

Article

# Differential Subordination and Differential Superordination for Classes of Admissible Multivalent Functions Associated with a Linear Operator

Ekram E. Ali <sup>1,2</sup> , Hari M. Srivastava <sup>3,4,5,6,7,\*</sup> , Rabha M. El-Ashwah <sup>8</sup> and Abeer M. Albalahi <sup>1</sup><sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Ha'il, Ha'il 81451, Saudi Arabia<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Port Said University, Port Said 42521, Egypt<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC V8W 3R4, Canada<sup>4</sup> Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan<sup>5</sup> Center for Converging Humanities, Kyung Hee University, 26 Kyunghedae-ro, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 02447, Republic of Korea<sup>6</sup> Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Azerbaijan University, 71 Jeyhun Hajibeyli Street, Baku AZ1007, Azerbaijan<sup>7</sup> Section of Mathematics, International Telematic University Uninettuno, I-00186 Rome, Italy<sup>8</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Damietta University, New Damietta 34517, Egypt

\* Correspondence: harimsri@math.uvic.ca

**Abstract:** In this paper, we first introduce a linear integral operator  $\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)$  ( $\mu > 0$ ;  $a, c \in \mathbb{R}$ ;  $c > a > -\mu p$ ;  $p \in \mathbb{N}^+ := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ ), which is somewhat related to a rather specialized form of the Riemann–Liouville fractional integral operator and its varied form known as the Erdélyi–Kober fractional integral operator. We then derive some differential subordination and differential superordination results for analytic and multivalent functions in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U}$ , which are associated with the above-mentioned linear integral operator  $\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)$ . The results presented here are obtained by investigating appropriate classes of admissible functions. We also obtain some Sandwich-type results.

**Keywords:** analytic functions; univalent; multivalent functions; differential subordination; differential superordination; sandwich-type theorems; admissible function classes; linear operator

**MSC:** 30C45; 30C80

**Citation:** Ali, E.E.; Srivastava, H.M.; El-Ashwah, R.M.; Albalahi, A.M. Differential Subordination and Differential Superordination for Classes of Admissible Multivalent Functions Associated with a Linear Operator. *Mathematics* **2022**, *10*, 4690. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math10244690>

Academic Editors: Xiangmin Jiao and Jay Jahangiri

Received: 8 November 2022

Accepted: 8 December 2022

Published: 10 December 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$  be the class of functions that are analytic in the open unit disk

$$\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}.$$

Additionally, let  $\mathcal{H}[a_0, n]$  be the subclass of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$ , which consists of functions of the following form:

$$f(z) = a_0 + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots \\ (a_0 \in \mathbb{C}; n \in \mathbb{N}^+ := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}).$$

Clearly, for the familiar class  $\mathcal{A}(p)$  of analytic and multivalent (or  $p$ -valent) functions in  $\mathbb{U}$ , with the power-series expansion given by

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}^+; z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (1)$$

we have

$$\mathcal{A}(p) := \mathcal{H}[0, p] \quad (a_p \equiv 1).$$

In the theory and widespread applications of fractional calculus (see, for example, [1,2]; see also the recent survey-cum-expository review article [3]), one of the most popular operators happens to be the Riemann–Liouville fractional integral operator of order  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  ( $\Re(\alpha) > 0$ ) defined by

$$(I_{0+}^\alpha f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x - \tau)^{\alpha-1} f(\tau) \, d\tau \quad (x > 0; \Re(\alpha) > 0) \tag{2}$$

in terms of the familiar (Euler’s) Gamma function  $\Gamma(\alpha)$ . An interesting variant of the Riemann–Liouville operator  $I_{0+}^\alpha$ , which is known as the Erdélyi–Kober fractional integral operator of order  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  ( $\Re(\alpha) > 0$ ) defined by

$$(I_{0+;\sigma,\eta}^\alpha f)(x) = \frac{\sigma x^{-\sigma(\alpha+\eta)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x \tau^{\sigma(\eta+1)-1} (x^\sigma - \tau^\sigma)^{\alpha-1} f(\tau) \, d\tau \tag{3}$$

$$(x > 0; \Re(\alpha) > 0),$$

which corresponds essentially to (2) when  $\sigma - 1 = \eta = 0$ , since

$$(I_{0+;1,0}^\alpha f)(x) = x^{-\alpha} (I_{0+}^\alpha f)(x) \quad (x > 0; \Re(\alpha) > 0).$$

Motivated essentially by the special case of the definition (3) when  $x = \sigma = 1$ ,  $\eta = a - 1$ , and  $\alpha = c - a$ , here we consider a linear integral operator  $\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)$  defined for a function  $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  by (see [4])

$$\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(c + \mu p)}{\Gamma(a + \mu p)\Gamma(c - a)} \int_0^1 \tau^{a-1} (1 - \tau)^{c-a-1} f(z\tau^\mu) \, d\tau$$

$$(\mu > 0; a, c \in \mathbb{R}; c > a > -\mu p; p \in \mathbb{N}^+).$$

When evaluated by means of the Eulerian Beta-function integral:

$$B(\alpha, \beta) := \begin{cases} \int_0^1 \tau^{\alpha-1} (1 - \tau)^{\beta-1} \, d\tau & (\min\{\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta)\} > 0) \\ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} & (\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-), \end{cases}$$

we readily find that

$$\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z) = \begin{cases} z^p + \frac{\Gamma(c + \mu p)}{\Gamma(a + \mu p)} \sum_{n=p+1}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(a + \mu n)}{\Gamma(c + \mu n)} a_n z^n & (c > a) \\ f(z) & (c = a), \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

$\mathbb{Z}_0^-$  being the set of nonpositive integers. It is easy to deduce from (4) that

$$z(\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z))' = \left(\frac{a}{\mu} + p\right) \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)f(z) - \frac{a}{\mu} \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z). \tag{5}$$

We also note that the linear operator  $\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)$  is a generalization of many other integral operators, which were considered in earlier works. For example, for  $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  we have the following special cases:

- (i) Putting  $p = 1$ , we obtain the operator  $\tilde{I}(a, c; \mu)$  studied by Raina and Sharma (see [5] with  $m = 0$ );

- (ii) Putting  $a = \beta, c = \beta + 1$  and  $\mu = 1$ , we obtain the operator  $\mathfrak{S}_p^\beta$  ( $\beta > -p$ ), which was studied by Saitoh et al. [6];
- (iii) Putting  $a = \beta, c = \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1$  and  $\mu = 1$ , we obtain the operator  $\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma}$  ( $\gamma > 0; \alpha \geq \gamma - 1; \beta > -p$ ), which was studied by Aouf et al. [7];
- (iv) Putting  $a = \beta, c = \alpha + \beta$  and  $\mu = 1$ , we obtain the operator  $\chi_{\beta,p}^\alpha$  ( $\alpha \geq 0; \beta > -p$ ), which was studied by Liu and Owa [8];
- (v) Putting  $p = 1, a = \beta, c = \alpha + \beta$  and  $\mu = 1$ , we obtain the operator  $\mathfrak{R}_\beta^\alpha$  ( $\alpha \geq 0; \beta > -1$ ), which was studied by Jung et al. [9];
- (vi) Putting  $p = 1, a = \alpha - 1, c = \beta - 1$  and  $\mu = 1$ , we obtain the operator  $L(\alpha, \beta)$  ( $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ ), which was studied by Carlson and Shaffer [10];
- (vii) Putting  $p = 1, a = a - 1, c = v$  and  $\mu = 1$ , we obtain the operator  $I_{a,v}$  ( $a > 0; v \geq -1$ ), which was studied by Choi et al. [11];
- (viii) Putting  $p = 1, a = \alpha, c = 0$  and  $\mu = 1$ , we obtain the operator  $\mathfrak{D}^\alpha$  ( $\alpha > -1$ ), which was studied by Ruscheweyh [12];
- (ix) Putting  $p = 1, a = \alpha = 1, c = m$  and  $\mu = 1$ , we obtain the operator  $I_m$  ( $m \in \mathbb{N}_0^+ := \mathbb{N}^+ \cup \{0\}$ ), which was studied by Noor [13];
- (x) Putting  $p = 1, a = \beta, c = \beta + 1$  and  $\mu = 1$ , we obtain the operator  $\mathfrak{J}_\beta$ , which was studied by Bernardi [14];
- (xi) Putting  $p = 1, a = 1, c = 2$  and  $\mu = 1$ , we obtain  $\mathfrak{J}$ , which was studied by Libera [15].

By the principle of subordination between analytic functions, given  $f, g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$ , the function  $f(z)$  is said to be subordinate to  $g(z)$  or, equivalently, the function  $g(z)$  is said to be superordinate to  $f(z)$ , if there exists a Schwarz function  $w(z)$ , which (by definition) is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with

$$w(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |w(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

such that  $f(z) = g(w(z))$ . In such a case, we write  $f(z) \prec g(z)$ . Furthermore, if the function  $g(z)$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then we have the following equivalence (see, for example, [16]; see also [17,18]):

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \iff f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

We denote by  $\wp$  the set of all functions  $\chi$  that are injective on  $\overline{\mathbb{U}} \setminus E(\chi)$ , where

$$E(\chi) = \{\zeta : \zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} f(z) = \infty\}$$

and are such that  $\chi'(\zeta) \neq 0$  for  $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus E(\chi)$ . We also denote by  $\wp(\mathbf{a})$  the subclass of  $\wp$  for which  $\chi(0) = \mathbf{a}$  and let

$$\wp(0) = \wp_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \wp(1) = \wp_1.$$

**Definition 1** (see ([18], p. 27, Definition 2.3a)). *Let  $\Omega$  be a set in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\chi \in \wp$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . The class  $\Psi_n[\Omega, \chi]$  of admissible functions consists of the functions  $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that satisfy the admissibility condition  $\psi(r, s, t; z) \notin \Omega$  whenever*

$$r = \chi(\zeta), \quad s = k\zeta\chi'(\zeta) \quad \text{and} \quad \Re\left(\frac{t}{s} + 1\right) \geq k\Re\left(1 + \frac{\zeta\chi''(\zeta)}{\chi'(\zeta)}\right),$$

where  $z \in \mathbb{U}, \zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus E(\chi)$  and  $k \geq n$ . For simplicity, we write  $\Psi_1[\Omega, \chi]$  as  $\Psi[\Omega, \chi]$ . In particular, if we set

$$\chi(z) = \left(\frac{Mz + \mathbf{a}}{M + \overline{\mathbf{a}}z}\right)M \quad (M > 0; |\mathbf{a}| < M),$$

then

$$\chi(\mathbb{U}) = U_M = \{w : |w| < M\}, \quad \chi(0) = \mathbf{a}, \quad E(\chi) = \phi \quad \text{and} \quad \chi \in \wp(\mathbf{a}).$$

In this case, we set

$$\Psi_n[\Omega, M, \mathbf{a}] = \Psi_n[\Omega, \chi]$$

and, in the special case when the set  $\Omega = U_M$ , the resulting class is simply denoted by  $\Psi_n[M, \mathbf{a}]$ .

**Definition 2** (see ([19], p. 817, Definition 3)). Let  $\Omega$  be a set in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\chi \in \mathcal{H}[a, n]$  with  $\chi'(z) \neq 0$ . The class  $\Psi'_n[\Omega, \chi]$  of admissible functions consists of the functions  $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \overline{\mathbb{U}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that satisfy the admissibility condition  $\psi(r, s, t; \zeta) \in \Omega$  whenever

$$r = \chi(z), \quad s = \frac{z\chi'(z)}{m} \quad \text{and} \quad \Re\left(\frac{t}{s} + 1\right) \leq \frac{1}{m} \Re\left(1 + \frac{z\chi''(z)}{\chi'(z)}\right),$$

where  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ,  $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U}$  and  $m \geq n \geq 1$ . In particular, we write  $\Psi'_1[\Omega, \chi]$  as  $\Psi'[\Omega, \chi]$ .

Here, in our present investigation, we need the following lemmas, which are proved by Miller and Mocanu (see [18,19]).

**Lemma 1** (see ([18], p. 28, Theorem 2.3b)). Let  $\psi \in \Psi_n[\Omega, \chi]$  with  $\chi(0) = a$ . If the function  $\omega(z)$  given by

$$\omega(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$$

is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  and satisfies the following inclusion relation:

$$\psi(\omega(z), z\omega'(z), z^2\omega''(z); z) \in \Omega,$$

then  $\omega(z) \prec \chi(z)$ .

**Lemma 2** (see ([19], p. 818, Theorem 1)). Let  $\psi \in \Psi'_n[\Omega, \chi]$  with  $\chi(0) = a$ . If  $\omega(z) \in \wp(a)$  and the function  $\psi(\omega(z), z\omega'(z), z^2\omega''(z); z)$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then the following set inclusion:

$$\Omega \subset \left\{ \psi(\omega(z), z\omega'(z), z^2\omega''(z); z) : z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}$$

implies that  $\chi(z) \prec \omega(z)$ .

In this paper, we determine the sufficient conditions for certain specified classes of admissible functions of analytic and multivalent (or  $p$ -valent) functions that are associated with the linear operator  $\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)$  so that

$$\chi_1(z) \prec [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma \prec \chi_2(z)$$

and

$$\chi_1(z) \prec \left( \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right)^\gamma \prec \chi_2(z),$$

where the functions  $\chi_1(z)$  and  $\chi_2(z)$  are univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ . We also derive some differential sandwich-type results. Similar problems for subordination or superordinations for analytic functions were studied by Aghalary et al. [20], Ali et al. [21], Kim and Srivastava [22], Shanmugam et al. [23], Frasin [24], and other authors.

## 2. Subordination Results Involving the Operator $\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)$

Unless otherwise mentioned, we suppose throughout this paper that

$$\gamma > 0, \quad \mu > 0, \quad a, c \in \mathbb{R} \quad (c > a > -\mu p; p \in \mathbb{N}^+) \quad \text{and} \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Moreover, all powers are assumed to be the principal values.

**Definition 3.** Let  $\Omega$  be a set in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\chi \in \wp_0 \cap \mathcal{H}[0, \gamma p]$ . The class  $\Phi_1[\Omega, \chi, \gamma]$  of admissible functions consists of the functions  $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that satisfy the following admissibility condition:

$$\varphi(u, v, w; z) \notin \Omega$$

whenever

$$u = \chi(\zeta), \quad v = \frac{k\zeta\chi'(\zeta) + \frac{\gamma^a}{\mu}\chi(\zeta)}{\gamma\left(\frac{a}{\mu} + p\right)}$$

and

$$\Re\left(\frac{\left(\frac{a}{\mu} + p\right)^2 w - \left(\frac{a}{\mu} + p\right)\left(\frac{2\gamma^{a+1}}{\mu}\right)v + \gamma\left(\frac{a}{\mu}\right)^2 u}{\frac{a}{\mu}(v - u) + pv}\right) \geq k\Re\left(1 + \frac{\zeta\chi''(\zeta)}{\chi'(\zeta)}\right) \quad (k > 0), \tag{6}$$

where  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ,  $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus E(\chi)$  and  $k \geq \gamma p$ .

We now state and prove our first result as Theorem 1 below.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\varphi \in \Phi_1[\Omega, \chi, \gamma]$ . If the function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  satisfies the following set inclusion:

$$\left\{ \varphi\left( [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma, [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1}\mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)f(z), (\gamma - 1)[\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-2} \cdot [\mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)f(z)]^2 + \frac{a + 1 + \mu p}{a + \mu p} \cdot [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 2, c, \mu)f(z); z \right) : z \in \mathbb{U} \right\} \subset \Omega, \tag{7}$$

then

$$[\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma \prec \chi(z).$$

**Proof.** Define the analytic function  $\omega(z)$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  by

$$\omega(z) = [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma. \tag{8}$$

Differentiating (8) with respect to  $z$  and using the identity (5), we obtain

$$[\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1}\mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)f(z) = \frac{z\omega'(z) + \frac{\gamma^a}{\mu}\omega(z)}{\gamma\left(\frac{a}{\mu} + p\right)}. \tag{9}$$

Further computations show that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\gamma - 1)[\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-2}(\mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)f(z))^2 \\ & + \frac{a + 1 + \mu p}{a + \mu p} [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1}\mathfrak{S}_p(a + 2, c, \mu)f(z) \\ & = \frac{z^2\omega''(z) + \left(1 + \frac{2\gamma^{a+1}}{\mu}\right)z\omega'(z) + \frac{\gamma^a(\gamma^{a+1})}{\mu^2}\omega(z)}{\gamma\left(\frac{a}{\mu} + p\right)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

We now define the following transformations for  $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ :

$$u(r, s, t) = r, \quad v(r, s, t) = \frac{s + \frac{\gamma^a}{\mu}r}{\gamma\left(\frac{a}{\mu} + p\right)}$$

and

$$w(r, s, t) = \frac{t + \left(1 + \frac{2\gamma a + 1}{\mu}\right)s + \frac{\gamma a(\gamma a + 1)}{\mu^2}r}{\gamma\left(\frac{a}{\mu} + p\right)^2}. \tag{11}$$

We also set

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(r, s, t; z) &= \varphi(u, v, w; z) \\ &= \varphi\left(r, \frac{s + \frac{\gamma a}{\mu}r}{\gamma\left(\frac{a}{\mu} + p\right)}, \frac{t + \left(1 + \frac{2\gamma a + 1}{\mu}\right)s + \frac{\gamma a(\gamma a + 1)}{\mu^2}r}{\gamma\left(\frac{a}{\mu} + p\right)^2}; z\right). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Then, by using the Equations (8)–(12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\omega(z), z\omega'(z), z^2\omega''(z); z) &= \varphi\left([\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma, [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)f(z), \right. \\ &(\gamma - 1)[\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-2} \cdot [\mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)f(z)]^2 \\ &\left. + \frac{a + 1 + \mu p}{a + \mu p} [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 2, c, \mu)f(z); z\right). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Thus, clearly, the Equation (7) becomes

$$\psi(\omega(z), z\omega'(z), z^2\omega''(z); z) \in \Omega.$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is completed if it can be shown that the admissibility condition for  $\varphi \in \Phi_1[\Omega, \chi, \gamma]$  is equivalent to the admissibility condition for  $\psi$  as given in Definition 1. For this purpose, we note that

$$\frac{t}{s} + 1 = \frac{\left(\frac{a}{\mu} + p\right)^2 w - \left(\frac{a}{\mu} + p\right)\left(\frac{2\gamma a + 1}{\mu}\right)v + \gamma\left(\frac{a}{\mu}\right)^2 u}{\frac{a}{\mu}(v - u) + pv},$$

and hence that  $\psi \in \Psi_{\gamma p}[\Omega, \chi]$ . Consequently, by applying Lemma 1, we have

$$\omega(z) \prec \chi(z) \quad \text{or} \quad [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma \prec \chi(z),$$

which proves Theorem 1.  $\square$

In the case when  $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$  is a simply-connected domain, then  $\Omega = h(\mathbb{U})$  for some conformal mapping  $h(z)$  of  $\mathbb{U}$  onto  $\Omega$ . In this case, the class  $\Phi_1[h(\mathbb{U}), \chi, \gamma]$  is written as  $\Phi_1[h, \chi, \gamma]$ .

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\varphi \in \Phi_1[h, \chi, \gamma]$ . If the function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  satisfies the following subordination relation:

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi \left( \left[ \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z) \right]^\gamma, \left[ \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z) \right]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z), \right. \\ &\quad \left. (\gamma-1) \left[ \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z) \right]^{\gamma-2} \left[ \mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z) \right]^2 + \frac{a+1+\mu p}{a+\mu p} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot \left[ \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z) \right]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a+2, c, \mu)f(z); z \right) \prec h(z), \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

then

$$\left[ \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z) \right]^\gamma \prec \chi(z).$$

Our next result is an extension of Theorem 1 to the case when the behavior of  $\chi(z)$  on  $\partial\mathbb{U}$  is not known.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  and suppose that the function  $\chi(z)$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $\chi(0) = 0$ . Additionally, let  $\varphi \in \Phi_1[\Omega, \chi_\rho, \gamma]$  for some  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ , where

$$\chi_\rho(z) = \chi(\rho z).$$

If  $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ \varphi \left( \left[ \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z) \right]^\gamma, \left[ \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z) \right]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z), \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. (\gamma-1) \left[ \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z) \right]^{\gamma-2} \left[ \mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z) \right]^2 + \frac{a+1+\mu p}{a+\mu p} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \cdot \left[ \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z) \right]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a+2, c, \mu)f(z); z \right) : z \in \mathbb{U} \right\} \subset \Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

then

$$\left[ \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z) \right]^\gamma \prec \chi(z).$$

**Proof.** Theorem 1 yields the following subordination relation:

$$\left[ \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z) \right]^\gamma \prec \chi_\rho(z).$$

The result is now deduced from the subordination hypothesis:

$$\chi_\rho(z) \prec \chi(\rho z)$$

for some  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** Let the functions  $h(z)$  and  $\chi(z)$  be univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $\chi(0) = 0$  and set

$$\chi_\rho(z) = \chi(\rho z) \quad \text{and} \quad h_\rho(z) = h(\rho z).$$

Suppose also that the mapping  $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (1)  $\varphi \in \Phi_1[h, \chi_\rho, \gamma]$  for some  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  or
- (2)  $\rho_0 \in (0, 1)$  exists such that  $\varphi \in \Phi_1[h_\rho, \chi_\rho, \gamma]$  for all  $\rho \in (\rho_0, 1)$ .

If the function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  satisfies the condition (14), then

$$\left[ \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z) \right]^\gamma \prec \chi(z).$$

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the proof of a known result ([18], p. 30, Theorem 2.3d) and it is, therefore, omitted here.  $\square$

The next theorem yields the best dominant of the differential subordination (14).

**Theorem 5.** Let the function  $h(z)$  be univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  and let  $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose that the following second-order differential equation:

$$\varphi(\omega(z), z\omega'(z), z^2\omega''(z); z) = h(z) \tag{16}$$

has a solution  $\chi(z)$ , with  $\chi(0) = 0$ , satisfying one of the following conditions:

- (1)  $\chi(z) \in \wp_0$  and  $\varphi \in \Phi_1[h, \chi, \gamma]$  or
- (2)  $\chi(z)$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $\varphi \in \Phi_1[h, \chi_\rho, \gamma]$  for some  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  or
- (3)  $\chi(z)$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $\rho_0 \in (0, 1)$  exists such that  $\varphi \in \Phi_1[h_\rho, \chi_\rho, \gamma]$  for all  $\rho \in (\rho_0, 1)$ .

If the function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  satisfies (14), then

$$[\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma \prec \chi(z)$$

and  $\chi(z)$  is the best dominant.

**Proof.** Using the technique in proving the known result ([18], p. 31, Theorem 2.3e), we deduce that  $\chi(z)$  is a dominant from Theorems 1 and 2. Moreover, since  $\chi(z)$  satisfies (16), it is also a solution of (14). Therefore,  $\chi(z)$  will be dominated by all dominants. Hence,  $\chi(z)$  is the best dominant.  $\square$

In the particular case when  $\chi(z) = Mz$  ( $M > 0$ ) and, in view of Definition 3, the class  $\Phi_1[\Omega, \chi, \gamma]$  of admissible functions, which we denote by  $\Phi_1[\Omega, M, \gamma]$ , is described below.

**Definition 4.** Let  $\Omega$  be a set in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $M > 0$ . The class  $\Phi_1[\Omega, M, \gamma]$  of admissible functions consists of the functions  $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\varphi \left( Me^{i\theta}, \frac{k + \frac{\gamma a}{\mu}}{\gamma \left(\frac{a}{\mu} + p\right)} Me^{i\theta}, \frac{L + \left[ \left(1 + \frac{2\gamma a + 1}{\mu}\right)k + \frac{\gamma a(\gamma a + 1)}{\mu^2} \right] Me^{i\theta}}{\gamma \left(\frac{a}{\mu} + p\right)^2}; z \right) \notin \Omega$$

whenever  $z \in \mathbb{U}, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$\Re(Le^{-i\theta}) \geq (k - 1)kM \quad (\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}; k \geq \gamma p).$$

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\varphi \in \Phi_1[\Omega, M, \gamma]$ . If the function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  satisfies the following inclusion relation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi \left( [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma, [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)f(z), \right. \\ & \quad (\gamma - 1) [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-2} [\mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)f(z)]^2 + \frac{a + 1 + \mu p}{a + \mu p} \\ & \quad \left. \cdot [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 2, c, \mu)f(z); z \right) \in \Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

then

$$|\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)|^\gamma < M.$$

**Proof.** From Definition 4

$$\chi(z) = Mz.$$

The result is now deduced:

$$|\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)|^\gamma < M.$$

□

In the special case when

$$\Omega = \chi(\mathbb{U}) = \{w : |w| < M\},$$

the class  $\Phi_1[\Omega, M, \gamma]$  is simply denoted by  $\Phi_1[M, \gamma]$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let  $\varphi \in \Phi_1[M, \gamma]$ . If the function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  satisfies the following inequality:

$$\left| \varphi \left( \left[ \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z) \right]^\gamma, \left[ \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z) \right]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z), \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. (\gamma-1) \left[ \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z) \right]^{\gamma-2} \left[ \mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z) \right]^2 + \frac{a+1+\mu p}{a+\mu p} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \cdot \left[ \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z) \right]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a+2, c, \mu)f(z); z \right) \right| < M, \tag{18}$$

then

$$\left| \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z) \right|^\gamma < M.$$

**Corollary 3.** If  $k > \gamma p$  and if the function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  satisfies the following condition:

$$\left| \left[ \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z) \right]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z) \right| < M,$$

then

$$\left| \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z) \right|^\gamma < M.$$

**Proof.** The proof follows from Corollary 1 by taking

$$\varphi(u, v, w; z) = v = \frac{k + \frac{\gamma a}{\mu}}{\gamma \left( \frac{a}{\mu} + p \right)} Me^{i\theta}.$$

□

**Example 1.** If the function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ ,  $a = c = 0$  and  $\gamma = \mu = 1$ , then we see that

- (i)  $\mathfrak{S}_p(0, 0, 1)f(z) = f(z)$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{S}_p(1, 0, 1)f(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{p}$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathfrak{S}_p(2, 0, 1)f(z) = \frac{z^2f''(z)}{p(p+1)} + 2\frac{zf'(z)}{p(p+1)}$ .

Thus, upon substituting in Corollary 3 from the above relations, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{p} \right| < M \implies |f(z)| < M.$$

**Definition 5.** Let  $\Omega$  be a set in  $\mathbb{C}$  and suppose that  $\chi(z) \in \wp_0 \cap \mathcal{H}[0, \gamma]$ . The class  $\Phi_2[\Omega, \chi, \gamma]$  of admissible functions consists of the functions  $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that satisfy the following admissibility condition:

$$\varphi(u, v, w; z) \notin \Omega$$

whenever

$$u = \chi(\zeta), \quad v = \frac{k\zeta\chi'(\zeta) + \gamma \left( \frac{a}{\mu} + (p-1) \right) \chi(\zeta)}{\gamma \left( \frac{a}{\mu} + p \right)}$$

and

$$\Re \left( \frac{\left( \frac{(a+\mu p)^2}{\mu(a+\mu(p-1))} w - \left( \frac{\gamma a+1}{\mu} + \gamma(p-1) \right) v + \gamma \left( \frac{a}{\mu} + (p-1) \right) u \right)}{\frac{a+\mu p}{a+\mu(p-1)} v - u} \right) \geq k \Re \left( 1 + \frac{\zeta \chi''(\zeta)}{\chi'(\zeta)} \right), \tag{19}$$

where  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ,  $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus E(\chi)$  and  $k \geq \gamma$ .

**Theorem 6.** Let  $\varphi \in \Phi_2[\Omega, \chi, \gamma]$ . If the function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  satisfies the following set inclusion:

$$\left\{ \varphi \left( \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma, \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu) f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu) f(z)}, \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \left[ (\gamma-1) \left( \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu) f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu) f(z)} \right)^2 + \frac{a+1+\mu p}{a+\mu p} \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+2, c, \mu) f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu) f(z)} \right]; z \right\} \subset \Omega, \tag{20}$$

then

$$\left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \prec \chi(z).$$

**Proof.** We define an analytic function  $g(z)$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  by

$$g(z) = \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma. \tag{21}$$

By making use of (5) and (21), we obtain

$$\left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu) f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu) f(z)} = \frac{z g'(z) + \gamma \left( \frac{a}{\mu} + (p-1) \right) g(z)}{\gamma \left( \frac{a}{\mu} + p \right)}. \tag{22}$$

Further computations show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \left[ (\gamma-1) \left( \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu) f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu) f(z)} \right)^2 + \frac{a+1+\mu p}{a+\mu p} \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+2, c, \mu) f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu) f(z)} \right] \\ &= \frac{z^2 g''(z) + \left( 1 + \frac{2\gamma a+1}{\mu} + 2\gamma(p-1) \right) z g'(z) + \left( \frac{\gamma a}{\mu} + \gamma(p-1) \right) \left( \frac{\gamma a+1}{\mu} + \gamma(p-1) \right) g(z)}{\gamma \left( \frac{a}{\mu} + p \right)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We next define the transformations from  $\mathbb{C}^3$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  by

$$u = r, \quad v = \frac{s + \gamma \left( \frac{a}{\mu} + (p-1) \right) r}{\gamma \left( \frac{a}{\mu} + p \right)},$$

and

$$w = \frac{t + \left(1 + \frac{2\gamma a + 1}{\mu} + 2\gamma(p - 1)\right)s + \left(\frac{\gamma a}{\mu} + \gamma(p - 1)\right)\left(\frac{\gamma a + 1}{\mu} + \gamma(p - 1)\right)r}{\gamma\left(\frac{a}{\mu} + p\right)^2}.$$

Additionally, let

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(r, s, t; z) &= \varphi(u, v, w; z) \\ &= \varphi\left(r, \frac{s + \gamma\left(\frac{a}{\mu} + (p - 1)\right)r}{\gamma\left(\frac{a}{\mu} + p\right)}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{t + \left(1 + \frac{2\gamma a + 1}{\mu} + 2\gamma(p - 1)\right)s + \left(\frac{\gamma a}{\mu} + \gamma(p - 1)\right)\left(\frac{\gamma a + 1}{\mu} + \gamma(p - 1)\right)r}{\gamma\left(\frac{a}{\mu} + p\right)^2}; z\right). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Thus, by using Equations (21)–(23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(g(z), zg'(z), z^2g''(z); z) &= \varphi\left(\left[\frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}}\right]^\gamma, \left[\frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}}\right]^\gamma \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left[\frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}}\right]^\gamma \left[(\gamma - 1)\left(\frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}\right)^2 + \frac{a + 1 + \mu p}{a + \mu p} \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a + 2, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}\right]; z\right). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Hence, (20) implies that

$$\psi(g(z), zg'(z), z^2g''(z); z) \in \Omega.$$

The proof of Theorem 6 is completed if it can be shown that the admissibility condition for  $\varphi \in \Phi_2[\Omega, \chi, \gamma]$  is equivalent to the admissibility condition for  $\psi$  as given in Definition 1. For this purpose, we note that

$$\frac{t}{s} + 1 = \frac{\frac{(a + \mu p)^2}{\mu(a + \mu(p - 1))}w - \left(\frac{\gamma a + 1}{\mu} + \gamma(p - 1)\right)v + \gamma\left(\frac{a}{\mu} + (p - 1)\right)u}{\frac{a + \mu p}{a + \mu(p - 1)}v - u},$$

and hence that  $\psi \in \Psi[\Omega, \chi, \gamma]$ . Therefore, by applying Lemma 1, we conclude that  $g(z) \prec \chi(z)$  or, equivalently, that

$$\left[\frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}}\right]^\gamma \prec \chi(z),$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 6.  $\square$

We next consider the case when  $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$  is a simply-connected domain, with  $\Omega = h(\mathbb{U})$ , for some conformal mapping  $h(z)$  of  $\mathbb{U}$  onto  $\Omega$ . In this case,  $\Phi_2[h(\mathbb{U}), \chi, \gamma]$  is written as  $\Phi_2[h, \chi, \gamma]$ . In the particular case when  $\chi(z) = Mz$  ( $M > 0$ ), we denote the class  $\Phi_2[\Omega, \chi, \gamma]$  of admissible functions by  $\Phi_2[\Omega, M]$ .

Proceeding similarly as in the previous section, the following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 6.

**Theorem 7.** Let  $\varphi \in \Phi_2[h, \chi, \gamma]$ . If the function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  satisfies the following subordination relation:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \left( \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma, \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}, \right. \\ \left. \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \cdot \left[ (\gamma-1) \left( \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)} \right)^2 \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{a+1+\mu p}{a+\mu p} \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+2, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)} \right]; z \right) \prec h(z), \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

then

$$\left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \prec \chi(z).$$

**Definition 6.** Let  $\Omega$  be a set in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $M > 0$ . The class  $\Phi_2[\Omega, M, \gamma]$  of admissible functions consists of the functions  $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \left( Me^{i\theta}, \frac{k + \gamma \left( \frac{a}{\mu} + (p-1) \right)}{\gamma \left( \frac{a}{\mu} + p \right)} Me^{i\theta}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{L + \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{2\gamma a + 1}{\mu} + 2\gamma(p-1) \right) k + \left( \frac{\gamma a}{\mu} + \gamma(p-1) \right) \left( \frac{\gamma a + 1}{\mu} + \gamma(p-1) \right) \right] Me^{i\theta}}{\gamma \left( \frac{a}{\mu} + p \right)^2}; z \right) \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

$\notin \Omega$

whenever  $z \in \mathbb{U}, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$\Re(Le^{-i\theta}) \geq (k-1)kM \quad (\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}; p \in \mathbb{N}^+; k \geq \gamma).$$

**Corollary 4.** Let  $\varphi \in \Phi_2[\Omega, M, \gamma]$ . If the function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  satisfies the following inclusion relation:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \left( \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma, \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}, \right. \\ \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \left[ (\gamma-1) \left( \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)} \right)^2 \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{a+1+\mu p}{a+\mu p} \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+2, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)} \right]; z \right) \in \Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

then

$$\left| \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right|^\gamma < M.$$

In the special case when

$$\Omega = \chi(\mathbb{U}) = \{w : w \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |w| < M\},$$

the class  $\Phi_2[\Omega, M, \gamma]$  is simply denoted by  $\Phi_2[M, \gamma]$ .

**Corollary 5.** Let  $\varphi \in \Phi_2[M, \gamma]$ . If the function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  satisfies the following inequality:

$$\left| \varphi \left( \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma, \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}, \right. \\ \left. \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \left[ (\gamma - 1) \left( \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)} \right)^2 \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{a+1+\mu p}{a+\mu p} \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+2, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)} \right]; z \right) \right| < M, \tag{28}$$

then

$$\left| \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right|^\gamma < M.$$

**Corollary 6.** If  $k > \gamma$  and if the function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  satisfies the following condition:

$$\left| \left( \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right)^\gamma \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)} \right| < M,$$

then

$$\left| \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right|^\gamma < M.$$

**Proof.** The proof follows from Corollary 5 by taking

$$\varphi(u, v, w; z) = v = \frac{k + \gamma \left( \frac{a}{\mu} + (p-1) \right)}{\gamma \left( \frac{a}{\mu} + p \right)} Me^{i\theta}.$$

□

**Example 2.** For  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ ,  $a = c = 0$  and  $\gamma = \mu = 1$ , if we substitute in Corollary 6 from Example 1 (i) and (ii), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-2}} \right| < M \implies \left| \frac{f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right| < M.$$

### 3. Superordination and Sandwich-Type Results Involving $\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)$

In this section, we investigate differential superordination and sandwich-type results for the linear operator  $\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)$ . For this purpose, the class of admissible functions is defined as follows.

**Definition 7.** Let  $\Omega$  be a set in  $\mathbb{C}$  and suppose that  $\chi(z) \in \mathcal{H}[0, \gamma p]$  with  $z\chi'(z) \neq 0$ . The class  $\Phi'_1[\Omega, \chi, \gamma]$  of admissible functions consists of the functions  $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \overline{\mathbb{U}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that satisfy the following admissibility condition:

$$\varphi(u, v, w; \zeta) \in \Omega$$

whenever

$$u = \chi(z), \quad v = \frac{z\chi'(z) + m\frac{\gamma a}{\mu}\chi(z)}{m\gamma\left(\frac{a}{\mu} + p\right)}$$

and

$$\Re \left( \frac{\left(\frac{a}{\mu} + p\right)^2 w - \left(\frac{a}{\mu} + p\right) \left(\frac{2\gamma a + 1}{\mu}\right) v + \gamma \left(\frac{a}{\mu}\right)^2 u}{\frac{a}{\mu}(v - u) + pv} \right) \leq \frac{1}{m} \Re \left( 1 + \frac{z\chi''(z)}{\chi'(z)} \right),$$

where  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ,  $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U}$  and  $m \geq \gamma p$ .

**Theorem 8.** Let  $\varphi \in \Phi'_1[\Omega, \chi, \gamma]$ . If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ ,  $[\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma \in \wp_0$  and the function  $\varphi$  given by

$$\varphi \left( \begin{aligned} & [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma, [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)f(z), \\ & (\gamma - 1) [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-2} (\mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)f(z))^2 + \frac{a + 1 + \mu p}{a + \mu p} \\ & \cdot [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 2, c, \mu)f(z); z \end{aligned} \right) \tag{29}$$

is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then the following set inclusion:

$$\Omega \subset \left\{ \varphi \left( \begin{aligned} & [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma, [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)f(z), \\ & (\gamma - 1) [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-2} (\mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)f(z))^2 + \frac{a + 1 + \mu p}{a + \mu p} \\ & \cdot [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 2, c, \mu)f(z); z \end{aligned} \right) : z \in \mathbb{U} \right\} \tag{30}$$

implies that

$$\chi(z) \prec [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma.$$

**Proof.** From (13) and (30), we have

$$\Omega \subset \left\{ \psi(\omega(z), z\omega'(z), z^2\omega''(z); z) : z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}.$$

Moreover, we see from (11) that the admissibility condition for  $\varphi \in \Phi'_1[\Omega, \chi, \gamma]$  is equivalent to the admissibility condition for  $\psi$  as given in Definition 2. Hence  $\psi \in \Psi'_{\gamma p}[\Omega, \chi]$  and, by Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\chi(z) \prec \omega(z) \quad \text{or} \quad \chi(z) \prec [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma.$$

□

If  $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$  is a simply-connected domain, then  $\Omega = h(\mathbb{U})$  for some conformal mapping  $h(z)$  for  $\mathbb{U}$  onto  $\Omega$ . In this case, the class  $\Phi'_1[h(\mathbb{U}), \chi, \gamma]$  is written simply as  $\Phi'_1[h, \chi, \gamma]$ .

Proceeding as in Section 2, the following result is seen to be an immediate consequence of Theorem 8.

**Theorem 9.** Let  $\chi(z) \in \mathcal{H}[0, \gamma]$ , the function  $h(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $\varphi \in \Phi'_1[h, \chi, \gamma]$ . If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  and  $[\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma \in \wp_0$ , and if the function  $\varphi$  given by

$$\varphi \left( [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma, [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)f(z), (\gamma - 1) [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-2} (\mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)f(z))^2 + \frac{a + 1 + \mu p}{a + \mu p} [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 2, c, \mu)f(z); z \right) \tag{31}$$

is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then the following subordination relation:

$$h(z) \prec \varphi \left( [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma, [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)f(z), (\gamma - 1) [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-2} (\mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)f(z))^2 + \frac{a + 1 + \mu p}{a + \mu p} [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 2, c, \mu)f(z); z \right) \tag{32}$$

implies that

$$\chi(z) \prec [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma.$$

Theorems 8 and 9 can only be used to obtain the subordinants of differential superordination of the form (30) or (32). The following theorem proves the existence of the best subordinant of (32) for some function  $\varphi$ .

**Theorem 10.** Let the function  $h(z)$  be analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  and let  $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \overline{\mathbb{U}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose that the following differential equation:

$$\varphi \left( \chi(z), z\chi'(z), z^2\chi''(z); z \right) = h(z)$$

has a solution  $\chi(z) \in \wp_0$ . If

$$\varphi \in \Phi'_1[h, \chi, \gamma], \quad f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p) \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma \in \wp_0,$$

and the function  $\varphi$  given by

$$\varphi \left( [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma, [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)f(z), (\gamma - 1) [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-2} (\mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)f(z))^2 + \frac{a + 1 + \mu p}{a + \mu p} [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 2, c, \mu)f(z); z \right) \tag{33}$$

is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then the following subordination relation:

$$h(z) \prec \varphi \left( [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma, [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)f(z), (\gamma - 1) [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-2} (\mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)f(z))^2 + \frac{a + 1 + \mu p}{a + \mu p} [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 2, c, \mu)f(z); z \right) \tag{34}$$

implies that

$$\chi(z) \prec [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma$$

and  $\chi(z)$  is the best subordinator.

**Proof.** The proof of Theorem 10 is similar to that of Theorem 4 and is, therefore, omitted here.  $\square$

Combining Theorems 2 and 9, we obtain the following sandwich-type theorem.

**Theorem 11.** Let the functions  $h_1(z)$  and  $\chi_1(z)$  be analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ , and let the function  $h_2(z)$  be univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ ,  $\chi_2(z) \in \wp_0$  with  $\chi_1(0) = \chi_2(0) = 0$  and

$$\varphi \in \Phi_1[h_2, \chi_2, \gamma] \cap \Phi'_1[h_1, \chi_1, \gamma].$$

If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ ,

$$[\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma \in \mathcal{H}[0, \gamma p] \cap \wp_0$$

and the function  $\varphi$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \left( & [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma, [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z), \right. \\ & (\gamma-1) [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-2} (\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z))^2 \\ & \left. + \frac{a+1+\mu p}{a+\mu p} [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a+2, c, \mu)f(z); z \right) \end{aligned}$$

is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then the following subordination relation:

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(z) \prec \varphi \left( & [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma, [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z), \right. \\ & (\gamma-1) [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-2} (\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z))^2 \\ & \left. + \frac{a+1+\mu p}{a+\mu p} [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{S}_p(a+2, c, \mu)f(z); z \right) \prec h_2(z) \end{aligned}$$

implies that

$$\chi_1(z) \prec [\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)]^\gamma \prec \chi_2(z).$$

**Definition 8.** Let  $\Omega$  be a set in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\chi(z) \in \mathcal{H}[0, \gamma]$  with  $z\chi'(z) \neq 0$ . The class  $\Phi'_2[\Omega, \chi, \gamma]$  of admissible functions consists of the functions  $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that satisfy the following admissibility condition:

$$\varphi(u, v, w; \zeta) \in \Omega \tag{35}$$

whenever

$$u = \chi(z), \quad v = \frac{z\chi'(z) + m\gamma\left(\frac{a}{\mu} + (p-1)\right)\chi(z)}{m\gamma\left(\frac{a}{\mu} + p\right)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \left( \frac{\frac{(a+\mu p)^2}{\mu(a+\mu(p-1))}w - \left(\frac{\gamma^{a+1}}{\mu} + \gamma(p-1)\right)v + \gamma\left(\frac{a}{\mu} + (p-1)\right)u}{\frac{a+\mu p}{a+\mu(p-1)}v - u} \right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{m} \Re \left( 1 + \frac{z\chi''(z)}{\chi'(z)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ,  $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus E(\chi)$  and  $m \geq \gamma$ .

We now state and prove the dual result of Theorem 6 for differential superordination.

**Theorem 12.** Let  $\varphi \in \Phi'_2[\Omega, \chi, \gamma]$ . If

$$f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \in \wp_0,$$

and if the function  $\varphi$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \left( \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma, \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}, \right. \\ \left. \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \cdot \left[ (\gamma - 1) \left( \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)} \right)^2 \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{a+1+\mu p}{a+\mu p} \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+2, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)} \right]; z \right) \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then the following set inclusion:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega \subset \left\{ \varphi \left( \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma, \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}, \right. \right. \\ \left. \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \left[ (\gamma - 1) \left( \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)} \right)^2 \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{a+1+\mu p}{a+\mu p} \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+2, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)} \right]; z \right\} : z \in \mathbb{U} \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

implies that

$$\chi(z) \prec \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma.$$

**Proof.** From (24) and (37), we have

$$\Omega \subset \left\{ \psi(\omega(z), z\omega'(z), z^2\omega''(z); z) : z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}.$$

We also observe from (23) that the admissibility condition for  $\varphi \in \Phi'_2[\Omega, \chi, \gamma]$  is equivalent to the admissibility condition for  $\psi$  as given in Definition 2. Hence,  $\psi \in \Psi'[\Omega, \chi]$  and, by Lemma 2, we find that

$$\chi(z) \prec \omega(z) \quad \text{or} \quad \chi(z) \prec \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma,$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 12.  $\square$

If  $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$  is a simply-connected domain, then  $\Omega = h(\mathbb{U})$  for some conformal mapping  $h(z)$  of  $\mathbb{U}$  onto  $\Omega$ . In this case, the class  $\Phi'_2[h(\mathbb{U}), \chi, \gamma]$  is written, for convenience, as  $\Phi'_2[h, \chi, \gamma]$ .

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 12.

**Theorem 13.** Let  $\chi(z) \in \mathcal{H}[0, \gamma]$ , the function  $h(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $\varphi \in \Phi'_2[h, \chi, \gamma]$ . If

$$f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \in \wp_0,$$

and if the function  $\varphi$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \left( \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma, \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}, \right. \\ \left. \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \cdot \left[ (\gamma - 1) \left( \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)} \right)^2 \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{a+1+\mu p}{a+\mu p} \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+2, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)} \right]; z \right) \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then the following set inclusion:

$$\begin{aligned} h(z) \prec \varphi \left( \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma, \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}, \right. \\ \left. \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \left[ (\gamma - 1) \left( \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)} \right)^2 \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{a+1+\mu p}{a+\mu p} \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+2, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)} \right]; z \right) \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

implies that

$$\chi(z) \prec \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma.$$

Finally, upon combining Theorems 7 and 13, we are led to the following sandwich-type theorem.

**Theorem 14.** Let the functions  $h_1(z)$  and  $\chi_1(z)$  be analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ , and let the function  $h_2(z)$  be univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Suppose also that  $\chi_2(z) \in \wp_0$  with

$$\chi_1(0) = \chi_2(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi \in \Phi_2[h_2, \chi_2, \gamma] \cap \Phi'_2[h_1, \chi_1, \gamma].$$

If

$$f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \in \mathcal{H}[0, \gamma] \cap \wp_0,$$

and if the function  $\varphi$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \left( \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma, \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}, \right. \\ \left. \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \cdot \left[ (\gamma - 1) \left( \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)} \right)^2 \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{a+1+\mu p}{a+\mu p} \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+2, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)} \right]; z \right) \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then the following set inclusion:

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_1(z) \prec \varphi \left( \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma, \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}, \right. \\
 \left. \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \cdot \left[ (\gamma - 1) \left( \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)} \right)^2 \right. \right. \\
 \left. \left. + \frac{a+1+\mu p}{a+\mu p} \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a+2, c, \mu)f(z)}{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)} \right]; z \right) \prec h_2(z)
 \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

implies that

$$\chi_1(z) \prec \left[ \frac{\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]^\gamma \prec \chi_2(z).$$

#### 4. Conclusions

By using a rather specialized version of the Riemann–Liouville fractional integral operator and its varied form known as the Erdélyi–Kober fractional integral operator, we have first introduced the following linear integral operator:

$$\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu) \quad (\mu > 0; a, c \in \mathbb{R}; c > a > -\mu p; p \in \mathbb{N}^+ := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}),$$

which was considered earlier by El-Ashwah and Drbuk [4]. We have then derived several results involving the differential subordination and the differential superordination for the admissible classes  $\Phi_1[\Omega, \chi, \delta]$  and  $\Phi'_1[\Omega, \chi, \delta]$  of multivalent (or  $p$ -valent) functions associated with operator  $\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)$ .

The various results, which also include sandwich-type theorems, which we have presented in this paper, are new and would motivate further research in the field of the geometric function theory of complex analysis.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, E.E.A., H.M.S., R.M.E.-A. and A.M.A.; methodology, E.E.A., H.M.S., R.M.E.-A. and A.M.A.; validation, E.E.A., H.M.S., R.M.E.-A. and A.M.A.; investigation, E.E.A., H.M.S., R.M.E.-A. and A.M.A.; resources, E.E.A., H.M.S., R.M.E.-A., and A.M.A.; writing—original draft preparation, E.E.A., H.M.S., R.M.E.-A., and A.M.A.; writing—review and editing, E.E.A., H.M.S., R.M.E.-A. and A.M.A.; supervision, E.E.A., H.M.S., R.M.E.-A. and A.M.A.; project administration, E.E.A., H.M.S., R.M.E.-A. and A.M.A.; and funding acquisition, E.E.A. and A.M.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors are grateful to the reviewers for their valuable remarks, comments, and advice, which helped to improve the quality of the manuscript.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### References

1. Kilbas, A.A.; Srivastava, H.M.; Trujillo, J.J. *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*; North-Holland Mathematical Studies; Elsevier (North-Holland) Science Publishers: Amsterdam, The Netherlands; London, UK; New York, NY, USA, 2006; Volume 204.
2. Kiryakova, V. *Generalized Fractional Calculus and Applications*; Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics; Longman Scientific and Technical: Harlow, UK, 1993; Volume 301.
3. Srivastava, H.M. An introductory overview of fractional-calculus operators based upon the Fox-Wright and related higher transcendental functions. *J. Adv. Eng. Comput.* **2021**, *5*, 135–166. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. El-Ashwah R.M.; Drbuk, M.E. Subordination properties of  $p$ -valent functions defined by linear operators. *Br. J. Math. Comput. Sci.* **2014**, *4*, 3000–3013. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Raina, R.K.; Sharma, P. Subordination preserving properties associated with a class of operators. *Matematiche* **2013**, *68*, 217–228.

6. Saitoh, H.; Owa, S.; Sekine, T.; Nunokawa, M.; Yamakawa, R. An application of a certain integral operator. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **1992**, *5*, 21–24. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Aouf, M.K.; El-Ashwah, R.M.; Abd-Eltawab, A.M. Some inclusion relationships of certain subclasses of  $p$ -valent functions associated with a family of integral operators. *ISRN Math. Anal.* **2013**, *8*, 384170. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Liu, J.L.; Owa, S. Properties of certain integral operator. *Int. J. Math. Sci.* **2004**, *3*, 45–51.
9. Jung, I.B.; Kim, Y.C.; Srivastava, H.M. The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain parameter families of integral operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **1993**, *176*, 138–147. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Carlson, B.C.; Shaffer, D.B. Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **1984**, *15*, 737–745. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Choi, J.H.; Saigo, M.; Srivastava, H.M. Some inclusion properties of a certain family of integral operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2002**, *276*, 432–445. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Ruscheweyh, S. New criteria for univalent functions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1975**, *49*, 109–115. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Noor, K.I. On new classes of integral operators. *J. Nat. Geom.* **1999**, *16*, 71–80.
14. Bernardi, S.D. Convex and starlike univalent functions. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **1969**, *135*, 429–446. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Libera, R.J. Some classes of regular univalent functions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1965**, *16*, 755–758. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Pommerenke, C. *Univalent Functions*; Vandenhoech & Ruprecht: Gottingen, Germany, 1975.
17. Bulboacă, T. *Differential Subordinations and Superordinations: Recent Results*; House of Scientific Book Publishers: Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 2005.
18. Miller, S.S.; Mocanu, P.T. *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications*; Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 225; Marcel Dekker Incorporated: New York, NY, USA; Basel, Switzerland, 2000.
19. Miller, S.S.; Mocanu, P.T. Subordinants of differential superordinations. *Complex Var. Theory Appl.* **2003**, *48*, 815–826. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Aghalary, R.; Ali, R.M.; Joshi, S.B.; Ravichandran, V. Inequalities for analytic functions defined by certain linear operator. *Int. J. Math. Sci.* **2005**, *4*, 267–274.
21. Ali, R.M.; Ravichandran, V.; Seenivasagan, N. Differential subordination and superodination of analytic functions defined by the multiplier transformation. *Math. Inequalities Appl.* **2009**, *12*, 123–139. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Kim, Y.C.; Srivastava, H.M. Inequalities involving certain families of integral and convolution operators. *Math. Inequalities Appl.* **2004**, *7*, 227–234. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Shanmugam, T.N.; Sivasubramanian, S.; Frasin, B.A.; Kavitha, S. On sandwich theorems for certain subclasses of analytic functions involving Carlson-Shaffer operator. *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **2008**, *45*, 611–620. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Frasin, B.A. A new differential operator of analytic functions involving binomial series. *Bol. Soc. Parana. Matemática* **2020**, *38*, 205–213. [[CrossRef](#)]