A CLASS OF DISTORTION THEOREMS INVOLVING CERTAIN OPERATORS OF FRACTIONAL CALCULUS

By

H.M. SRIVASTAVA, MEGUMI SAIGO
and
SHIGEYOSHI OWA

DM-397-IR OCTOBER 1985

A CLASS OF DISTORTION THEOREMS INVOLVING CERTAIN OPERATORS OF FRACTIONAL CALCULUS*

H.M. SRIVASTAVA[1], MEGUMI SAIGO[2]

and

SHIGEYOSHI OWA[3]

ABSTRACT

The object of the present paper is to investigate a general class of fractional integral operators involving the Gauss hypergeometric function. Several interesting distortion theorems for various subclasses of analytic and univalent functions are proved in terms of these operators of fractional calculus. Some special cases of the results presented here are also indicated.

1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

Among several interesting definitions of fractional integrals given in the literature (*cf.*, *e.g.*, [2, Chapter 13], [5], [8, p. 28 *et seq.*], and [12]), we find it to be convenient to recall here the following definitions:

*Supported, in part, by NSERC (Canada) under Grant A-7353. The present investigation was initiated at the University of Victoria while the third author was on study leave from Kinki University, Osaka, Japan.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 26A24, 30C45; Secondary 33A30.

DEFINITION 1 (Owa [3]; see also Srivastava and Owa [10]). The fractional integral of order λ is defined, for a function $f(z)$, by

$$(1.1) \qquad D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(z-\zeta)^{1-\lambda}} \, d\zeta,$$

where $\lambda > 0$, $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply-connected region of the z-plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of $(z-\zeta)^{\lambda-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-\zeta)$ to be real when $z - \zeta > 0$.

DEFINITION 2 (Saigo [6]; see also Srivastava and Saigo [13]). For real numbers $\alpha > 0$, β and η, the fractional integral operator $I_{0,x}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta}$ is defined by

$$(1.2) \qquad I_{0,x}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} f(x) = \frac{x^{-\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} \, F\left(\alpha+\beta, -\eta; \alpha; 1 - \frac{t}{x}\right) f(t)\, dt$$

for a real-valued function $f(x)$ which is continuous on the open interval $(0, \infty)$ with the order

$$f(x) = O(x^{\varepsilon}), \qquad x \to 0,$$

where

$$\varepsilon > \max\{0, \beta-\eta\} - 1.$$

It follows from Definition 1 and Definition 2 that

$$(1.3) \qquad D_x^{-\alpha} f(x) = I_{0,x}^{\alpha,-\alpha,\eta} f(x).$$

Furthermore, for a complex-valued function $f(z)$, Definition 2 may be written in the modified form:

DEFINITION 3. For real numbers $\alpha > 0$, β and η, the fractional integral operator $I_{0,z}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta}$ is defined by

$$(1.4) \qquad I_{0,z}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} f(z) = \frac{z^{-\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^z (z-\zeta)^{\alpha-1} F\left(\alpha+\beta, -\eta; \alpha; 1 - \frac{\zeta}{z}\right) f(\zeta)\, d\zeta,$$

where $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply-connected region of the z-plane containing the origin with the order

$$f(z) = O(|z|^{\varepsilon}), \qquad z \to 0,$$

where

$$\varepsilon > \max\{0, \beta-\eta\} - 1,$$

and the multiplicity of $(z-\zeta)^{\alpha-1}$ is removed as in Definition 1 above.

It is easy to observe that [*cf.* Equation (1.3)]

$$(1.5) \qquad D_z^{-\alpha} f(z) = I_{0,z}^{\alpha,-\alpha,\eta} f(z).$$

Let $\mathcal{A}(n)$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$(1.6) \qquad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \qquad (n \in \mathcal{N} = \{1,2,3,\ldots\}),$$

which are analytic in the unit disk $\mathcal{U} = \{z: |z| < 1\}$. Further, let $\mathcal{S}(n)$ denote the class of all functions in $\mathcal{A}(n)$ which are univalent in the unit disk $\mathcal{U}$. Then a function $f(z)$ belonging to the class $\mathcal{S}(n)$ is said to be in the subclass $\mathcal{S}_\delta(n)$ if and only if

$$(1.7) \qquad \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \delta \qquad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

for some δ $(0 \leqq \delta < 1)$. Also, a function $f(z)$ belonging to the class $\mathcal{S}(n)$ is said to be in the subclass $\mathcal{K}_\delta(n)$ if and only if

$$(1.8) \qquad \operatorname{Re}\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > \delta \qquad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

for some δ $(0 \leqq \delta < 1)$.

We note that $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_\delta(n)$ if and only if $zf'(z) \in \mathcal{S}_\delta(n)$, and that

$$(1.9) \qquad \mathcal{S}_\delta(n) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_0(n), \mathcal{K}_\delta(n) \subseteq \mathcal{K}_0(n), \text{ and } \mathcal{K}_\delta(n) \subset \mathcal{S}_\delta(n)$$

for $0 \leqq \delta < 1$.

The classes $\mathcal{S}_\delta(n)$ and $\mathcal{K}_\delta(n)$ were studied recently by Srivastava, Owa and Chatterjea [11]. For $n = 1$, $\mathcal{S}_\delta(1)$ and $\mathcal{K}_\delta(1)$ become the classes $\mathcal{S}^*(\delta)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\delta)$, respectively, which were introduced earlier by Robertson [4].

Let $\mathcal{T}(n)$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{S}(n)$ consisting of functions of the form

$$(1.10) \qquad f(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \qquad (a_k \geqq 0).$$

Denote by $\mathcal{T}_\delta(n)$ and $\mathcal{C}_\delta(n)$ the classes obtained by taking intersections, respectively, of the classes $\mathcal{S}_\delta(n)$ and $\mathcal{K}_\delta(n)$ with $\mathcal{T}(n)$; that is,

$$(1.11) \qquad \mathcal{T}_\delta(n) = \mathcal{S}_\delta(n) \cap \mathcal{T}(n) \qquad (0 \leqq \delta < 1;\ n \in \mathcal{N})$$

and

$$(1.12) \qquad \mathcal{C}_\delta(n) = \mathcal{K}_\delta(n) \cap \mathcal{T}(n) \qquad (0 \leqq \delta < 1;\ n \in \mathcal{N}).$$

The classes $\mathcal{T}_\delta(n)$ and $\mathcal{C}_\delta(n)$ were considered by Chatterjea [1]. In particular, $\mathcal{T}_\delta(1)$ and $\mathcal{C}_\delta(1)$ are the classes $\mathcal{T}^*(\delta)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\delta)$, respectively, which were introduced by Silverman [7].

In this paper we aim at presenting several interesting distortion theorems for the fractional integrals of functions belonging to the general classes $\mathcal{T}_\delta(n)$ and $\mathcal{C}_\delta(n)$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In order to prove our results for functions belonging to the general classes $\mathcal{T}_\delta(n)$ and $\mathcal{C}_\delta(n)$, we shall need the following lemmas given by Chatterjea [1]:

LEMMA 1. _Let the function_ $f(z)$ _be defined by_ (1.10). _Then_ $f(z)$ _is in the class_ $\mathcal{T}_\delta(n)$ _if and only if_

$$(2.1) \qquad \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k-\delta}{1-\delta}\right) a_k \leqq 1 \qquad (n \geqq 1).$$

LEMMA 2. _Let the function_ $f(z)$ _be defined by_ (1.10). _Then_ $f(z)$ _is in the class_ $\mathcal{C}_\delta(n)$ _if and only if_

$$(2.2) \qquad \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k(k-\delta)}{1-\delta}\right) a_k \leqq 1 \qquad (n \geqq 1).$$

REMARK 1. Lemma 1 follows immediately from a result due to Silverman [7, p. 110, Theorem 2] upon setting $a_k = 0$ $(k = 2,3,\ldots,n)$. Lemma 2, on the other hand, is a similar consequence of another result due to Silverman [7, p. 111, Corollary 2].

We shall also need the following result in our investigation:

LEMMA 3. *If* $\alpha > 0$ *and* $\kappa > \beta - \eta - 1$, *then*

$$I_{0,z}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta}\ z^{\kappa} = \frac{\Gamma(\kappa+1)\Gamma(\kappa-\beta+\eta+1)}{\Gamma(\kappa-\beta+1)\Gamma(\kappa+\alpha+\eta+1)}\ z^{\kappa-\beta}. \tag{2.3}$$

PROOF. By Definition 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{0,z}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta}\ z^{\kappa} &= \frac{z^{-\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^z (z-\zeta)^{\alpha-1}\ F\left(\alpha+\beta,\ -\eta;\ \alpha;\ 1-\frac{\zeta}{z}\right)\zeta^{\kappa}d\zeta \\ &= \frac{z^{\kappa-\beta}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1}(1-t)^{\kappa}\ F(\alpha+\beta,\ -\eta;\ \alpha;\ t)dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\kappa+1)}{\Gamma(\kappa+\alpha+1)}\ z^{\kappa-\beta}\ F(\alpha+\beta,\ -\eta;\ \kappa+\alpha+1;\ 1) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\kappa+1)\Gamma(\kappa-\beta+\eta+1)}{\Gamma(\kappa-\beta+1)\Gamma(\kappa+\alpha+\eta+1)}\ z^{\kappa-\beta}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where we have employed the formulas [9, p. 287, Equation (44)]

$$F(\alpha,\beta;\gamma;z) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(\gamma-\lambda)} \int_0^1 t^{\lambda-1}(1-t)^{\gamma-\lambda-1}\ F(\alpha,\beta;\lambda;zt)dt, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\mathrm{Re}(\gamma) > \mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > 0,$$

and [9, p. 19, Equation (20)]

$$F(\alpha,\beta;\gamma;1) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha)\Gamma(\gamma-\beta)}, \quad \mathrm{Re}(\gamma-\alpha-\beta) > 0. \tag{2.6}$$

3. DISTORTION THEOREMS FOR THE CLASSES $\mathcal{T}_\delta(n)$ AND $\mathcal{C}_\delta(n)$

Applying Lemma 1 and Lemma 3, we shall prove

THEOREM 1. *Let* α, β *and* η *satisfy the inequalities:*

(3.1) $$\alpha > 0,\ \beta < 2,\ \alpha + \eta > -2 \ \text{and}\ \beta - \eta < 2.$$

Choose a positive integer n *such that*

(3.2) $$n \geqq \frac{\beta(\alpha+\eta)}{\alpha} - 2.$$

Also let the function $f(z)$ *defined by* (1.10) *be in the class* $\mathcal{T}_\delta(n)$. *Then*

(3.3) $$\left| I_{0,z}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} f(z) \right| \geqq \frac{\Gamma(2-\beta+\eta)}{\Gamma(2-\beta)\Gamma(2+\alpha+\eta)} |z|^{1-\beta} \left\{ 1 - \frac{(1-\delta)(-\beta+\eta+2)_n (n+1)!}{(n+1-\delta)(-\beta+2)_n(\alpha+\eta+2)_n} |z|^n \right\}$$

and

(3.4) $$\left| I_{0,z}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} f(z) \right| \leqq \frac{\Gamma(2-\beta+\eta)}{\Gamma(2-\beta)\Gamma(2+\alpha+\eta)} |z|^{1-\beta} \left\{ 1 + \frac{(1-\delta)(-\beta+\eta+2)_n (n+1)!}{(n+1-\delta)(-\beta+2)_n(\alpha+\eta+2)_n} |z|^n \right\}$$

for

(3.5) $$z \in \mathcal{U} \ \text{if}\ \beta \leqq 1 \ \text{and}\ z \in \mathcal{U} - \{0\} \ \text{if}\ \beta > 1,$$

where $(\lambda)_k$ *is the Pochhammer symbol defined by*

(3.6) $$(\lambda)_k = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+k)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \lambda(\lambda+1)\ldots(\lambda+k-1), & \forall k \in \mathcal{N}. \end{cases}$$

Equalities in (3.3) and (3.4) are attained by the functions

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1-\delta}{n+1-\delta} z^{n+1} \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$f(z) = z + \frac{1-\delta}{n+1-\delta} z^{n+1}, \tag{3.8}$$

respectively.

PROOF. By virtue of the formula (2.3), we have

$$I_{0,z}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(2-\beta+\eta)}{\Gamma(2-\beta)\Gamma(2+\alpha+\eta)} z^{1-\beta} - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k-\beta+\eta+1)}{\Gamma(k-\beta+1)\Gamma(k+\alpha+\eta+1)} a_k z^{k-\beta}. \tag{3.9}$$

Now define the function $\Phi(z)$ by

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{\Gamma(2-\beta)\Gamma(2+\alpha+\eta)}{\Gamma(2-\beta+\eta)} z^{\beta} I_{0,z}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} f(z) \tag{3.10}$$

$$= z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \Psi(k) a_k z^k,$$

where, for convenience,

$$\Psi(k) = \frac{(-\beta+\eta+2)_{k-1}\, k!}{(-\beta+2)_{k-1}(\alpha+\eta+2)_{k-1}} \qquad (k = n+1, n+2, n+3, \ldots). \tag{3.11}$$

It is easily seen from the assumptions in (3.1) and (3.2) that $\Psi(k)$ is non-increasing for integers $k \geqq n+1$, and we have

$$0 < \Psi(k) \leqq \Psi(n+1) = \frac{(-\beta+\eta+2)_n (n+1)!}{(-\beta+2)_n(\alpha+\eta+2)_n}. \tag{3.12}$$

In view of Lemma 1, we also have

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \leqq \frac{1 - \delta}{n + 1 - \delta} . \tag{3.13}$$

Making use of (3.12) and (3.13) in (3.10), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(z)| &\geqq |z| - |z|^{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \Psi(k) a_k \\ &\geqq |z| - \Psi(n+1)|z|^{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \\ &\geqq |z| - \frac{1 - \delta}{n + 1 - \delta} \Psi(n+1)|z|^{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the assertion (3.3) of Theorem 1.

The assertion (3.4) of Theorem 1 can be proved similarly.

Finally, in view of the formula (2.3), it is not difficult to verify that the functions given by (3.7) and (3.8) do indeed attain the equalities in (3.3) and (3.4), respectively.

COROLLARY 1. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.10) be in the class $\mathcal{T}_\delta(n)$. Then

$$\left| D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) \right| \geqq \frac{|z|^{1+\lambda}}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{(1-\delta)(n+1)!}{(n+1-\delta)(2+\lambda)_n} |z|^n \right\} \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$\left| D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) \right| \leqq \frac{|z|^{1+\lambda}}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{(1-\delta)(n+1)!}{(n+1-\delta)(2+\lambda)_n} |z|^n \right\} \tag{3.15}$$

for $\lambda > 0$ and $z \in \mathcal{U}$. Equalities in (3.14) and (3.15) are attained by the *functions given by* (3.7) *and* (3.8), *respectively*.

PROOF. In view of the relationship (1.5), Corollary 1 follows readily from Theorem 1 in the special case when

$$\alpha = -\beta = \lambda.$$

REMARK 2. Letting $\lambda \to 0$ in Corollary 1, we obtain the corresponding result due to Srivastava, Owa and Chatterjea [11, Theorem 1].

Similarly, by applying Lemma 2 (instead of Lemma 1) to the function $f(z)$ belonging to the class $\mathcal{C}_\delta(n)$, we can derive

THEOREM 2. *Under the assumptions* (3.1) *and* (3.2) *of Theorem 1, let the function defined by* (1.10) *be in the class* $\mathcal{C}_\delta(n)$. *Then*

$$(3.16)\qquad \left|I_{0,z}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} f(z)\right| \geqq \frac{\Gamma(2-\beta+\eta)}{\Gamma(2-\beta)\Gamma(2+\alpha+\eta)} |z|^{1-\beta} \left\{1 - \frac{(1-\delta)(-\beta+\eta+2)_n\, n!}{(n+1-\delta)(-\beta+2)_n(\alpha+\eta+2)_n} |z|^n\right\}$$

and

$$(3.17)\qquad \left|I_{0,z}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} f(z)\right| \leqq \frac{\Gamma(2-\beta+\eta)}{\Gamma(2-\beta)\Gamma(2+\alpha+\eta)} |z|^{1-\beta} \left\{1 + \frac{(1-\delta)(-\beta+\eta+2)_n\, n!}{(n+1-\delta)(-\beta+2)_n(\alpha+\eta+2)_n} |z|^n\right\}$$

for z *given precisely by* (3.5). *Equalities in* (3.16) *and* (3.17) *are attained by the functions*

$$(3.18)\qquad f(z) = z - \frac{1-\delta}{(n+1)(n+1-\delta)} z^{n+1}$$

and

$$(3.19)\qquad f(z) = z + \frac{1-\delta}{(n+1)(n+1-\delta)} z^{n+1},$$

respectively.

Finally, by virtue of the relationship (1.5), a special case of Theorem 2 when

$$\alpha = -\beta = \lambda$$

may be stated as

COROLLARY 2. *Let the function* $f(z)$ *defined by* (1.10) *be in the class* $\mathcal{C}_\delta(n)$. *Then*

$$\left|D_z^{-\lambda} f(z)\right| \geqq \frac{|z|^{1+\lambda}}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)}\left\{1 - \frac{(1-\delta)n!}{(n+1-\delta)(2+\lambda)_n}|z|^n\right\} \tag{3.20}$$

and

$$\left|D_z^{-\lambda} f(z)\right| \leqq \frac{|z|^{1+\lambda}}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)}\left\{1 + \frac{(1-\delta)n!}{(n+1-\delta)(2+\lambda)_n}|z|^n\right\} \tag{3.21}$$

for $\lambda > 0$ *and* $z \in \mathcal{U}$. *Equalities in* (3.20) *and* (3.21) *are attained by the functions given by* (3.18) and (3.19), *respectively*.

REMARK 3. Letting $\lambda \to 0$ in Corollary 2, we obtain the corresponding result due to Srivastava, Owa and Chatterjea [11, Theorem 2].

REFERENCES

[1] S.K. Chatterjea, On starlike functions, *J. Pure Math.* **1**(1981), 23-26.

[2] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F.G. Tricomi, *Tables of Integral Transforms*, Vol. II, McGraw-Hill, New York, London and Toronto, 1954.

[3] S. Owa, On the distortion theorems. I, *Kyungpook Math. J.* **18**(1978), 53-59.

[4] M.S. Robertson, On the theory of univalent functions, Ann. of Math. 37(1936), 374-408.

[5] B. Ross, A brief history and exposition of the fundamental theory of fractional calculus, in Fractional Calculus and Its Applications (B. Ross, ed.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1975, 1-36.

[6] M. Saigo, A remark on integral operators involving the Gauss hypergeometric functions, Math. Rep. College General Ed. Kyushu Univ. 11(1978), 135-143.

[7] H. Silverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51(1975), 109-116.

[8] H.M. Srivastava and R.G. Buschman, Convolution Integral Equations with Special Function Kernels, John Wiley and Sons, New York, London, Sydney and Toronto, 1977.

[9] H.M. Srivastava and P.W. Karlsson, Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series, John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, 1985.

[10] H.M. Srivastava and S. Owa, An application of the fractional derivative, Math. Japon. 29(1984), 383-389.

[11] H.M. Srivastava, S. Owa and S.K. Chatterjea, A note on certain classes of starlike functions, Univ. of Victoria Report No. DM-339-IR, 1985, 1-12.

[12] H.M. Srivastava, S. Owa and K. Nishimoto, Some fractional differintegral equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 106(1985), 360-366.

[13] H.M. Srivastava and M. Saigo, Multiplication of fractional calculus operators and boundary value problems involving the Euler-Darboux equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. (to appear).

[1] Department of Mathematics
University of Victoria
Victoria, British Columbia V8W 2Y2
Canada

[2] Department of Applied Mathematics
Fukuoka University
Fukuoka 814-01
Japan

[3] Department of Mathematics
Kinki University
Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577
Japan