dramatic increase in resolution should outweigh this disadvantage. Second, we focus on saturated permeability, but unsaturated permeabilities that can be much lower than saturated permeability are transient and nonlinear depending on lithology and water saturation. To use these permeability maps in Earth system models of regions where unsaturated zone processes are predominant, the relative permeability or constitutive relations between pressure and saturation [e.g., Brooks and Corey, 1964; van Genuchten, 1980] must also be defined. Third, the depths that the permeability maps represent are connected to the depths for which the surface lithologic condition represents the subsurface. We follow Gleeson et al. [2011] in estimating that the lithology maps represent the shallow subsurface (on the order of 100 m) as an estimate, although regionally this value may vary strongly. Fourth, the compiled permeability is all regional scale (>5 km horizontal distance) and well above the scale at which heterogeneities such as discrete fractures control groundwater flow. Effects of fault zones on the permeability are therefore not included.
A number of fundamental and applied scientific problems and policy issues need improved data sets of global hydrogeologic parameters and a better understanding of groundwater systems at regional to continental scales. We map and analyze permeability and porosity globally and at high resolution for the first time. We expect our spatially distributed data will be useful for a number of fundamental and applied scientific problems and policy issues. These new, freely available maps of permeability and porosity are called the GLHYMPS, which we hope will be useful for a number of fundamental and applied scientific problems and policy issues, especially the integration of groundwater dynamics into land surface, hydrologic, and climate models.
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