

A CLASS OF HYPERGEOMETRIC POLYNOMIALS

By

H.M. SRIVASTAVA<sup>1</sup>

DM-371-IR

JUNE 1985

---

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia  
V8W 2Y2, Canada.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 33A30, 33A65.

## ABSTRACT

The object of the present paper is first to derive an interesting unification (and generalization) of a fairly large number of finite summation formulas including, for example, those that appeared in this Journal recently. We then briefly remark on its various (known or new) special cases which are associated with certain classes of hypergeometric polynomials in one and two variables. We also give several further generalizations (involving multiple series with essentially arbitrary terms) which are shown to be applicable in the derivation of analogous summation formulas for hypergeometric series (and polynomials) in three and more variables.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Making use of the fractional derivative operator  $\mathcal{D}_z^\mu$  defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{D}_z^\mu \{z^{\lambda-1}\} = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda-\mu)} z^{\lambda-\mu-1}$$

$$(\mu \neq \lambda; \lambda \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots),$$

Manocha and Sharma ([1], [2]) derived a number of interesting sums involving products of a certain class of Gaussian hypergeometric  ${}_2F_1$  polynomials. Recently, while correcting several errors in some of the results of Manocha and Sharma [1], Qureshi and Pathan [4] applied the fractional derivative operator  $\mathcal{D}_z^\mu$  along the lines of Manocha and Sharma [1] in order to establish the formula (cf. [4, p. 178, Equation (2.4)]):

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.2) \quad & \sum_{n=0}^N \binom{N}{n} \frac{(a)_{N-n} (c)_n}{(b)_{N-n} (d)_n} \left(-\frac{y}{x}\right)^n \\
& \cdot {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} -n, a+N-n; \\ b+N-n; \end{matrix} x \right] {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} -n, c; \\ d; \end{matrix} y \right] \\
& = \frac{(a)_N}{(b)_N} {}_3F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} -N, 1-b-N, c; \\ 1-a-N, d; \end{matrix} \frac{y}{x} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

which is due to Manocha and Sharma [1, p. 475, Equation (31)]; they also gave an alternative proof (without using  $\mathcal{D}_z^\mu$ ) of the following result of Manocha and Sharma (cf. [2, p. 233, Equation (15)]; see also [4, p. 180, Equation (3.5)]):

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.3) \quad & \sum_{n=0}^N (-1)^n \binom{N}{n} {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} -n, a; \\ b; \end{matrix} x \right] {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} -N+n, c; \\ d; \end{matrix} y \right] \\
& = \frac{(a)_N}{(b)_N} x^N {}_3F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} -N, 1-b-N, c; \\ 1-a-N, d; \end{matrix} \frac{y}{x} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

where (and throughout this paper)  $(\lambda)_n = \Gamma(\lambda+n)/\Gamma(\lambda)$ .

In our attempt to present a direct (rather elementary) proof of the summation formula (1.2), without using the fractional derivative operator  $\mathcal{D}_z^\mu$ , we were led naturally to an interesting unification (and generalization) of a fairly large number of finite summation formulas including, for example, (1.2) and (1.3) which happen to be among the main results of [1], [2], and [4]. In Section 2 we state and prove this basic result, and briefly remark on its numerous (known or new) special cases associated with certain classes of hypergeometric polynomials in one and two variables. Finally, in Section 3 we

give several further generalizations involving multiple series with essentially arbitrary terms, and show how these general results can be applied with a view to deriving analogous summation formulas for various classes of hypergeometric series (and polynomials) in three and more variables.

## 2. UNIFICATION (AND GENERALIZATION) OF (1.2) AND (1.3)

In terms of the Pochhammer symbol  $(\lambda)_n$  used in (1.2) and (1.3), let  $F_{q:s;v}^{p:r;u}$  denote the generalized (Kampé de Fériet's) double hypergeometric series (cf., e.g., [6, p. 27, Equation (28) et seq.])

$$(2.1) \quad F_{q:s;v}^{p:r;u} \left[ \begin{array}{l} (\alpha_p): (a_r); (c_u); \\ (\beta_q): (b_s); (d_v); \end{array} \right] x, y$$

$$= \sum_{\ell, m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (\alpha_j)_{\ell+m} \prod_{j=1}^r (a_j)_{\ell} \prod_{j=1}^u (c_j)_m}{\prod_{j=1}^q (\beta_j)_{\ell+m} \prod_{j=1}^s (b_j)_{\ell} \prod_{j=1}^v (d_j)_m} \frac{x^{\ell}}{\ell!} \frac{y^m}{m!},$$

where, for convergence,

$$(i) \quad p + r < q + s + 1, \quad p + u < q + v + 1, \quad |x| < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad |y| < \infty, \quad \text{or}$$

$$(ii) \quad p + r = q + s + 1, \quad p + u = q + v + 1, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} |x|^{1/(p-q)} + |y|^{1/(p-q)} < 1, & \text{if } p > q, \\ \max\{|x|, |y|\} < 1, & \text{if } p \leq q, \end{cases}$$

unless, of course, the series terminates [that is, when (for example) one of the numerator parameters  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$  is zero or a negative integer]; here, and in what follows,  $(\alpha_p)$  abbreviates the array of  $p$  parameters  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$ , with similar interpretations for  $(\beta_q)$ , et cetera, an empty product is to be interpreted as 1, and none of the denominator parameters is zero or a negative integer.

Our unification (and generalization) of the summation formulas (1.2) and (1.3) is given by

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{n=0}^N \binom{N}{n} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\rho} (\gamma_j)_{N-n} \prod_{j=1}^h (\xi_j)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^{\sigma} (\delta_j)_{N-n} \prod_{j=1}^k (\eta_j)_n} \left(-\frac{Y}{X}\right)^n$$

$$\cdot F_{q: s+\sigma; v+k}^{p: 1+r+\rho; 1+u+h} \left[ \begin{array}{l} (\alpha_p): -n, (a_r), (\gamma_\rho)+N-n; \\ (\beta_q): (b_s), (\delta_\sigma)+N-n; \\ \phantom{(\beta_q)}: -N+n, (c_u), (\xi_h)+n; \\ \phantom{(\beta_q)}: (d_v), (\eta_k)+n; \end{array} \right]_{x, y}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\rho} (\gamma_j)_N}{\prod_{j=1}^{\sigma} (\delta_j)_N} \sum_{\substack{\ell+m \leq N \\ \ell, m=0}} \binom{N}{\ell+m} (\ell+m)! \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (\alpha_j)_{\ell+m} \prod_{j=1}^h (\xi_j)_{\ell+m}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (\beta_j)_{\ell+m} \prod_{j=1}^k (\eta_j)_{\ell+m}}$$

$$\cdot \frac{\prod_{j=1}^r (a_j)_\ell \prod_{j=1}^u (c_j)_m}{\prod_{j=1}^s (b_j)_\ell \prod_{j=1}^v (d_j)_m} \frac{Y^\ell (-Y)^m}{\ell! m!}$$

$$\cdot {}_{1+h+\sigma}F_{k+\rho} \left[ \begin{array}{l} -N+\ell+m, (\xi_h)+\ell+m, 1-(\delta_\sigma)-N; \\ (\eta_k)+\ell+m, 1-(\gamma_\rho)-N; \end{array} \right] (-1)^{\rho-\sigma} \frac{Y}{X},$$

provided that each side exists, it being understood (in addition to the conditions stated already) that  $x \neq 0$ , and

$$1 - \gamma_j - N \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots \quad (j = 1, \dots, \rho).$$

PROOF. For convenience, let

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda_n = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (\alpha_j)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^q (\beta_j)_n}, \quad \mu_n = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^r (a_j)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^s (b_j)_n}, \quad \nu_n = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^u (c_j)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^v (d_j)_n},$$

$$(2.5) \quad \theta_n = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\rho} (\gamma_j)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^{\sigma} (\delta_j)_n}, \quad \phi_n = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^h (\xi_j)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^k (\eta_j)_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

and denote the left-hand side of (2.3) by  $S$ . Then, making use of the definition (2.1), we readily have

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{n=0}^N \binom{N}{n} \left(-\frac{Y}{X}\right)^n \sum_{\ell=0}^n \binom{n}{\ell} \mu_{\ell} (-x)^{\ell} \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{m=0}^{N-n} \binom{N-n}{m} \lambda_{\ell+m} \nu_m \theta_{N-n+\ell} \phi_{m+n} (-y)^m \\ &= N! \sum_{\ell, m \geq 0} \lambda_{\ell+m} \mu_{\ell} \nu_m \frac{(-x)^{\ell}}{\ell!} \frac{(-y)^m}{m!} \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{n=\ell}^{N-m} \frac{\theta_{N-n+\ell} \phi_{m+n}}{(n-\ell)! (N-m-n)!} \left(-\frac{Y}{X}\right)^n, \end{aligned}$$

and, upon replacing  $n$  by  $n + \ell$ , we find that

$$(2.6) \quad S = N! \sum_{\ell, m=0}^{\ell+m \leq N} \frac{\lambda_{\ell+m} \mu_{\ell} \nu_m Y^{\ell}}{(N-\ell-m)! \ell! m!} \frac{(-y)^m}{m!},$$

$$\cdot \sum_{n=0}^{N-\ell-m} \binom{N-\ell-m}{n} \theta_{N-n} \phi_{\ell+m+n} \left(-\frac{Y}{X}\right)^n.$$

Since

$$(2.7) \quad \theta_{N-n} = (-1)^{(\rho-\sigma)N} \theta_N \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\sigma} (1-\delta_j - N)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^{\rho} (1-\gamma_j - N)_n}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq N,$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \phi_{\ell+m+n} = \phi_{\ell+m} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^h (\xi_j + \ell + m)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^k (\eta_j + \ell + m)_n},$$

the right-hand side of (2.3) follows when we substitute from (2.7) and (2.8) into (2.6), and rewrite the innermost sum as a generalized hypergeometric polynomial.

REMARK 1. In view of the elementary identity

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{\ell, m=0}^{\infty} f(\ell+m) \frac{x^\ell}{\ell!} \frac{y^m}{m!} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} f(\ell) \frac{(x+y)^\ell}{\ell!},$$

the second member of (2.3) simplifies considerably when we set

$$r = s = u = v = 0,$$

and we thus obtain the summation formula:

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{n=0}^N \binom{N}{n} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\rho} (\gamma_j)_{N-n} \prod_{j=1}^h (\xi_j)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^{\sigma} (\delta_j)_{N-n} \prod_{j=1}^k (\eta_j)_n} \left(-\frac{Y}{X}\right)^n$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot F_{q: \sigma; k}^{p: 1+\rho; 1+h} \left[ \begin{array}{l} (\alpha_p): -n, (\gamma_\rho)+N-n; -N+n, (\xi_h)+n; \\ (\beta_q): (\delta_\sigma)+N-n; (\eta_k)+n; \end{array} \right]_{x, y} \\
& = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\rho} (\gamma_j)_N}{\sigma \prod_{j=1}^{\rho} (\delta_j)_N} F_{k: q; \rho}^{1+h: p; \sigma} \left[ \begin{array}{l} -N, (\xi_h): (\alpha_p); 1-(\delta_\sigma)-N; \\ (\eta_k): (\beta_q); 1-(\gamma_\rho)-N; \end{array} \right]_{-Y+y, (-1)^{\rho-\sigma} \frac{Y}{x}},
\end{aligned}$$

in terms of a terminating version of the generalized Kampé de Fériet series defined by (2.1).

REMARK 2. For  $Y = y$ , (2.10) reduces immediately to the elegant form:

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.11) \quad & \sum_{n=0}^N \binom{N}{n} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\rho} (\gamma_j)_{N-n} \prod_{j=1}^h (\xi_j)_n}{\sigma \prod_{j=1}^{\rho} (\delta_j)_{N-n} \prod_{j=1}^k (\eta_j)_n} \left( -\frac{y}{x} \right)^n \\
& \cdot F_{q: \sigma; k}^{p: 1+\rho; 1+h} \left[ \begin{array}{l} (\alpha_p): -n, (\gamma_\rho)+N-n; -N+n, (\xi_h)+n; \\ (\beta_q): (\delta_\sigma)+N-n; (\eta_k)+n; \end{array} \right]_{x, y} \\
& = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\rho} (\gamma_j)_N}{\sigma \prod_{j=1}^{\rho} (\delta_j)_N} {}_{1+h+\sigma}F_{k+\rho} \left[ \begin{array}{l} -N, (\xi_h), 1-(\delta_\sigma)-N; \\ (\eta_k), 1-(\gamma_\rho)-N; \end{array} \right]_{(-1)^{\rho-\sigma} \frac{y}{x}},
\end{aligned}$$

which evidently yields the summation formula (1.2) in the further special case when

$$p = q = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad h = k = \rho = \sigma = 1.$$

REMARK 3. Yet another interesting special case of our formula (2.3) occurs when we set

$$h = k = \rho = \sigma = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Y = x.$$

Since it is easily verified that

$$(2.12) \quad {}_1F_0 \left[ \begin{matrix} -N+\ell+m; \\ \hline \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{N-\ell-m} (-1)^n \binom{N-\ell-m}{n} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \ell+m=N, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

it follows from (2.3), in this case, that

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{n=0}^N (-1)^n \binom{N}{n} {}_F_{q:1+r;1+u}^{p:s;v} \left[ \begin{matrix} (\alpha_p): -n, (a_r); -N+n, (c_u); \\ (\beta_q): (b_s); (d_v); \end{matrix} ; x, y \right]$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (\alpha_j)_N \prod_{j=1}^r (a_j)_N}{\prod_{j=1}^q (\beta_j)_N \prod_{j=1}^s (b_j)_N} x^N$$

$$\cdot {}_{1+s+u}F_{r+v} \left[ \begin{matrix} -N, 1-(b_s)-N, (c_u); \\ 1-(a_r)-N, (d_v); \end{matrix} ; (-1)^{r-s} \frac{y}{x} \right],$$

provided that

$$1 - a_j - N \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots \quad (j = 1, \dots, r).$$

The summation formula (1.3) is an obvious further special case of (2.13) when

$$p = q = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad r = s = u = v = 1.$$

Indeed, by suitably specializing each of the summation formulas (2.9), (2.11) and (2.13), we can deduce a fairly large number of results which are

scattered throughout the literature (see [1], [2], and [4] for details).

### 3. FURTHER GENERALIZATIONS AND MULTIVARIABLE APPLICATIONS

A closer examination of the proof of the general hypergeometric summation formula (2.3), detailed in Section 2, suggests the existence of a much deeper further generalization involving double series with essentially arbitrary terms (subject, of course, to existence and convergence requirements). More generally, for every bounded multiple sequence

$$\{\Omega(k_1, \dots, k_r; \ell, m, n, t)\}, \quad k_j, \ell, m, n, t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

$$(j = 1, \dots, r),$$

we can apply the proof of (2.3) mutatis mutandis in order to establish the following multivariable extension of (2.3):

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^N \binom{N}{n} \left(-\frac{Y}{X}\right)^n \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^n \sum_{m=0}^{N-n} \Omega(k_1, \dots, k_r; \ell, m, N-n+\ell, m+n)$$

$$\cdot (-n)_{\ell} (-N+n)_m \frac{z_1^{k_1}}{k_1!} \cdots \frac{z_r^{k_r}}{k_r!} \frac{x^{\ell}}{\ell!} \frac{y^m}{m!}$$

$$= \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell, m=0}^{\ell+m \leq N} \binom{N}{\ell+m} (\ell+m)! \frac{z_1^{k_1}}{k_1!} \cdots \frac{z_r^{k_r}}{k_r!} \frac{Y^{\ell}}{\ell!} \frac{(-y)^m}{m!}$$

$$\cdot \sum_{n=0}^{N-\ell-m} \binom{N-\ell-m}{n} \left(-\frac{Y}{X}\right)^n \Omega(k_1, \dots, k_r; \ell, m, N-n, \ell+m+n),$$

which holds true whenever each side exists.

Formula (3.1) reduces to the hypergeometric sum (2.3) in the special case when

$$z_1 = \dots = z_r = 0$$

and [cf. Equations (2.4) and (2.5)]

$$(3.2) \quad \Omega(0, \dots, 0; \ell, m, n, t) = \lambda_{\ell+m} \mu_{\ell} \nu_m \theta_n \phi_t$$

$$(\ell, m, n, t = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

In view of the elementary series identity (2.9), a special case of (3.1) when

$$(3.3) \quad \Omega(k_1, \dots, k_r; \ell, m, n, t) = \Delta(k_1, \dots, k_r; \ell+m, n, t)$$

$$k_j, \ell, m, n, t = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (j = 1, \dots, r),$$

where  $\{\Delta(k_1, \dots, k_r; \ell, m, n)\}$  is a bounded sequence of multiplicity  $r + 3$ , yields the following multivariable extension of the general hypergeometric summation formula (2.10):

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{n=0}^N \binom{N}{n} \left(-\frac{Y}{X}\right)^n \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^n \sum_{m=0}^{N-n} \Delta(k_1, \dots, k_r; \ell+m, N-n+\ell, m+n)$$

$$\cdot (-n)_{\ell} (-N+n)_m \frac{z_1^{k_1}}{k_1!} \dots \frac{z_r^{k_r}}{k_r!} \frac{x^{\ell}}{\ell!} \frac{y^m}{m!}$$

$$= \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^N \binom{N}{\ell} \ell! \frac{z_1^{k_1}}{k_1!} \dots \frac{z_r^{k_r}}{k_r!} \frac{(Y-y)^{\ell}}{\ell!}$$

$$\cdot \sum_{n=0}^{N-\ell} \binom{N-\ell}{n} \left(-\frac{Y}{X}\right)^n \Delta(k_1, \dots, k_r; \ell, N-n, n+\ell),$$

which, for  $Y = y$ , reduces immediately to the elegant form:

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{n=0}^N \binom{N}{n} \left(-\frac{y}{x}\right)^n \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^n \sum_{m=0}^{N-n} \Delta(k_1, \dots, k_r; \ell+m, N-n+\ell, m+n) \\ \cdot (-n)_{\ell} (-N+n)_m \frac{z_1^{k_1}}{k_1!} \cdots \frac{z_r^{k_r}}{k_r!} \frac{x^{\ell}}{\ell!} \frac{y^m}{m!} \\ = \sum_{n=0}^N \binom{N}{n} \left(-\frac{y}{x}\right)^n \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r=0}^{\infty} \Delta(k_1, \dots, k_r; 0, N-n, n) \frac{z_1^{k_1}}{k_1!} \cdots \frac{z_r^{k_r}}{k_r!} .$$

For  $z_1 = \dots = z_r = 0$ , this last summation formula (3.5) would provide a generalization of the hypergeometric sum (2.11) to double series with essentially arbitrary terms. A similar multivariable extension of the hypergeometric summation formula (2.13) follows from (3.1) upon setting  $Y = x$  and

$$(3.6) \quad \Omega(k_1, \dots, k_r; \ell, m, n, t) = \Lambda(k_1, \dots, k_r; \ell, m), \\ k_j, \ell, m, n, t = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (j = 1, \dots, r),$$

where  $\{\Lambda(k_1, \dots, k_r; \ell, m)\}$  is a bounded sequence of multiplicity  $r + 2$ ; making use of the identity (2.12), we thus obtain the summation formula:

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{n=0}^N (-1)^n \binom{N}{n} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^n \sum_{m=0}^{N-n} \Lambda(k_1, \dots, k_r; \ell, m) \\ \cdot (-n)_{\ell} (-N+n)_m \frac{z_1^{k_1}}{k_1!} \cdots \frac{z_r^{k_r}}{k_r!} \frac{x^{\ell}}{\ell!} \frac{y^m}{m!}$$

$$= x^N \sum_{n=0}^N \binom{N}{n} \left(-\frac{y}{x}\right)^n \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r=0}^{\infty} \Lambda(k_1, \dots, k_r; N-n, n) \frac{z_1^{k_1}}{k_1!} \cdots \frac{z_r^{k_r}}{k_r!},$$

provided that both sides exist.

By appropriately specializing the multiple sequences involved, each of our general results (3.1), (3.4), (3.5) and (3.7) can now be applied with a view to deriving the corresponding finite summation formulas for various classes of hypergeometric series (and polynomials) in three and more variables, such as the (Srivastava-Daoust) generalized Lauricella series in  $r + 2$  variables (cf. [6, p. 37, Equation (21) et seq.]). For example, in terms of Srivastava's general triple hypergeometric series  $F^{(3)}[x, y, z]$  (cf. [5, p. 428]; see also [6, p. 44, Equation (14) et seq.]), the special case  $r = 1$  of our last result (3.7) can be readily applied to deduce the following three-variable generalization of the hypergeometric summation formula (2.13):

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{n=0}^N (-1)^n \binom{N}{n} F^{(3)} \left[ \begin{array}{l} (\alpha_p) :: (\gamma_\rho); (\xi_h); \text{---} \\ (\beta_q) :: (\delta_\sigma); (\eta_k); \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ -n, (a_r); -N+n, (c_u); (e_\tau); \\ (b_s); (d_v); (f_w); \end{array} \right] x, y, z$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (\alpha_j)_N \prod_{j=1}^\rho (\gamma_j)_N \prod_{j=1}^r (a_j)_N}{\prod_{j=1}^q (\beta_j)_N \prod_{j=1}^\sigma (\delta_j)_N \prod_{j=1}^s (b_j)_N} x^N$$

$$\cdot F_{k:}^{h:1+s+u;p+\tau} \left[ \begin{array}{l} (\xi_h): -N, 1-(b_s)-N, (c_u); (\alpha_p)+N, (e_\tau); \\ (\eta_k): 1-(a_r)-N, (d_v); (\beta_q)+N, (f_w); \end{array} \right] (-1)^{r-s} \frac{y}{x}, z,$$

where a horizontal dash indicates an empty set of parameters, and (as before)  $x \neq 0$  and

$$1 - a_j - N \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots \quad (j = 1, \dots, r).$$

The hypergeometric summation formula (3.8), which indeed is contained in such substantially deeper results as (3.1) and (3.7), unifies and generalizes scores of hitherto scattered results in the literature (see [3] for details). It corresponds, when  $z = 0$ , to the hypergeometric summation (2.13). More importantly, (3.8) reduces, when  $h = k = 0$ , to the interesting form:

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{n=0}^N (-1)^n \binom{N}{n} F^{(3)} \left[ \begin{array}{l} (\alpha_p) :: (\gamma_\rho); \text{---}; \text{---}; \\ (\beta_q) :: (\delta_\sigma); \text{---}; \text{---}; \end{array} \right. \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} -n, (a_r); -N+n, (c_u); (e_\tau); \\ (b_s); (d_v); (f_\omega); \end{array} \right] x, y, z \\ = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (\alpha_j)_N \prod_{j=1}^\rho (\gamma_j)_N \prod_{j=1}^r (a_j)_N}{\prod_{j=1}^q (\beta_j)_N \prod_{j=1}^\sigma (\delta_j)_N \prod_{j=1}^s (b_j)_N} x^N {}_{p+\tau}F_{q+\omega} \left[ \begin{array}{l} (\alpha_p)+N, (e_\tau); \\ (\beta_q)+N, (f_\omega); \end{array} \right] z \\ \cdot {}_{1+s+u}F_{r+v} \left[ \begin{array}{l} -N, 1-(b_s)-N, (c_u); \\ 1-(a_r)-N, (d_v); \end{array} \right] (-1)^{r-s} \frac{y}{x},$$

which (in conjunction with various known summation theorems for generalized hypergeometric series [6]) is capable of yielding numerous results of possible use in applied mathematics and mathematical physics.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported, in part, by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada under Grant A-7353.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H.L. Manocha and B.L. Sharma, Summation of infinite series, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 6(1966), 470-476.
- [2] H.L. Manocha and B.L. Sharma, Some formulae by means of fractional derivatives, Compositio Math. 18(1967), 229-234.
- [3] Ch. Wali Mohd. and M.I. Qureshi, Expansion formula for general triple hypergeometric series, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B 27(1985), to appear.
- [4] M.I. Qureshi and M.A. Pathan, A note on hypergeometric polynomials, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B 26(1984), 176-182.
- [5] H.M. Srivastava, Generalized Neumann expansions involving hypergeometric functions, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 63(1967), 425-429.
- [6] H.M. Srivastava and P.W. Karlsson, Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series (John Wiley, New York - Chichester - Brisbane - Toronto, 1985).