

AN APPLICATION OF THE FRACTIONAL CALCULUS

BY

SHIGEYOSHI OWA and M.A. AL-BASSAM

DM-366-IR

JUNE 1985

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 26A24, 30C45.

ABSTRACT. H. Silverman and E.M. Silvia introduced the class $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ defined by using the extremal function $S_\alpha(z) = z/(1-z)^{2(1-\alpha)}$ for the class $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ of starlike functions of order α . The object of the present paper is to prove some distortion theorems for the fractional derivatives and fractional integrals of functions in the class $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{S} denote the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

which are analytic and univalent in the unit disk $\mathcal{U} = \{z: |z| < 1\}$. Then a function $f(z)$ belonging to the class \mathcal{S} is said to be starlike of order α if and only if

$$(1.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

for some α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$). We denote by $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ the class of all starlike functions of order α . Further a function $f(z)$ belonging to the class \mathcal{S} is said to be convex of order α if and only if

$$(1.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

for some α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$). Also we denote by $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ the class of all convex functions of order α . We note that $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ if and only if

$zf'(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$, and that $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^*(0) \equiv \mathcal{S}^*$, and $\mathcal{H}(\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(0) \equiv \mathcal{H}$ for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

The classes $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\alpha)$ were first introduced by Robertson [6], and later were studied by Schild [9], MacGregor [3] and Pinchuk [5].

Now, the function

$$(1.4) \quad S_\alpha(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\alpha)}}$$

is the well-known extremal function for $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$. Setting

$$(1.5) \quad C(\alpha, n) = \frac{\prod_{k=2}^n (k-2\alpha)}{(n-1)!} \quad (n = 2, 3, 4, \dots),$$

$S_\alpha(z)$ can be written in the form

$$(1.6) \quad S_\alpha(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C(\alpha, n) z^n.$$

Then we observe that $C(\alpha, n)$ is decreasing in α and satisfies

$$(1.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C(\alpha, n) = \begin{cases} \infty & (\alpha < 1/2) \\ 1 & (\alpha = 1/2) \\ 0 & (\alpha > 1/2) \end{cases}.$$

Let $f * g(z)$ denote the convolution or Hadamard product of two functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, that is, if $f(z)$ is given by (1.1) and $g(z)$ is given by

$$(1.8) \quad g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n,$$

then

$$(1.9) \quad f * g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

Then a function $f(z)$ belonging to the class \mathcal{S} is said to be prestarlike of order α if $f(z)$ satisfies $f * S_{\alpha}(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. We denote by \mathcal{R}_{α} the class of all prestarlike functions of order α . The class \mathcal{R}_{α} was introduced by Ruscheweyh [8], who showed that a necessary and sufficient condition for $f(z)$ to be in the class \mathcal{R}_{α} is that the functional

$$(1.10) \quad G(\alpha, z) = \frac{f * (S_{\alpha}(z)/(1-z))}{f * S_{\alpha}(z)}$$

satisfies $\operatorname{Re}\{G(\alpha, z)\} > 1/2$ for $z \in \mathcal{U}$. Since $S_1(z) = z$, we know that $f(z)$ is prestarlike of order 1 if and only if $\operatorname{Re}\{f(z)/z\} > 1/2$ for $z \in \mathcal{U}$. Further we note that $\mathcal{R}_0 = \mathcal{H}(0)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{1/2} = \mathcal{S}^*(1/2)$.

Let \mathcal{I} be the subclass of \mathcal{S} consisting of functions of the form

$$(1.11) \quad f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (a_n \geq 0).$$

And we denote by $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ the class obtained by taking intersection of \mathcal{R}_{α} with \mathcal{I} , that is, $\mathcal{R}(\alpha) = \mathcal{R}_{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{I}$. The class $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ was introduced by Silverman and Silvia [10].

We need the following lemma due to Silverman and Silvia [10].

LEMMA. Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (1.1). Then $f(z)$ is in the class $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$(1.12) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-\alpha)C(\alpha, n)a_n \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

The object of the present paper is to prove distortion theorems for the fractional derivatives and fractional integrals of $f(z)$ belonging to the class $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$.

2. FRACTIONAL CALCULUS

Many essentially equivalent definitions of the fractional calculus, that is, the fractional derivatives and the fractional integrals, have been given in the literature (cf., e.g., [1], [2, Chapter 13], [7], [11, p. 28 et seq.], and [13]). We find it to be convenient to recall here the following definitions which were used recently by Owa [4] (and by Srivastava and Owa [12]).

DEFINITION 1. The fractional integral of order λ is defined, for a function $f(z)$, by

$$(2.1) \quad D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(z-\zeta)^{1-\lambda}} d\zeta,$$

where $\lambda > 0$, $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply-connected region of the z -plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of $(z-\zeta)^{\lambda-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-\zeta)$ to be real when $(z-\zeta) > 0$.

DEFINITION 2. The fractional derivative of order λ is defined, for a function $f(z)$, by

$$(2.2) \quad D_z^\lambda f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(z-\zeta)^\lambda} d\zeta,$$

where $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply-connected region

of the z -plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of $(z-\zeta)^{-\lambda}$ is removed as in Definition 1 above.

DEFINITION 3. Under the hypotheses of Definition 2, the fractional derivative of order $(n+\lambda)$ is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad D_z^{n+\lambda} f(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} D_z^\lambda f(z),$$

where $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, and $n \in \mathcal{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

THEOREM 1. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.11) be in the class $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$. Then

$$(2.4) \quad \left| D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) \right| \cong \frac{|z|^{1+\lambda}}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{(2+\lambda)(2-\alpha)} |z| \right\}$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \left| D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) \right| \cong \frac{|z|^{1+\lambda}}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{(2+\lambda)(2-\alpha)} |z| \right\}$$

for $\lambda > 0$ and $z \in \mathcal{U}$. The bounds in (2.4) and (2.5) are sharp.

PROOF. Let

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} F(z) &= \Gamma(2+\lambda) z^{-\lambda} D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) \\ &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2+\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+1+\lambda)} a_n z^n \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda > 0$.

Then, since $[\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2+\lambda)]/\Gamma(n+1+\lambda)$ is a decreasing function of n ($n \geq 2$), we have

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2+\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+1+\lambda)} \leq \frac{2}{2+\lambda}$$

for $\lambda > 0$ and $n \geq 2$.

Further, we note that $C(\alpha, n+1) \geq C(\alpha, n)$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$ and $n \geq 2$ by means of (1.5). Consequently, by using the lemma, we have

$$(2.8) \quad (2-\alpha)C(\alpha, 2) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-\alpha)C(\alpha, n)a_n \leq 1 - \alpha$$

which implies that

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq \frac{1}{2(2-\alpha)}.$$

Hence we see that

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} |F(z)| &\geq |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2+\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+1+\lambda)} a_n \\ &\geq |z| - \left(\frac{2}{2+\lambda}\right) |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \\ &\geq |z| - \frac{1}{(2+\lambda)(2-\alpha)} |z|^2 \end{aligned}$$

which yields (2.4), and

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} |F(z)| &\leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2+\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+1+\lambda)} a_n \\ &\leq |z| + \left(\frac{2}{2+\lambda}\right) |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \end{aligned}$$

$$\cong |z| + \frac{1}{(2+\lambda)(2-\alpha)} |z|^2$$

which gives (2.5). Finally, by taking the function $f(z)$ defined by

$$(2.12) \quad D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) = \frac{z^{1+\lambda}}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{(2+\lambda)(2-\alpha)} z \right\},$$

we can show that the bounds of the theorem are sharp.

COROLLARY 1. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.11) be in the class $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$. Then $D_z^{-\lambda} f(z)$ is included in a disk with its center at the origin and radius r_0 given by

$$(2.13) \quad r_0 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{(2+\lambda)(2-\alpha)} \right\}.$$

THEOREM 2. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.11) be in the class $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$. Then

$$(2.14) \quad \left| D_z^\lambda f(z) \right| \cong \frac{|z|^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2-\lambda)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{2-\alpha} |z| \right\}$$

and

$$(2.15) \quad \left| D_z^\lambda f(z) \right| \leq \frac{|z|^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2-\lambda)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2-\alpha} |z| \right\}$$

for $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $z \in \mathcal{U}$. The bounds in (2.14) and (2.15) are sharp.

PROOF. We define the function

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.16) \quad P(z) &= \Gamma(2-\lambda) z^\lambda D_z^\lambda f(z) \\
 &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+1-\lambda)} a_n z^n
 \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. In view of the lemma, we have

$$(2.17) \quad \left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2}\right) C(\alpha, 2) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-\alpha) C(\alpha, n) a_n \leq 1 - \alpha$$

which gives

$$(2.18) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n \leq \frac{1}{2-\alpha}.$$

Note that

$$(2.19) \quad 1 \leq \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+1-\lambda)} < n$$

for $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $n \geq 2$, and $C(\alpha, n+1) \geq C(\alpha, n)$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$ and $n \geq 2$. Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.20) \quad |P(z)| &\geq |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+1-\lambda)} a_n \\
 &\geq |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n \\
 &\geq |z| - \frac{1}{2-\alpha} |z|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.21) \quad |P(z)| &\leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+1-\lambda)} a_n \\
&\leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n \\
&\leq |z| + \frac{1}{2-\alpha} |z|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the inequalities (2.14) and (2.15) follow from (2.20) and (2.21), respectively. Further, we can see that the bounds of the theorem are sharp for the function $f(z)$ defined by

$$(2.22) \quad D_z^\lambda f(z) = \frac{z^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2-\lambda)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{2-\alpha} z \right\}.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

COROLLARY 2. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.11) be in the class $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$. Then $D_z^\lambda f(z)$ is included in a disk with its center at the origin and radius r_1 given by

$$(2.23) \quad r_1 = \frac{3-\alpha}{(2-\alpha)\Gamma(2-\lambda)}.$$

THEOREM 3. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.11) be in the class $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$. Then

$$(2.24) \quad \left| D_z^{1-\lambda} f(z) \right| \leq \frac{|z|^\lambda}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} \left\{ (1+\lambda) + \left(\frac{1}{2-\alpha} \right) |z| \right\}$$

for $\lambda > 0$ and $z \in \mathcal{U}$. The result (2.24) is sharp.

PROOF. Let $F(z)$ be defined by (2.6). Then, with the aid of (2.18), we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.25) \quad |F'(z)| &\leq 1 + |z| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n \Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(2+\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+1+\lambda)} a_n \\
 &\leq 1 + \left(\frac{2}{2+\lambda}\right) |z| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n \\
 &\leq 1 + \frac{2}{(2+\lambda)(2-\alpha)} |z|.
 \end{aligned}$$

By using (2.5) of Theorem 1, we know that the inequality (2.25) gives the estimate (2.24) we require. Further, the result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ defined by (2.12).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was completed at the University of Victoria while the first author was on study leave from Kinki University, Osaka, Japan. The authors would like to thank Professor H.M. Srivastava for his thoughtful encouragement and numerous helpful advices.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.A. Al-Bassam, On fractional calculus and its applications to the theory of ordinary differential equations of generalized order, in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications (S.P. Singh and J.H. Burry, eds.), Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 1982, pp. 305-331.
- [2] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F.G. Tricomi, Tables of Integral Transforms, Vol. II, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, London and Toronto, 1954.

- [3] T.H. MacGregor, The radius of convexity for starlike functions of order $1/2$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 14(1963), 71-76.
- [4] S. Owa, On the distortion theorems. I, Kyungpook Math. J. 18(1978), 53-59.
- [5] B. Pinchuk, On the starlike and convex functions of order α , Duke Math. J. 35(1968), 721-734.
- [6] M.S. Robertson, On the theory of univalent functions, Ann. of Math. 37(1936), 374-408.
- [7] B. Ross, A brief history and exposition of the fundamental theory of fractional calculus, in Fractional Calculus and Its Applications (B. Ross, ed.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1975, 1-36.
- [8] S. Ruscheweyh, Linear operators between classes of prestarlike functions, Comment. Math. Helv. 52(1977), 497-509.
- [9] A. Schild, On starlike functions of order α , Amer. J. Math. 87(1965), 65-70.
- [10] H. Silverman and E.M. Silvia, Prestarlike functions with negative coefficients, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci. 2(1979), 427-439.
- [11] H.M. Srivastava and R.G. Buschman, Convolution Integral Equations with Special Function Kernals, John Wiley and Sons, New York, London, Sydney and Toronto, 1977.
- [12] H.M. Srivastava and S. Owa, An application of the fractional derivative, Math. Japon. 29(1984), 383-389.
- [13] H.M. Srivastava, S. Owa and K. Nishimoto, Some fractional differintegral equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 106(1985), 360-366.

Department of Mathematics
 Kinki University
 Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577
 Japan

Department of Mathematics
 Faculty of Science
 Kuwait University
 Kuwait