

---

Faculty of Science

Faculty Publications

---

Fejér-Type Midpoint and Trapezoidal Inequalities for the Operator  $\omega_1, \omega_2$ -Preinvex Functions

Sikander Mehmood, Hari M. Srivastava, Pshtiwan Othman Mohammed, Eman Al-Sarairah, Fiza Zafar, and Kamsing Nonlaopon

2022

©2022 by the authors. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license.

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

This article was originally published at:

<https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms12010016>

---

Citation for this paper:

Mehmood, S., Srivastava, H. M., Mohammed, P. O., Al-Sarairah, E., Zafar, F., & Nonlaopon, K. (2022). Fejér-Type Midpoint and Trapezoidal Inequalities for the Operator  $\omega_1, \omega_2$ -Preinvex Functions. *Axioms*, 12(1), 16.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms12010016>

Article

# Fejér-Type Midpoint and Trapezoidal Inequalities for the Operator $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -Preinvex Functions

Sikander Mehmood <sup>1</sup> , Hari Mohan Srivastava <sup>2,3,4,5</sup> , Pshtiwan Othman Mohammed <sup>6</sup> ,  
Eman Al-Sarairah <sup>7,8</sup> , Fiza Zafar <sup>1</sup>  and Kamsing Nonlaopon <sup>9,\*</sup> 

- <sup>1</sup> Centre for Advanced Studies in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan 60800, Pakistan  
<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC V8W 3R4, Canada  
<sup>3</sup> Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan  
<sup>4</sup> Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Azerbaijan University, 71 Jeyhun Hajibeyli Street, AZ1007 Baku, Azerbaijan  
<sup>5</sup> Center for Converging Humanities, Kyung Hee University, 26 Kyunghedae-ro, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 02447, Republic of Korea  
<sup>6</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of Education, University of Sulaimani, Sulaimani 46001, Iraq  
<sup>7</sup> Department of Mathematics, Khalifa University, Abu Dhabi P.O. Box 127788, United Arab Emirates  
<sup>8</sup> Department of Mathematics, Al-Hussein Bin Talal University, Ma'an P.O. Box 33011, Jordan  
<sup>9</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand  
\* Correspondence: nkamsi@kku.ac.th

**Abstract:** In this work, we obtain some new integral inequalities of the Hermite–Hadamard–Fejér type for operator  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -preinvex functions. The bounds for both left-hand and right-hand sides of the integral inequality are established for operator  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -preinvex functions of the positive self-adjoint operator in the complex Hilbert spaces. We give the special cases to our results; thus, the established results are generalizations of earlier work. In the last section, we give applications for synchronous (asynchronous) functions.

**Keywords:** Hermite–Hadamard inequalities; Hermite–Hadamard–Fejér inequalities;  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -preinvexity; self-adjoint operators; positive operators; functions of self-adjoint operators; Hölder inequality; synchronous (asynchronous) functions

**MSC:** 47A63; 26D07; 26D10; 26D15; 26D99



**Citation:** Mehmood, S.; Srivastava, H.M.; Mohammed, P.O.; Al-Sarairah, E.; Zafar, F.; Nonlaopon, K. Fejér-Type Midpoint and Trapezoidal Inequalities for the Operator  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -Preinvex Functions. *Axioms* **2023**, *12*, 16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms12010016>

Academic Editor: Sevtap Sümer Eker

Received: 17 November 2022  
 Revised: 20 December 2022  
 Accepted: 21 December 2022  
 Published: 24 December 2022



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

In the field of inequality theory, which has many application areas in mathematical analysis and applied mathematics, researchers have proven dozens of inequality types. We will start by introducing two inequalities that stand out with their aesthetic structures, applications, and functionality among these types of inequalities.

One of the basic concepts used in many of the studies in the field of inequalities is a special function class with applications in statistics, convex programming, numerical analysis, and many other fields. The Hermite–Hadamard inequality, which is created by using convex functions, and have a very intricate structure with inequalities, is given here.

For any convex function,  $\check{g} : I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and for  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I$  with  $\mu_1 < \mu_2$ , the following two-sided inequality holds true:

$$\check{g}\left(\frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\mu_2 - \mu_1} \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_2} \check{g}(\hat{u}) d\hat{u} \leq \frac{\check{g}(\mu_1) + \check{g}(\mu_2)}{2}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mu_1 < \mu_2$ . The inequality (1) is called the classical Hermite–Hadamard inequality.

The Hermite–Hadamard–Fejér inequality, which is the general form of the inequality (1) and has been proved by using a weight function, is presented as follows (see [1]).

For any convex function  $\check{g} : I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and for an integrable function  $h(\hat{u}) : [\ell_1, \ell_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which is symmetric about  $\frac{\ell_1 + \ell_2}{2}$ , it is asserted that

$$\check{g}\left(\frac{\ell_1 + \ell_2}{2}\right) \int_{\ell_1}^{\ell_2} h(\hat{u})d\hat{u} \leq \int_{\ell_1}^{\ell_2} \check{g}(t)h(\hat{u})d\hat{u} \leq \frac{\check{g}(\ell_1) + \check{g}(\ell_2)}{2} \int_{\ell_1}^{\ell_2} h(\hat{u})d\hat{u}, \tag{2}$$

where  $h(\hat{u})$  is a weight function.

Researchers working on these two famous inequalities have obtained generalizations, extensions, improvements, and iterations by considering different types of convex functions, different types of derivative and integral operators, new methods, and different spaces. Hermite–Hadamard inequalities for operator convex and generalized convex functions have proposed (see, for example [2–7]). In 2015, Barani [8] developed the Hermite–Hadamard inequalities for the products of two operator preinvex functions. In 2017, Wang and Sun [7] established the Hermite–Hadamard-type inequalities for operator  $\alpha$ -preinvex functions. In 2022, Omrani et al. [9] proposed the Hermite–Hadamard-type inequalities for operator  $(p, h)$ -convex functions.

Due to wide range and applications of Hermite–Hadamard inequalities, researchers have extended their work (see, for example [10–18]).

We now recall the operator order in  $\mathbf{B}(\hat{E})$ , which is the set of all-bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space  $(\hat{E}; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ . For the self-adjoint operators  $K_1, K_2 \in \mathbf{B}(\hat{E})$ , we may write  $K_1 \leq K_2$  if

$$\langle K_1 \hat{u}, \hat{u} \rangle \leq \langle K_2 \hat{u}, \hat{u} \rangle$$

for all  $\hat{u} \in \hat{E}$ . We name it the operator order.

In general, we write  $\mathbb{R}_0 = [0, \infty)$  and  $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$ .

**Definition 1.** (see [11]) Let  $K_1$  be a bounded self-adjoint linear operator on a complex Hilbert space  $(\hat{E}; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ . The Gelfand map establishes a  $*$ -isometric isomorphism between the set  $C(\text{Sp}(K_1))$  of all continuous functions defined on the spectrum of  $K_1$ , denoted  $\text{Sp}(K_1)$ , and the  $C*$ -algebra  $C*(K_1)$  is generated by  $K_1$  and the identity operator  $1_{\hat{E}}$  on  $\hat{E}$ , as follows. For any  $\check{g}_1, \check{g}_2 \in C(\text{Sp}(K_1))$  and any  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have

- (i)  $\Omega(\mu_1 \check{g}_1 + \mu_2 \check{g}_2) = \mu_1 \Omega(\check{g}_1) + \mu_2 \Omega(\check{g}_2);$
- (ii)  $\Omega(\check{g}_1 \check{g}_2) = \Omega(\check{g}_1) \Omega(\check{g}_2);$
- (iii)  $\Omega(\check{g}_1) = \Omega(\check{g}_1)^*;$
- (iv)  $\|\Omega(\check{g}_1)\| = \|\check{g}_1\| := \sup_{\tau \in \text{Sp}(K_1)} |\check{g}_1(\tau)|;$
- (v)  $\Omega(\check{g}_1) = 1_{\hat{E}}$  and  $\Omega(\check{g}_1) = K_1$ , where  $\check{g}_1(\tau) = 1$  and  $\check{g}_1(\tau) = \tau$  for  $\tau \in \text{Sp}(K_1)$ .

**Definition 2.** By using the notations of Definition 1, we now define

$$\check{g}_1(K_1) := \Omega(\check{g}_1)$$

for all  $\check{g}_1 \in \hat{C}(\text{Sp}(K_1))$ .

If  $K_1$  is a self-adjoint linear operator and it is also bounded, and if  $\check{g}_1 \in \text{Sp}(K_1)$  is a real-valued function for any  $\tau \in \text{Sp}(K_1)$ , then

$$\check{g}_1(\tau) \geq 0 \Rightarrow \check{g}_1(K_1) \geq 0.$$

If  $\check{g}_1$  and  $\check{g}_2$  are real-valued functions on  $\text{Sp}(K_1)$ , where

$$\check{g}_1(\tau) \leq \check{g}_2(\tau)$$

for any  $\tau \in \text{Sp}(K_1)$ , then

$$\check{g}_1(K_1) \leq \check{g}_2(K_1)$$

in the operator order of  $\mathbf{B}(\hat{E})$ .

**Definition 3.** (see [3]) Let  $\check{g}_1$  be a real-valued function defined on the interval  $I$ , where  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Then,  $\check{g}_1$  is called operator convex if

$$\check{g}_1((1 - \tau)K_1 + \tau K_2) \leq (1 - \tau)\check{g}_1(K_1) + \tau\check{g}_1(K_2).$$

If  $\check{g}_1$  is considered operator concave, then the above inequality will be reversed.

**Definition 4.** (see [4]) Let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0$  and let  $\hat{E}$  be a convex subset of  $\mathbf{B}(\hat{E})_{sa}^+$ . Then, a continuous function  $\check{g}_1 : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be operator  $s$ -convex on the interval  $I$  for operator in  $\hat{E}$  if

$$\check{g}_1(\tau K_1 + (1 - \tau)K_2) \leq \tau^s \check{g}_1(K_1) + (1 - \tau)^s \check{g}_1(K_2)$$

in the operator order  $\mathbf{B}(\hat{E})$  for all  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ , where  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are positive operators in  $\hat{E}$  and their spectra are contained in the interval  $I$  and  $s \in [0, 1]$ .

**Definition 5.** A bivariate function  $\mathfrak{S} : \hat{E} \times \hat{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to satisfy the condition  $(\hat{C})$  if, for every  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \hat{E}$  and  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{S}(\mu_1, \mu_2 + \tau\mathfrak{S}(\mu_1, \mu_2)) = -\tau\mathfrak{S}(\mu_1, \mu_2)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{S}(\mu_1, \mu_2 + \tau\mathfrak{S}(\mu_1, \mu_2)) = (1 - \tau)\mathfrak{S}(\mu_1, \mu_2).$$

We note that, for every  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \hat{E}$  and every  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in [0, 1]$ , we find from the condition  $(\hat{C})$  that

$$\mathfrak{S}(\mu_1 + \mu_2\mathfrak{S}(\mu_1, \mu_2), \mu_1 + \mu_1\mathfrak{S}(\mu_1, \mu_2)) = (\mu_2 - \mu_1)\mathfrak{S}(\mu_1, \mu_2).$$

**Definition 6.** A general form of the classical Beta function  $B(\alpha, \beta)$ , which is known as the incomplete Beta function, is defined by

$$B_z(\alpha, \beta) = B(z; \alpha, \beta) := \int_0^z \tau^{\alpha-1}(1 - \tau)^{\beta-1} d\tau$$

$$(\Re(\alpha) > 0; \min\{\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta)\} > 0 \text{ and } z = 1).$$

**Definition 7.** Let  $\hat{E} \subseteq \mathbf{B}(\hat{E})_{sa}^+$  be an invex set with respect to  $\mathfrak{S} : \hat{E} \times \hat{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\hat{E})_{sa}^+$  and  $\check{g}_1 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then, the continuous function  $\check{g}_1$  is called operator preinvex with respect to  $\mathfrak{S}$  if

$$\check{g}_1(K_1 + \tau\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) \leq (1 - \tau)\check{g}_1(K_1) + \tau\check{g}_1(K_2)$$

in the operator order in  $\mathbf{B}(\hat{E})$  for all  $K_1, K_2 \in \hat{E}$  and  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ .

We now recall each of the following known results.

**Theorem 1.** (see [3], Theorem 3) Let  $\hat{E}$  be an invex subset of  $\mathbf{B}(\hat{E})_{sa}^+$  and let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be a function, where  $\mathfrak{S} : \hat{E} \times \hat{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\hat{E})_{sa}^+$  and  $\check{g}_1 : I \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function on the interval  $I$ . Suppose also that the set  $\hat{E}$  satisfies the condition  $(\hat{C})$  on the set  $\hat{E}$ . If the function  $\check{g}_1$  is said to be operator preinvex on  $\mathfrak{S}$ -path  $P_{K_1 C}$  with spectra of  $K_1$  and  $C$  contained in  $V$ , then the following result holds true:

$$\check{g}_1\left(\frac{K_1 + K_2}{2}\right) \leq \int_0^1 \check{g}_1(K_1 + \tau\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))d\tau \leq \frac{\check{g}_1(K_1) + \check{g}_1(K_2)}{2}$$

for every  $K_1, K_2 \in \hat{E}$ ,  $C = K_1 + \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)$  and  $\tau \in (0, 1]$ .

**Theorem 2.** (see [6], Theorem 2.5) Let  $\hat{E}$  be an invex subset of  $\mathbf{B}(\hat{E})_{sa}^+$  and let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be a function, where  $\mathfrak{S} : \hat{E} \times \hat{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\hat{E})_{sa}^+$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_1 : I \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function on the interval  $I$ . Suppose also that the set  $\hat{E}$  satisfies the condition  $(\hat{C})$  on the set  $\hat{E}$ . If, for  $s \in (0, 1]$ , the function  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  is said to be  $s$ -preinvex preinvex on the  $\mathfrak{S}$ -path  $P_{K_1 C}$  with spectra of  $K_1$  and  $C$  are contained in  $V$ , then the following result holds true:

$$2^{s-1} \mathfrak{g}_1\left(\frac{K_1 + K_2}{2}\right) \leq \int_0^1 \mathfrak{g}_1(K_1 + \tau\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))d\tau \leq \frac{\mathfrak{g}_1(K_1) + \mathfrak{g}_1(K_2)}{s + 1}$$

for every  $K_1, K_2 \in \hat{E}$  and  $C = K_1 + \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)$  and  $\tau \in (0, 1]$ .

**Theorem 3.** (see [5], Theorem 3.1) Let  $\hat{E}$  be an invex subset of  $\mathbf{B}(\hat{E})_{sa}^+$  and let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be a function, where  $\mathfrak{S} : \hat{E} \times \hat{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\hat{E})_{sa}^+$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_1 : I \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function on the interval  $I$ . Suppose also that the condition  $(\hat{C})$  is satisfied on  $\hat{E}$ . If, for  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , the function  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  is the operator  $\alpha$ -preinvex on the  $\mathfrak{S}$ -path  $P_{K_1 C}$  with spectra of  $K_1$  and  $C$  are contained in  $V$ , then the following inequality holds true:

$$\mathfrak{g}_1\left(\frac{K_1 + K_2}{2}\right) \leq \int_0^1 \mathfrak{g}_1(K_1 + \tau\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))d\tau \leq \frac{\alpha\mathfrak{g}_1(K_1) + \mathfrak{g}_1(K_2)}{\alpha + 1},$$

for every  $K_1, K_2 \in \hat{E}$ ,  $C = K_1 + \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)$  and  $\tau \in (0, 1]$ .

Each of the following lemmas will be needed in our investigation.

**Lemma 1.** (see [19], Lemma 1) Let  $\hat{E} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0$  be an invex subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be a function such that  $\mathfrak{S} : \hat{E} \times \hat{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and, for  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \hat{E}\mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mu_1 < \mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)$ . If  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \in L([\mu_1, \mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)])$  is a differentiable function on  $\hat{E}$ . If  $\mathfrak{h}_1 : [\mu_1, \mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0$  is an integrable mapping, then the following results holds true:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \mathfrak{g}_1(u)\mathfrak{h}_1(u)du \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \mathfrak{g}_1\left(\mu_1 + \frac{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)}{2}\right) \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \mathfrak{h}_1(u)du \\ & = \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1) \int_0^1 K(\tau)\mathfrak{g}_1(\tau)d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K(\tau) = \begin{cases} \int_0^\tau \mathfrak{h}_1(\mu_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1))du & (\tau \in [0, \frac{1}{2})) \\ - \int_\tau^1 \mathfrak{h}_1(\mu_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1))du & (\tau \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]). \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 2.** (see [19], Lemma 2) Let  $\hat{E} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0$  be an invex subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be a function such that  $\mathfrak{S} : \hat{E} \times \hat{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and, for  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \hat{E}\mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mu_1 < \mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)$ . If  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \in L([\mu_1, \mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)])$  is a differentiable function on  $\hat{E}$  and if  $\mathfrak{h}_1 : [\mu_1, \mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0$  is an integrable mapping, which is symmetric with respect to  $\mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)$ , then the following results holds true:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{g}_1(\dot{u}) \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \\ & \quad - \left( \frac{\check{g}_1(\mu_1) + \check{g}_1(\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1))}{2\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \right) \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \\ & = \frac{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)}{2} \int_0^1 K(\tau) \check{g}_1(\mu_1 + \tau\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K(\tau) = \int_{\tau}^1 \check{h}_1(\mu_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)) du - \int_0^{\tau} \check{h}_1(\mu_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)) du \quad (\tau \in [0, 1]).$$

In this article, we give some new Hermite–Hadamard–Fejér-type inequalities for the operator  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -preinvex functions. We shall also demonstrate how our main findings in this article provide generalizations of some results in earlier studies.

### 2. Main Results

We begin this section by recalling the following definition.

**Definition 8.** (see [20]) Let  $\hat{E}$  be an invex subset of  $\mathbf{B}(\hat{E})_{sa}^+$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{S} : \hat{E} \times \hat{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\hat{E})_{sa}^+$ . Furthermore, let  $\check{g}_1$  be a continuous function such that  $\check{g}_1 : \hat{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be the operator  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -preinvex on  $\hat{E}$  if

$$\check{g}_1(K_1 + \tau\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) \leq \tau^{\omega_1} (1 - \tau)^{\omega_2} \check{g}_1(K_1) + (1 - \tau)^{\omega_1} \tau^{\omega_2} \check{g}_1(K_2), \tag{3}$$

in the operator order  $\mathbf{B}(\hat{E})_{sa}^+$ , for all  $\tau \in [0, 1]$  and, for every positive operators  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  in  $\hat{E}$ , their spectra are contained in  $C$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\hat{E} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0$  be the invex subset of  $\mathbf{B}(\hat{E})_{sa}^+$  and assume there is a function  $\mathfrak{S}$ , where  $\mathfrak{S} : \hat{E} \times \hat{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\hat{E})_{sa}^+$  and  $\check{g}_1 : \hat{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function. If the condition  $(\hat{C})$  is fulfilled on  $\hat{E}$ , then for  $C = K_1 + \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)$  for all  $K_1, K_2 \in \hat{E}$ , the function  $\check{g}_1$  is an operator  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -preinvex with respect to  $\mathfrak{S}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}$ -path  $P_{K_1C}$  and  $\hat{E}$  contains the spectra of  $C$  if and only if the function  $\Omega_{\dot{u}, K_1, K_2} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , defined by

$$\Omega_{\dot{u}, K_1, K_2}(\tau) := \langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + \tau\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) \dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle,$$

is  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -convex in the interval  $[0, 1]$  for all  $\dot{u} \in \hat{E}$  with  $\|\dot{u}\| = 1$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\dot{u} \in \hat{E}$  with  $\|\dot{u}\| = 1$  and let  $\Omega_{\dot{u}, K_1, K_2} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -convex on  $[0, 1]$ . For all

$$\hat{C}_1 := K_1 + \omega_1\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1) \in P_{K_1C} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{C}_2 := K_1 + \omega_2\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1) \in P_{K_1C},$$

we fix  $\tau \in [0, 1]$  and utilize the condition  $\hat{C}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \check{g}_1(\hat{C}_1 + \tau \mathfrak{S}(\hat{C}_2, \hat{C}_1))\dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle &= \langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + \omega_1 \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1) + \tau(\omega_2 - \omega_1)\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle \\
 &= \langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + (1 - \tau)\omega_1 \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1) + \tau\omega_2 \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle \\
 &= \langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + ((1 - \tau)\omega_1 + \tau\omega_2)\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle \\
 &= \Omega_{\dot{u}, K_1, K_2}((1 - \tau)\omega_1 + \tau\omega_2) \\
 &\leq \tau^{\omega_1} (1 - \tau)^{\omega_2} \Omega_{\dot{u}, K_1, K_2}(\omega_1) + (1 - \tau)^{\omega_1} \tau^{\omega_2} \Omega_{\dot{u}, K_1, K_2}(\omega_2) \\
 &= \tau^{\omega_1} (1 - \tau)^{\omega_2} \langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + \omega_1 \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle \\
 &\quad + (1 - \tau)^{\omega_1} \tau^{\omega_2} \langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + \omega_2 \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle \\
 &= \tau^{\omega_1} (1 - \tau)^{\omega_2} \langle \check{g}_1(\hat{C}_1)\dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle + (1 - \tau)^{\omega_1} \tau^{\omega_2} \langle \check{g}_1(\hat{C}_2)\dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\check{g}_1$  is operator  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -preinvex.

Conversely, let  $K_1, K_2 \in \hat{E}$  and let  $\check{g}_1$  be operator  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -preinvex with respect to  $\mathfrak{S}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}$ -path  $P_{K_1 C}$ . Suppose that  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in [0, 1]$  and  $\dot{u} \in \hat{E}$  with  $\|\dot{u}\| = 1$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega_{\dot{u}, K_1, K_2}((1 - \tau)\omega_1 + \tau\omega_2) &:= \langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + ((1 - \tau)\omega_1 + \tau\omega_2)\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle \\
 &= \langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + \omega_1 \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1) + \tau(\omega_2 - \omega_1)\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle \\
 &= \langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + \omega_1 \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1) \\
 &\quad + \tau \mathfrak{S}(K_1 + \omega_2 \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1), K_1 + \omega_1 \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)))\dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle \\
 &\leq \tau^{\omega_1} (1 - \tau)^{\omega_2} \langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + \omega_1 \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle \\
 &\quad + (1 - \tau)^{\omega_1} \tau^{\omega_2} \langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + \omega_2 \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle \\
 &= \tau^{\omega_1} (1 - \tau)^{\omega_2} \Omega(\omega_1) + (1 - \tau)^{\omega_1} \tau^{\omega_2} \Omega(\omega_2),
 \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\Omega_{\dot{u}, K_1, K_2}$  is  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -convex on  $[0, 1]$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\hat{E} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0$  be the invex subset of  $\mathbf{B}(\hat{E})_{sa}^+$  and assume there is a function  $\mathfrak{S}$ , where  $\mathfrak{S} : \hat{E} \times \hat{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\hat{E})_{sa}^+$  and  $\check{g}_1 : \hat{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function. If the condition  $(\hat{C})$  is fulfilled on  $\hat{E}$ , then for  $C = K_1 + \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)$  for all  $K_1, K_2 \in \hat{E}$ , the function  $|\mathcal{O}'|$  is an operator  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -preinvex with respect to  $\mathfrak{S}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}$ -path  $P_{K_1 C}$  and that  $\hat{E}$  contains the spectra of  $C$ . Then, for all  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in (0, 1)$  where  $\mu_1 < \mu_2$  and for all  $\dot{u} \in \hat{E}$ , where  $\|\dot{u}\| = 1$ , the following inequality holds true:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \left\langle \left( \int_0^\tau \check{g}_1(K_1 + u \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \right) \dot{u}, \dot{u} \right\rangle \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_0^{\mu_1 + \frac{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)}{2}} \langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + u \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle du \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right| \\
 &\leq \|\check{h}_1\|_\infty \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \left[ \beta \left( 1 - \frac{\dot{u} - \mu_1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} : 1 + \omega_1, 1 + \omega_2 \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \beta \left( \frac{\dot{u} - \mu_1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} : 1 + \omega_1, 1 + \omega_2 \right) \right] |\langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_1 \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle| \\
 &\quad \cdot \left[ \beta \left( 1 - \frac{\dot{u} - \mu_1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} : 1 + \omega_2, 1 + \omega_1 \right) - \beta \left( \frac{\dot{u} - \mu_1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} : 1 + \omega_2, 1 + \omega_1 \right) \right] \\
 &\quad \cdot |\langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_2 \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle| d\dot{u}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

It is also asserted that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_0^\tau \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_0^{\mu_1 + \frac{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)}{2}} \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right\| \\ & \leq \| \check{h}_1 \|_\infty \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \left[ \beta \left( 1 - \frac{\dot{u} - \mu_1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} : 1 + \omega_1, 1 + \omega_2 \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \beta \left( \frac{\dot{u} - \mu_1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} : 1 + \omega_1, 1 + \omega_2 \right) \right] \| \check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_1 \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) \| \\ & \quad \cdot \left[ \beta \left( 1 - \frac{\dot{u} - \mu_1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} : 1 + \omega_2, 1 + \omega_1 \right) - \beta \left( \frac{\dot{u} - \mu_1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} : 1 + \omega_2, 1 + \omega_1 \right) \right] \\ & \quad \cdot \| \check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_2 \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) \|. \tag{5} \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Let  $K_1, K_2 \in \hat{E}$  and suppose that, for all  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in (0, 1)$ , where  $\mu_1 < \mu_2$ . For  $\dot{u} \in \hat{E}$  with  $\|\dot{u}\| = 1$ , there is a function  $\Omega : [\mu_1, \mu_2] \subseteq [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0$  given by

$$\Omega(\tau) := \left\langle \left( \int_0^\tau \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \right) \dot{u}, \dot{u} \right\rangle. \tag{6}$$

Now, by applying the properties of integrals of operator-valued functions and continuity in the inner product, we obtain

$$\left\langle \left( \int_0^\tau \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \right) \dot{u}, \dot{u} \right\rangle = \int_0^\tau \langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) \dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle du. \tag{7}$$

Since  $\check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) \geq 0$ ,  $\Omega(\tau) \geq 0$  for all  $\tau \in [\mu_1, \mu_2]$ , we have

$$\Omega'(\tau) = \langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + \tau\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) \dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle \geq 0. \tag{8}$$

Hence

$$|\Omega'(\tau)| = \Omega'(\tau).$$

Furthermore, since  $\check{g}_1$  is operator  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -preinvex with respect to  $\mathfrak{S}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}$ -path  $P_{K_1C}$  and  $\Omega'$  is  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -convex. Now, by using Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \Omega(\dot{u}) \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right. \tag{9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \Omega \left( \mu_1 + \frac{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)}{2} \right) \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right| \\ & \leq \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1) \int_0^{1/2} \left( \int_0^\tau |\check{h}_1(\mu_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1))| du \right) \\ & \quad \cdot [\tau^{\omega_1} (1 - \tau)^{\omega_2} |\Omega'(\mu_1)| + (1 - \tau)^{\omega_1} \tau^{\omega_2} |\Omega'(\mu_2)|] d\tau \\ & \quad + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1) \int_{1/2}^1 \left( \int_\tau^1 |\check{h}_1(\mu_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1))| du \right) \\ & \quad \cdot [\tau^{\omega_1} (1 - \tau)^{\omega_2} |\Omega'(\mu_1)| + (1 - \tau)^{\omega_1} \tau^{\omega_2} |\Omega'(\mu_2)|] d\tau \\ & = I_1 + I_2. \tag{10} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, after changing order of integration, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1) \int_0^{1/2} \left( \int_0^\tau |\tilde{h}_1(\mu_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1))| du \right) \\
 &\quad \cdot [\tau^{\omega_1}(1-\tau)^{\omega_2}|\Omega'(\mu_1)| + (1-\tau)^{\omega_1}\tau^{\omega_2}|\Omega'(\mu_2)|] d\tau \\
 &= \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1) \int_0^{1/2} |\tilde{h}_1(\mu_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1))| \\
 &\quad \cdot \left( \int_u^{1/2} [\tau^{\omega_1}(1-\tau)^{\omega_2}|\Omega'(\mu_1)| + (1-\tau)^{\omega_1}\tau^{\omega_2}|\Omega'(\mu_2)|] d\tau \right) du \\
 &= \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1) \int_0^{1/2} |\tilde{h}_1(\mu_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1))| \\
 &\quad \cdot \left[ \left( \beta\left(\frac{1}{2} : 1 + \omega_1, 1 + \omega_2\right) - \beta(u : 1 + \omega_1, 1 + \omega_2) \right) |\Omega'(\mu_1)| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left( \beta\left(\frac{1}{2} : 1 + \omega_2, 1 + \omega_1\right) - \beta(u : 1 + \omega_2, 1 + \omega_1) \right) |\Omega'(\mu_2)| \right] du.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the change of variable given by  $\dot{u} = \mu_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(\check{a}_2, \check{a}_1)$  for  $u \in [0, 1]$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} |\tilde{h}_1(\dot{u})| \\
 &\quad \cdot \left[ \left( \beta\left(\frac{1}{2} : 1 + \omega_1, 1 + \omega_2\right) - \beta\left(\frac{\dot{u} - \mu_1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} : 1 + \omega_1, 1 + \omega_2\right) \right) |\Omega'(\mu_1)| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left( \beta\left(\frac{1}{2} : 1 + \omega_2, 1 + \omega_1\right) - \beta\left(\frac{\dot{u} - \mu_1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} : 1 + \omega_2, 1 + \omega_1\right) \right) |\Omega'(\mu_2)| \right] d\dot{u}. \tag{11}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, on changing the integration order and considering the fact that  $\tilde{h}_1$  is symmetric with respect to  $\mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{S}(\check{a}_2, \check{a}_1)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1) \int_{1/2}^1 \left( \int_0^\tau |\tilde{h}_1(\mu_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1))| du \right) \\
 &\quad \cdot [\tau^{\omega_1}(1-\tau)^{\omega_2}|\Omega'(\mu_1)| + (1-\tau)^{\omega_1}\tau^{\omega_2}|\Omega'(\mu_2)|] d\tau \\
 &= \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1) \int_{1/2}^1 |\tilde{h}_1(\mu_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1))| \\
 &\quad \cdot \left( \int_{1/2}^u [\tau^{\omega_1}(1-\tau)^{\omega_2}|\Omega'(\mu_1)| + (1-\tau)^{\omega_1}\tau^{\omega_2}|\Omega'(\mu_2)|] d\tau \right) du \\
 &= \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1) \int_{1/2}^1 |\tilde{h}_1(\mu_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1))| \\
 &\quad \cdot \left[ \left( \beta(u : 1 + \omega_1, 1 + \omega_2) - \beta\left(\frac{1}{2} : 1 + \omega_1, 1 + \omega_2\right) \right) |\Omega'(\mu_1)| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left( \beta(u : 1 + \omega_2, 1 + \omega_1) - \beta\left(\frac{1}{2} : 1 + \omega_2, 1 + \omega_1\right) \right) |\Omega'(\mu_2)| \right] du.
 \end{aligned}$$

By changing the variable  $\dot{u} = \mu_1 + (1-u)\mathfrak{S}(\check{a}_2, \check{a}_1)$  for  $u \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} |\tilde{h}_1(\dot{u})| \\
 &\quad \cdot \left[ \left( \beta\left(1 - \frac{\dot{u} - \mu_1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} : 1 + \omega_1, 1 + \omega_2\right) - \beta\left(\frac{1}{2} : 1 + \omega_1, 1 + \omega_2\right) \right) |\Omega'(\mu_1)| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left( \beta\left(1 - \frac{\dot{u} - \mu_1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} : 1 + \omega_2, 1 + \omega_1\right) - \beta\left(\frac{1}{2} : 1 + \omega_2, 1 + \omega_1\right) \right) |\Omega'(\mu_2)| \right] d\dot{u}. \tag{12}
 \end{aligned}$$

We now add (11) and (12) and utilize the fact that

$$\|h_1\|_\infty = \sup_{\dot{u} \in [\check{a}_1, \check{a}_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\check{a}_2, \check{a}_1)]} |h_1(\dot{u})|.$$

We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 + I_2 &= \|h_1\|_\infty \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} |\Omega'(\mu_1)| \\ &\cdot \left[ \beta \left( 1 - \frac{\dot{u} - \mu_1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} : 1 + \omega_1, 1 + \omega_2 \right) - \beta \left( \frac{\dot{u} - \mu_1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} : 1 + \omega_1, 1 + \omega_2 \right) \right] \\ &+ |\Omega'(\mu_2)| \left[ \beta \left( 1 - \frac{\dot{u} - \mu_1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} : 1 + \omega_2, 1 + \omega_1 \right) - \beta \left( \frac{\dot{u} - \mu_1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} : 1 + \omega_2, 1 + \omega_1 \right) \right] d\dot{u}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by using the results of (6)–(8), we obtain (4) and, upon taking the supremum on both sides of (4) with  $\|\dot{u}\| = 1$ , we obtain (5).  $\square$

**Remark 1.** For  $\omega_1 = s$  and  $\omega_2 = 0$  in (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_0^\tau \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du h_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^{\mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} h_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right\| \\ &\leq (\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1))^2 \|h_1\|_\infty \left[ \frac{2^{s+1} - 1}{(s+1)(s+2)2^{s+1}} \right] \\ &\quad \cdot [\|\check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_1\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\| + \|\check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_2\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\|]. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.** For  $s = 1$  in (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_0^\tau \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du h_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot - \int_0^{\mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \cdot \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} h_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{(\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1))^2 \|h_1\|_\infty}{8} [\|\check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_1\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\| + \|\check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_2\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\|]. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 5.** Let  $\hat{E} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0$  be the invex subset of  $\mathbf{B}(\hat{E})_{sa}^+$  and assume there is a function  $\mathfrak{S}$ , where  $\mathfrak{S} : \hat{E} \times \hat{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\hat{E})_{sa}^+$  and  $\check{g}_1 : \hat{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function. If the condition  $(\hat{C})$  is fulfilled on  $\hat{E}$ , then for  $C = K_1 + \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)$  for all  $K_1, K_2 \in \hat{E}$ , the function  $|\Omega'|^b$  is an operator  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -preinvex with respect to  $\mathfrak{S}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}$ -path  $P_{K_1C}$  and that  $\hat{E}$  contains the spectra of  $C$ . Then, for all  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in (0, 1)$  where  $\mu_1 < \mu_2$  and for all  $\dot{u} \in \hat{E}$ , where  $\|\dot{u}\| = 1$ , the following inequality holds true:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \left\langle \left( \int_0^\tau \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \right) \dot{u}, \dot{u} \right\rangle \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right. \\
 & \left. - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_0^{\mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) \dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle du \cdot \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right| \\
 & \leq \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1) \left( \int_0^1 |K(\tau)|^a d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{a}} [2\beta(1 + \omega_1, 1 + \omega_2) \\
 & \cdot |\langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_1\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) \dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle|^b + |\langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_2\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) \dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle|^b]^{\frac{1}{b}}. \tag{13}
 \end{aligned}$$

It is also asserted that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_0^\tau \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right. \\
 & \left. - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_0^{\mu_1 + \frac{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)}{2}} \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \cdot \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right\| \\
 & \leq \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1) \left( \int_0^1 |K(\tau)|^a d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{a}} [2\beta(1 + \omega_1, 1 + \omega_2) \\
 & \cdot |\check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_1\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))|^b + |\check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_2\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))|^b]^{\frac{1}{b}}, \tag{14}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} = 1.$$

**Proof.** After applying Hölder’s inequality on Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \Omega(\dot{u}) \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right. \\
 & \left. - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \Omega\left(\mu_1 + \frac{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)}{2}\right) \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right| \\
 & \leq \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1) \left( \int_0^1 |K(\tau)|^a d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{a}} \\
 & \cdot \left( \int_0^1 |\Omega'((1 - \tau)\omega_1 + \tau\omega_2)|^b d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{b}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From the  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -convexity of  $|\Omega'|^b$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \Omega(\dot{u}) h_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \Omega\left(\mu_1 + \frac{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)}{2}\right) \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} h_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right| \\
 & \leq \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1) \left( \int_0^1 |K(\tau)|^a d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{a}} \\
 & \quad \cdot \left( \int_0^1 \left[ \tau^{\omega_1} (1-\tau)^{\omega_2} |\Omega'(\mu_1)|^b + (1-\tau)^{\omega_1} \tau^{\omega_2} |\Omega'(\mu_2)|^b \right] d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{b}} \\
 & = \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1) \left( \int_0^1 |K(\tau)|^a d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{a}} \\
 & \quad \cdot \left[ \beta(1 + \omega_1, 1 + \omega_2) \left( |\Omega'(\mu_1)|^b + |\Omega'(\mu_2)|^b \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{b}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by applying the results in (6)–(8), we obtain (13) and, upon taking the supremum on both sides of (13) with  $\|\dot{u}\| = 1$ , we obtain (14).  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** Let  $\hat{E} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0$  be the invex subset of  $\mathbf{B}(\hat{E})_{sa}^+$  and assume there is a function  $\mathfrak{S}$ , where  $\mathfrak{S} : \hat{E} \times \hat{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\hat{E})_{sa}^+$  and  $\check{g}_1 : \hat{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function. If the condition (C) is fulfilled on  $\hat{E}$ , then for  $C = K_1 + \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)$  for all  $K_1, K_2 \in \hat{E}$ , the function  $|\Omega'|$  is an operator  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -preinvex with respect to  $\mathfrak{S}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}$ -path  $P_{K_1, C}$  and that  $\hat{E}$  contains the spectra of  $C$ . Then, for all  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in (0, 1)$  where  $\mu_1 < \mu_2$  and for all  $\dot{u} \in \hat{E}$ , where  $\|\dot{u}\| = 1$ , the following inequality holds true:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \left\langle \left( \int_0^\tau \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \right) \dot{u}, \dot{u} \right\rangle h_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \left[ \left\langle \left( \int_0^{\mu_1} \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \right) \dot{u}, \dot{u} \right\rangle \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + \left\langle \left( \int_0^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \right) \dot{u}, \dot{u} \right\rangle \right] \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} h_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right| \\
 & \leq \beta(\omega_1 + 1, \omega_2 + 1) \left[ \left| \langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_1\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) \dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle \right| \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \left| \langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_2\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) \dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle \right| \right] \|\check{h}_1\|_\infty.
 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

It is also asserted that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_0^\tau \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du h_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \left[ \int_0^{\mu_1} \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + \int_0^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \right] \cdot \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} h_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right\| \\
 & \leq \beta(\omega_1 + 1, \omega_2 + 1) \left[ \|\check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_1\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\| \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \|\check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_2\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\| \right] \|\check{h}_1\|_\infty.
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

**Proof.** From Lemma 2 and the fact of the  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -convexity of  $\Omega'$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{g}_1(\dot{u}) \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \left( \frac{\check{g}_1(\mu_1) + \check{g}_1(\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1))}{2\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \right) \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right| \\
 & \leq \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1) \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau |\check{h}_1(\mu_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1))| du \right) \\
 & \quad \cdot [\tau^{\omega_1}(1-\tau)^{\omega_2} |\Omega'(\mu_1)| + (1-\tau)^{\omega_1} \tau^{\omega_2} |\Omega'(\mu_2)|] d\tau \\
 & \quad + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1) \int_0^1 \left( \int_\tau^1 |\check{h}_1(\mu_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1))| du \right) \\
 & \quad \cdot [\tau^{\omega_1}(1-\tau)^{\omega_2} |\Omega'(\mu_1)| + (1-\tau)^{\omega_1} \tau^{\omega_2} |\Omega'(\mu_2)|] d\tau \\
 & = I_1 + I_2,
 \end{aligned}$$

which, upon changing the order of integration, yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1) \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau |\check{h}_1(\mu_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1))| du \right) \cdot [\tau^{\omega_1}(1-\tau)^{\omega_2} |\Omega'(\mu_1)| + (1-\tau)^{\omega_1} \tau^{\omega_2} |\Omega'(\mu_2)|] d\tau \tag{17} \\
 &= \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1) \int_0^1 |\check{h}_1(\mu_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1))| \cdot \int_u^1 [\tau^{\omega_1}(1-\tau)^{\omega_2} |\Omega'(\mu_1)| + (1-\tau)^{\omega_1} \tau^{\omega_2} |\Omega'(\mu_2)|] d\tau du. \tag{18}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, on changing the integration order and considering  $\check{h}_1$  is symmetric with respect to  $\mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{S}(\check{a}_2, \check{a}_1)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1) \int_0^1 \left( \int_\tau^1 |\check{h}_1(\mu_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1))| du \right) \cdot [\tau^{\omega_1}(1-\tau)^{\omega_2} |\Omega'(\mu_1)| + (1-\tau)^{\omega_1} \tau^{\omega_2} |\Omega'(\mu_2)|] d\tau \\
 &= \int_0^1 |\check{h}_1(\mu_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1))| \cdot \int_0^u [\tau^{\omega_1}(1-\tau)^{\omega_2} |\Omega'(\mu_1)| + (1-\tau)^{\omega_1} \tau^{\omega_2} |\Omega'(\mu_2)|] d\tau du. \tag{19}
 \end{aligned}$$

After adding (17) and (19) and using the assumption that

$$\|\check{h}_1\|_\infty = \sup_{\dot{u} \in [\check{a}_1, \check{a}_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\check{a}_2, \check{a}_1)]} |\check{h}_1(\dot{u})|,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &= I_1 + I_2 \\
 &= \int_0^1 |\check{h}_1(\mu_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1))| \cdot \int_0^1 [\tau^{\omega_1}(1-\tau)^{\omega_2} |\Omega'(\mu_1)| + (1-\tau)^{\omega_1} \tau^{\omega_2} |\Omega'(\mu_2)|] d\tau du \\
 &= \frac{\|\check{h}_1\|_\infty [|\Omega'(\mu_1)| + |\Omega'(\mu_2)|]}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \beta(\omega_1 + 1, \omega_2 + 1) \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} du \\
 &= [|\Omega'(\mu_1)| + |\Omega'(\mu_2)|] \beta(\omega_1 + 1, \omega_2 + 1) \|\check{h}_1\|_\infty
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by utilizing the results in (6)–(8), we obtain (15) and, on applying the supremum on both sides of (15) with  $\|\dot{u}\| = 1$ , we obtain (16).  $\square$

**Remark 3.** For  $\omega_1 = s$  and  $\omega_2 = 0$  in (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_0^\tau \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right. \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \left[ \int_0^{\mu_1} \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \left\langle \left( \int_0^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \right) \dot{u}, \dot{u} \right\rangle \right] \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{\|\check{h}_1\|_\infty}{s+1} \left[ \|\check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_1\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|\check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_2\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 4.** For  $s = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_0^\tau \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right. \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \left[ \int_0^{\mu_1} \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \left\langle \int_0^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} (\check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du) \dot{u}, \dot{u} \right\rangle \right] \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{\|\check{h}_1\|_\infty}{2} \left[ \|\check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_1\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|\check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_2\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we state and prove the following result.

**Theorem 7.** Let  $\hat{E} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0$  be the invex subset of  $\mathbf{B}(\hat{E})_{sa}^+$  and assume there is a function  $\mathfrak{S}$ , where  $\mathfrak{S} : \hat{E} \times \hat{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\hat{E})_{sa}^+$  and  $\check{g}_1 : \hat{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function. If the condition  $(\hat{C})$  is fulfilled on  $\hat{E}$ , then for  $C = K_1 + \mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)$  for all  $K_1, K_2 \in \hat{E}$ , the function  $|\Omega'|^b$  is an operator  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -preinvex with respect to  $\mathfrak{S}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}$ -path  $P_{K_1 C}$  and that  $\hat{E}$  contains the spectra of  $C$ . Then, for all  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in (0, 1)$ , where  $\mu_1 < \mu_2$  and for all  $\dot{u} \in \hat{E}$ , where  $\|\dot{u}\| = 1$ , the following inequality holds true:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \left\langle \left( \int_0^\tau \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \right) \dot{u}, \dot{u} \right\rangle \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right. \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \left[ \left\langle \left( \int_0^{\mu_1} \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \right) \dot{u}, \dot{u} \right\rangle \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \left\langle \left( \int_0^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \right) \dot{u}, \dot{u} \right\rangle \right] \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right| \\ & \leq \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1) \left( \int_0^1 |K(\tau)|^a d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{a}} \\ & \quad \cdot \left[ \beta(1 + \omega_1, 1 + \omega_2) \left( |\langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_1\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) \dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle|^b \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + |\langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_2\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) \dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle|^b \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{b}}. \tag{20} \end{aligned}$$

It is also asserted that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_0^\tau \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right. \\
 & \quad - \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \left[ \int_0^{\mu_1} \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + \int_0^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1)) du \right] \cdot \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right\| \\
 & \leq \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1) \left( \int_0^1 |K(\tau)|^a d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{a}} \\
 & \quad \cdot \left[ \beta(1 + \omega_1, 1 + \omega_2) \left( \|\check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_1\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\|^b \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + \|\check{g}_1(K_1 + \mu_2\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))\|^b \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{b}}, \tag{21}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} = 1$ .

**Proof.** On applying Hölder’s inequality to Lemma 2, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{g}_1(\dot{u}) \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \left( \frac{\check{g}_1(\mu_1) + \check{g}_1(\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1))}{2\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \right) \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)}{2} \left( \int_0^1 |K(\tau)|^a d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{a}} \\
 & \quad \cdot \left( \int_0^1 |\Omega'((1 - \tau)\omega_1 + \tau\omega_2)|^b d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{b}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From the  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -convexity of  $|\Omega'|^b$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \Omega(\dot{u}) \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \Omega\left(\mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)\right) \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1 + \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)} \check{h}_1(\dot{u}) d\dot{u} \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{\mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1)}{2} \left( \int_0^1 |K(\tau)|^a d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{a}} \\
 & \quad \cdot \left( \int_0^1 \left[ \tau^{\omega_1} (1 - \tau)^{\omega_2} |\Omega'(\mu_1)|^b + (1 - \tau)^{\omega_1} \tau^{\omega_2} |\Omega'(\mu_2)|^b \right] d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{b}} \\
 & = \mathfrak{S}(\mu_2, \mu_1) \left( \int_0^1 |K(\tau)|^a d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{a}} \\
 & \quad \cdot \left[ \beta(1 + \omega_1, 1 + \omega_2) \left( |\Omega'(\mu_1)|^b + |\Omega'(\mu_2)|^b \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{b}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by using the results in (6)–(8), we obtain (20) and then, by applying the supremum on both sides of (20) with  $\|\dot{u}\| = 1$ , we obtain (21).  $\square$

### 3. Applications for Synchronous (Asynchronous) Functions

The functions  $\check{g}_1, \check{h}_1 : [K_1, K_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are synchronous (asynchronous) on  $[K_1, K_2]$  if the following inequality holds:

$$(\check{g}_1(t) - \check{g}_1(s))(\check{h}_1(t) - \check{h}_1(s)) \geq (\leq) 0,$$

for all  $t, s \in [K_1, K_2]$ . It is clear that, if the functions  $\check{g}_1, \check{h}_1$  are monotonic and have the same monotonicity on  $[K_1, K_2]$ , then they are synchronous on  $[K_1, K_2]$ ; meanwhile, if they have opposite monotonicity, they are asynchronous. The following result provides a Chebyshev-type inequality for functions of self-adjoint operators.

**Theorem 8.** (see [21]) Let  $A$  be a self-adjoint operator with  $Sp(K_1) \subset [x, M]$  for some real numbers  $m \leq M$  if  $\check{g}_1, \check{h}_1 : [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous and synchronous (asynchronous) on  $[m, M]$ , then

$$\langle \check{g}_1(K_1)\check{h}_1(K_1)x, x \rangle \geq (\leq) \langle \check{g}_1(K_1)x, x \rangle \langle \check{h}_1(K_1)x, x \rangle,$$

for any  $x \in H$  with  $\|x\| = 1$

If  $\check{g}_1, \check{h}_1$  are synchronous, then

$$N(K_1, K_2)(x) \leq M(K_1, K_2)(x) \leq Q(K_1, K_2)(x), \tag{22}$$

for any  $x \in H$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ .

If  $\check{g}_1, \check{h}_1$  are asynchronous, then reverse inequalities holds in (22)

$$N(K_1, K_2)(x) \geq M(K_1, K_2)(x) \geq Q(K_1, K_2)(x).$$

For all positive operators  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  with spectra in  $I$ , we define real functions, where

$$\begin{aligned} M &= M(K_1, K_2)(x) = \langle \check{g}_1(K_1)x, x \rangle \langle \check{h}_1(K_1)x, x \rangle + \langle \check{g}_1(K_2)x, x \rangle \langle \check{h}_1(K_2)x, x \rangle. \\ N &= N(K_1, K_2)(x) = \langle \check{g}_1(K_1)x, x \rangle \langle \check{h}_1(K_2)x, x \rangle + \langle \check{g}_1(K_2)x, x \rangle \langle \check{h}_1(K_1)x, x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 9.** Let  $\check{g}_1, \check{h}_1 : [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  operator  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -preinvex and  $K_1, K_2 \subset Sp(K_1) \cup Sp(K_2) \subset [m, M], \omega_1, \omega_2 \in [0, 1]$

(i) If  $\check{g}_1, \check{h}_1$  are synchronous and  $\check{g}_1, \check{h}_1 \geq 0$ , then we have the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 \langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))x, x \rangle \langle \check{h}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))x, x \rangle du \\ &\leq [\beta(2\omega_1 + 1, 2\omega_2 + 1) + \beta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + 1, \omega_1 + \omega_2 + 1)]Q, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q := Q(K_1, K_2)(x) = \langle (\check{g}_1(K_1)\check{h}_1(K_1) + \check{g}_1(K_2)\check{h}_1(K_2))x, x \rangle.$$

**Remark 5.** If  $\omega_1 = 1$  and  $\omega_2 = 0$ , then the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 \langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))x, x \rangle \langle \check{h}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))x, x \rangle du \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}Q. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If  $\check{g}_1, \check{h}_1$  are synchronous and  $\check{g}_1, \check{h}_1 \geq 0$ , then we have the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \check{g}_1 \left( \frac{K_1 + K_2}{2} \right) x, x \right\rangle \left\langle \check{h}_1 \left( \frac{K_1 + K_2}{2} \right) x, x \right\rangle \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))x, x \rangle \langle \check{h}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))x, x \rangle du \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} [\beta(2\omega_1 + 1, 2\omega_2 + 1) + \beta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + 1, \omega_1 + \omega_2 + 1)] Q, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q := Q(K_1, K_2)(x) = \langle (\check{g}_1(K_1)\check{h}_1(K_1) + \check{g}_1(K_2)\check{h}_1(K_2))x, x \rangle.$$

(iii) If  $\check{g}_1, \check{h}_1$  are synchronous and  $\check{g}_1, \check{h}_1 \geq 0$ , then we have the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \check{g}_1 \left( \frac{K_1 + K_2}{2} \right) x, x \right\rangle \int_0^1 \langle \check{h}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))x, x \rangle du \\ & \quad + \left\langle \check{h}_1 \left( \frac{K_1 + K_2}{2} \right) x, x \right\rangle \int_0^1 \langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))x, x \rangle du \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \langle \check{h}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))x, x \rangle \int_0^1 \langle \check{g}_1(K_1 + u\mathfrak{S}(K_2, K_1))x, x \rangle du \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} [\beta(2\omega_1 + 1, 2\omega_2 + 1) + \beta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + 1, \omega_1 + \omega_2 + 1)] Q \\ & \quad + \left\langle \check{g}_1 \left( \frac{K_1 + K_2}{2} \right) x, x \right\rangle \left\langle \check{h}_1 \left( \frac{K_1 + K_2}{2} \right) x, x \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. Conclusions

We have developed new estimates for both the lower and the upper bounds of the Hermite–Hadamard–Fejér type inequalities for the operator  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -preinvex functions. The main result of our work is Lemma 3. The remaining established results are based on Lemma 3. Additionally, we have provided some remarks that illustrate how the main theorems extend other results shown in the cited literature. All of the information presented here should encourage more study in this field. Interested readers can establish the fascinating results on different class of convex and generalized functions. The results can be generalized to different fields such as fractional calculus, q-calculus, interval-valued, and time-scale domains for the square operator modulus in semi Hilbert spaces (see, for example [15,22]).

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, H.M.S., F.Z. and K.N.; data curation, P.O.M., E.A.-S. and K.N.; formal analysis, S.M. and F.Z.; funding acquisition, F.Z.; investigation, S.M., H.M.S., P.O.M., E.A.-S. and K.N.; methodology, S.M. and H.M.S.; project administration, H.M.S.; resources, P.O.M. and K.N.; software, S.M., P.O.M. and E.A.-S.; supervision, H.M.S.; visualization, E.A.-S. and F.Z.; writing—original draft, S.M., P.O.M. and K.N.; writing—review and editing, E.A.-S. and F.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** This work was supported by the National Science, Research, and Innovation Fund (NSRF), Thailand.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Fejér, L. Über die Fourierreihen. II. *Math. Naturwiss Anz. Ungar. Akad. Wiss.* **1906**, *24*, 369–390.
2. Dragomir, S.S. Hermite-Hadamard's type inequalities for convex functions of self-adjoint operators in Hilbert spaces. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **2012**, *436*, 1503–1515. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Ghazanfari, A.G.; Shakoori, S.; Barani, A.; Dragomir, S.S. Hermite-Hadamard type inequality for operator preinvex functions. *arXiv* **2013**, arXiv:1306.0730.
4. Ghazanfari, A.G. The Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator  $s$ -convex functions. *J. Adv. Res. Pure Math.* **2014**, *6*, 52–61. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Erdaş, Y.; Ünlüyol, E.; Sala, S. Some new inequalities of operator  $m$ -convex functions and applications for synchronous-asynchronous functions. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **2019**, *13*, 3871–3881. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Wang, S.; Liu, X. Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator  $s$ -preinvex functions. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **2015**, *8*, 1070–1081. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Wang, S.; Sun, X. Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator  $\alpha$ -preinvex functions. *J. Anal. Number Theory* **2017**, *5*, 13–17. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Barani, A.; Ghazanfari, A.G. Some Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for the product of two operator preinvex functions. *Banach J. Math. Anal.* **2015**, *9*, 9–20.
9. Omrani, Z.; Rahpeyma, O.P.; Rahimi, H. Some inequalities for operator  $(p, h)$ -convex function. *J. Math.* **2022**, *2022*, 11. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Ghazanfari, A.G. Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for functions whose derivatives are operator convex. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **2016**, *10*, 1695–1703. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Dragomir, S.S. The Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator convex functions. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2011**, *218*, 766–772.
12. Dragomir, S.S. An inequality improving the first Hermite-Hadamard inequality for convex functions defined on linear spaces and applications for semi-inner products. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **2002**, *3*, 31.
13. Dragomir, S.S. An inequality improving the second Hermite-Hadamard inequality for convex functions defined on linear spaces and applications for semi-inner products. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **2012**, *2002*, 35.
14. Furuta, T.; Hot, J.M.; Pečarić, J.; Seo, Y. *Mond-Pečarić method in Operator Inequalities: Inequalities for Bounded Self-Adjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space*, 2nd ed.; Element: Zagreb, Croatia, 2005.
15. Mihai, M.V.; Awan, M.U.; Noor, M.A.; Du, T.S.; Khan, A.G. Two dimensional operator preinvex functions and associated Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities. *Filomat* **2018**, *32*, 2825–2836. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Srivastava, H.M.; Mehrez, S.; Sitnik, S.M. Hermite-Hadamard-type integral inequalities for convex functions and their applications. *Mathematics* **2022**, *10*, 3127. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Khan, M.B.; Srivastava, H.M.; Mohammed, P.O.; Nonlaopon, K.; Hamed, Y.S. Some new Jensen, Schur and Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for log convex fuzzy interval-valued functions. *AIMS Math.* **2022**, *7*, 4338–4358. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Srivastava, H.M.; Sahoo, S.K.; Mohammed, P.O.; Baleanu, D.; Kodamasingh, B. Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for interval-valued preinvex functions via fractional integral operators. *Int. J. Comput. Intell. Syst.* **2022**, *15*, 8. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Dragomir, S.S.; Latif, M.A. New inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard and Fejér type inequalities via preinvexity. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **2015**, *19*, 725–739.
20. Noor, M.A.; Noor, K.I.; Rashid, S. Some new classes of preinvex functions and inequalities. *Mathematics* **2019**, *7*, 29. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Dragomir, S.S. Chebyshev type inequalities for functions of self-adjoint operators in Hilbert spaces. *Lin. Multilin. Alg.* **2010**, *58*, 805–814. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Altwaijry, N.; Feki, K.; Minculete, N. Further Inequalities for the Weighted Numerical Radius of Operators. *Mathematics* **2022**, *10*, 3576. [[CrossRef](#)]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.