

A NOTE ON A GENERALIZATION OF A q -SERIES
TRANSFORMATION OF RAMANUJAN

By

H.M. SRIVASTAVA

DM-407-IR

APRIL 1986

This research was supported, in part, by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada under Grant A-7353.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 33A50;
Secondary 11A45.

Key words and phrases. q -Series transformation, Heine's transformation, basic
(or q -) hypergeometric series, Ramanujan's identity, Euler's expansion.

ABSTRACT

It is shown how readily a recent generalization of a q -series transformation of Srinivasa Ramanujan would follow as a limiting case of Heine's transformation for basic hypergeometric series. Several interesting consequences of this general result are also deduced.

For real or complex q , $|q| < 1$, let

$$(1) \quad (\lambda; q)_\mu = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 - \lambda q^j}{1 - \lambda q^{\mu+j}} \right)$$

for arbitrary λ and μ , so that

$$(2) \quad (\lambda; q)_n = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ (1-\lambda)(1-\lambda q) \dots (1-\lambda q^{n-1}), & \forall n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3) \quad (\lambda; q)_\infty = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda q^j).$$

The q -series transformation

$$(4) \quad (-bq; q)_\infty \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(-bq; q)_n} \frac{\lambda^n}{(q; q)_n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)} \left(-\frac{\lambda}{b}; q \right)_n \frac{b^n}{(q; q)_n}$$

is stated in Chapter 16 of the Second Notebook of Srinivasa Ramanujan [9, Vol. II, p. 194, Entry 9]. A special case of Ramanujan's identity (4) when $b = 1$ was posed as an Advanced Problem by Carlitz [5, p. 440, Equation (1)] who, in fact, proved the general case (4) by using Euler's expansion for $(\lambda; q)_n$ as a polynomial in λ (cf. [6, p. 917]). The identity (4) has received considerable attention in several subsequent works (see, for example, [1], [2], and [8]). In particular, in their excellent memoir [1, pp. 9-10] Adiga et al. have presented

two interesting proofs of (4). It should be remarked in passing that one of their proofs using Heine's transformation [7, p. 306, Equation (79)] iteratively is essentially equivalent to the earlier proof by Andrews [2, p. 105] who deduced (4) as a limiting case of a result attributed to Rogers.

An interesting generalization of Ramanujan's q -series transformation (4) was given recently by Bhargava and Adiga in the form (cf. [4, p. 339, Equation (3)]); see also [3, p. 14, Equation (4*)]):

$$(5) \quad (-bq; q)_{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)} \frac{(-\lambda/a; q)_n}{(-bq; q)_n} \frac{a^n}{(q; q)_n} \\ = (-aq; q)_{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)} \frac{(-\lambda/b; q)_n}{(-aq; q)_n} \frac{b^n}{(q; q)_n},$$

which would obviously reduce to (4) in the limiting case when $a \rightarrow 0$. Replacing λ by λ/q , and setting

$$a = -x/q \quad \text{and} \quad b = -y/q,$$

the identity (5) becomes

$$(6) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} \frac{(\lambda/x; q)_n}{(y; q)_n} \frac{(-x)^n}{(q; q)_n} \\ = \frac{(x; q)_{\infty}}{(y; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} \frac{(\lambda/y; q)_n}{(x; q)_n} \frac{(-y)^n}{(q; q)_n}$$

or, equivalently,

$$(7) \quad {}_1\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \lambda/x; \\ y; \end{matrix} \middle| q, x \right] = \frac{(x; q)_{\infty}}{(y; q)_{\infty}} {}_1\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \lambda/y; \\ x; \end{matrix} \middle| q, y \right],$$

where ${}_{p+1}\phi_{p+j}$ ($p, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) denotes a generalized basic (or q -) hypergeometric series defined by

$$(8) \quad {}_{p+1}\phi_{p+j} \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p+1}; \\ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{p+j}; \end{matrix} \middle| q, x \right] \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{jn} q^{\frac{1}{2}jn(n-1)} \frac{(\alpha_1; q)_n \dots (\alpha_{p+1}; q)_n}{(\beta_1; q)_n \dots (\beta_{p+j}; q)_n} \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n},$$

($|x| < \infty$ when $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, or $|x| < 1$ when $j = 0$).

Formula (5) was proven by Bhargava and Adiga [4, pp. 340-341] by making use of Ramanujan's identity (4) and of certain functional relations which they had derived earlier [3, p. 14, Lemma 1] for the left side of (5). With a view to presenting a much shorter and direct proof of the equivalent result (6) or (7), we now recall the aforementioned Heine's transformation [7, p. 306, Equation (79)]

$$(9) \quad {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b; \\ c; \end{matrix} \middle| q, x \right] = \frac{(b; q)_{\infty} (ax; q)_{\infty}}{(c; q)_{\infty} (x; q)_{\infty}} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} x, c/b; \\ ax; \end{matrix} \middle| q, b \right],$$

which, upon repeated application, yields

$$(10) \quad {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b; \\ c; \end{matrix} \middle| q, x \right] = \frac{(c/b; q)_{\infty} (bx; q)_{\infty}}{(c; q)_{\infty} (x; q)_{\infty}} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} abx/c, b; \\ bx; \end{matrix} \middle| q, \frac{c}{b} \right].$$

It is the transformation (10) which was, in fact, employed by Andrews [2, p. 105] as well as Adiga *et al.* [1, pp. 9-10] to deduce Ramanujan's identity (4) as a limiting case. Indeed, as we indicated above, Andrews [2, p. 98, Equation (4.6)] attributed (10) to Rogers, although Heine did give (9) and a relatively more familiar ${}_2\phi_1$ transformation (cf. [7, p. 325, Theorem XVIII]) which follows readily upon merely iterating Heine's result (9) one more step beyond the transformation (10).

Replacing x by x/b and letting $b \rightarrow \infty$ in (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (11) \quad & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} \frac{(a;q)_n}{(c;q)_n} \frac{(-x)^n}{(q;q)_n} \\
 &= \frac{(x;q)_{\infty}}{(c;q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} \frac{(ax/c;q)_n}{(x;q)_n} \frac{(-c)^n}{(q;q)_n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now set $a = \lambda/x$ and $c = y$ in (11), and we are led immediately to the q -series identity (6) which, in turn, yields the q -hypergeometric form (7) by virtue of the definition (8).

Several consequences of the q -series identity (6) are worthy of note. First of all, if in (6) we set $\lambda = y$, we immediately obtain

$$(12) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} \frac{(y/x;q)_n}{(y;q)_n} \frac{(-x)^n}{(q;q)_n} = \frac{(x;q)_{\infty}}{(y;q)_{\infty}}$$

or, equivalently,

$$(13) \quad {}_1\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} y/x; \\ y; \end{matrix} q, x \right] = \frac{(x;q)_{\infty}}{(y;q)_{\infty}}.$$

Formula (12) reduces, when $x \rightarrow 0$ and $y = aq$, to Entry 3 in Chapter 16 of Ramanujan's Second Notebook (cf., e.g., [1, p. 5, Equation (5.1)]).

Next we put $y = q$ and $\lambda = q^2$ in (6), and replace x by qx . We thus find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (14) \quad & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)} \frac{(q/x;q)_n}{\{(q;q)_n\}^2} (-x)^n \\
 &= \frac{(qx;q)_{\infty}}{(q;q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)}}{(qx;q)_n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we replace q by q^2 in (6), and then set $y = q$ and $\lambda = q^3$. Writing q^2x for x in the resulting identity, we have

$$(15) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n(n+1)} \frac{(q/x; q^2)_n}{(q; q)_{2n}} (-x)^n$$

$$= \frac{(q^2 x; q^2)_{\infty}}{(q; q^2)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n^2}}{(q^2 x; q^2)_n}.$$

Each of these last q-series identities (14) and (15) reduces, when $x \rightarrow 0$, to a corresponding result given earlier by Adiga et al. [1, p. 10, Corollaries (i) and (ii)].

REFERENCES

1. C. Adiga, B.C. Berndt, S. Bhargava and G.N. Watson, Chapter 16 of Ramanujan's Second Notebook: Theta-Functions and q-Series, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 53 (No. 315), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1985.
2. G.E. Andrews, An introduction to Ramanujan's "lost" notebook, Amer. Math. Monthly 86(1979), 89-108.
3. S. Bhargava and C. Adiga, On some continued fraction identities of Srinivasa Ramanujan, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 92(1984), 13-18.
4. S. Bhargava and C. Adiga, A basic hypergeometric transformation of Ramanujan and a generalization, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 17(1986), 338-342.
5. L. Carlitz, Advanced Problem No. 5196, Amer. Math. Monthly 71(1964), 440-441.
6. L. Carlitz, Multiple sum-product identities, Amer. Math. Monthly 72(1965), 917-918.
7. E. Heine, Untersuchungen über die Reihe ..., J. Reine Angew. Math. 34(1847), 285-328.
8. V. Ramamani and K. Venkatachaliengar, On a partition theory of Sylvester, Michigan Math. J. 19(1972), 137-140.
9. S. Ramanujan, Notebooks of Srinivasa Ramanujan, Vols. I and II, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1957.