

***EXPLICIT POLAR DECOMPOSITION OF  
COMPANION MATRICES***

**P. VAN DEN DRIESSCHE and H.K. WIMMER**

**DMS-651-IR**

**December 1993**

**EXPLICIT POLAR DECOMPOSITION OF COMPANION MATRICES**

**P. VAN DEN DRIESSCHE<sup>†</sup> AND H.K. WIMMER<sup>††</sup>**

Key words: companion matrix, polar decomposition, singular values  
AMS(MOS) subject classification. 15A23, 15A18

---

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada V8W 3P4. The work of this author was supported in part by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada grant A-8965 and by the University of Victoria Committee on Faculty Research and Travel. [pvdd@uvvm.uvic.ca](mailto:pvdd@uvvm.uvic.ca)

<sup>††</sup>Mathemat. Institut, Universität Würzburg, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany

**Abstract.** An explicit formula for the polar decomposition of an  $n \times n$  nonsingular companion matrix is derived. This involves the largest and smallest singular values of the companion matrix.

**1. INTRODUCTION.** Every matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  has a polar decomposition, namely  $A = PU$  where  $P \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is a (unique) positive semidefinite matrix and  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is a unitary matrix. If  $A$  is nonsingular, then  $P$  is positive definite and  $U$  is unique. In view of the wide range of applications, both theoretical and numerical aspects of the polar decomposition have been studied in detail; see, for example, [3], [5, Ch. 7].

It is of interest to single out special classes of matrices with polar decompositions that can be obtained in an explicit form. In this paper we show that nonsingular companion matrices are such a class.

For  $n \geq 2$ , let the complex companion matrix

$$C \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & \\ \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ 0 & & & & 0 & 1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & & & & a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

be associated with the complex polynomial  $z^n - a_{n-1}z^{n-1} - \dots - a_1z - a_0$ . With

$$d^* \equiv [a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}],$$

the matrix  $C$  can be written as

$$C = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & I_{n-1} \\ \hline a_0 & d^* \end{array} \right]. \quad (1.1)$$

It will be assumed that  $C$  is nonsingular, equivalently  $a_0 \neq 0$ . The aim of this paper is to derive the polar decomposition of  $C$  in terms of the data  $a_0$  and  $d$  together with the largest and smallest singular values,  $\sigma_n$  and  $\sigma_1$ , of  $C$ . This explicit formula is stated in Theorem 1, and is proved by developing properties of these singular values in Lemmas 2 and 3.

## 2. POLAR DECOMPOSITION FORMULA

**THEOREM 1.** *Assume that the companion matrix  $C$  in (1.1) is nonsingular. Let  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_n$  be positive real numbers such that  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_n^2$  are the roots of*

$$F(z) \equiv z^2 - z(|a_0|^2 + \dots + |a_{n-1}|^2 + 1) + |a_0|^2. \quad (2.1)$$

*Then  $C = PU$  is the polar decomposition with  $P = P^*$  positive definite,  $U$  unitary and the factors  $P \equiv [p_1, \dots, p_n]$ , and  $U \equiv [v, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}]$  given by:*

$$P = \frac{1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_n} \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} (\sigma_1 + \sigma_n)I_{n-1} - \frac{1}{(\sigma_1 + 1)(\sigma_n + 1)} dd^* & d \\ \hline d^* & \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_n^2 + \sigma_1\sigma_n - 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$v = \frac{a_0}{|a_0|(\sigma_1 + \sigma_n)} \begin{bmatrix} -d \\ 1 + |a_0| \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.3)$$

To prove Theorem 1, we first consider the singular values of  $C$  (i.e. the positive square roots of the eigenvalues of  $CC^*$ ). The following result is known (see, for example, [1, p. 224-5]). We include a simple proof as it clarifies the role of the quadratic polynomial  $F(z)$  in (2.1).

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $0 \leq \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2 \leq \dots \leq \sigma_{n-1} \leq \sigma_n$  be the singular values of  $C$ . Then*

$$\sigma_2 = \dots = \sigma_{n-1} = 1, \quad (2.4)$$

and  $\sigma_1^2, \sigma_n^2$  are the zeros of (2.1), namely  $F(z) = z^2 - z \sum_{i=0}^n |a_i|^2 + |a_0|^2$ , with  $a_n \equiv 1$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$CC^* = \begin{bmatrix} I_{n-1} & d \\ d^* & s \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $s \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |a_i|^2 = d^*d + |a_0|^2$ . Hence, by expanding the determinant,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(zI_n - CC^*) &= (z-s)\det((z-1)I_{n-1}) - d^* \operatorname{adj}((z-1)I_{n-1})d \\ &= (z-1)^{n-2} (z^2 - (s+1)z + s - d^*d) \\ &= (z-1)^{n-2} F(z). \end{aligned}$$

hence  $F(1) = 0$  is equivalent to  $d = 0$ . In this case  $F(z) = (z-1)(z - |a_0|^2)$ , and  $\{\sigma_1^2, \sigma_n^2\} = \{1, |a_0|^2\}$ . If  $F(1) \neq 0$ , then  $F(1) < 0$ . Thus when  $d \neq 0$ ,  $a_0 \neq 0$ , from  $F(0) = |a_0|^2 > 0$  and  $F(\infty) = +\infty$ , it follows that  $\sigma_1^2 < 1 < \sigma_n^2$ . When  $d \neq 0$ ,  $a_0 = 0$ , then  $\sigma_1^2 = 0$ , and  $\sigma_n^2 = \sum_{i=0}^n |a_i|^2 > 1$ .  $\square$

The subsequent observations will be useful.

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $\sigma_1$  be the smallest and  $\sigma_n$  be the largest singular values of  $C$ . Then*

$$\sigma_1 \sigma_n = |a_0| \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$d^* d = -(\sigma_n^2 - 1)(\sigma_1^2 - 1). \tag{2.6}$$

In addition, assuming  $a_0 \neq 0$ , and defining

$$g_i \equiv \begin{bmatrix} d \\ \sigma_i^2 - 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, n, \tag{2.7}$$

gives

$$CC^* g_i = \sigma_i^2 g_i, \quad i = 1, n, \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$|g_i|^2 = g_i^* g_i = (\sigma_i^2 - 1)(\sigma_i^2 - \sigma_j^2), \{i, j\} = \{1, n\}. \quad (2.9)$$

*Proof:* From (2.4) it follows that

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2 = \sigma_1^2 \sigma_n^2 = \det CC^* = |\det C|^2 = |a_0|^2,$$

which yields (2.5). To prove (2.6), note that  $\text{trace}(CC^*)$  is equal to the sum of the eigenvalues of  $CC^*$  and (by the proof of Lemma 2) is also equal to  $n - 1 + d^*d + |a_0|^2$ . Thus

$$n - 2 + \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_n^2 = n - 1 + d^*d + |a_0|^2,$$

giving, by (2.5),

$$d^*d = \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_n^2 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_n^2 - 1,$$

which is (2.6).

We prove (2.8) for nonsingular  $C$  in the equivalent form

$$C^* g_i = \sigma_i^2 C^{-1} g_i, \quad i = 1, n. \quad (2.10)$$

From (2.5),  $\bar{a}_0 = \sigma_1^2 \sigma_n^2 / a_0$ , thus

$$C^* g_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & | & \bar{a}_0 \\ I_{n-1} & | & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d \\ \sigma_i^2 - 1 \end{bmatrix} = \sigma_i^2 \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_j^2 (\sigma_i^2 - 1) / a_0 \\ d \end{bmatrix},$$



the fact that  $P$  is the unique positive definite square root of  $CC^*$  imply

$$Py_i = \sigma_i y_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Thus  $P$  has the spectral decomposition

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i y_i y_i^* = \sum_{i \in \{1, n\}} \sigma_i y_i y_i^* + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} y_i y_i^* = \sum_{i \in \{1, n\}} (\sigma_i - 1) y_i y_i^* + I_n. \quad (2.12)$$

Taking  $y_i = g_i / |g_i|$ ,  $i = 1, n$ , with  $g_1, g_n$  given by (2.7) and using (2.9), we calculate the explicit representation for  $P$ . From (2.12)

$$\begin{aligned} P - I_n &= \frac{(\sigma_1 - 1)}{|g_1|^2} g_1 g_1^* + \frac{(\sigma_n - 1)}{|g_n|^2} g_n g_n^* \\ &= \frac{(\sigma_1 - 1)}{(\sigma_1^2 - 1)(\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_n^2)} \begin{bmatrix} d \\ \sigma_1^2 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d^* & \sigma_1^2 - 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{(\sigma_n - 1)}{(\sigma_n^2 - 1)(\sigma_n^2 - \sigma_1^2)} \begin{bmatrix} d \\ \sigma_n^2 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d^* & \sigma_n^2 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha d d^* & \beta d \\ \beta d^* & \gamma \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha = -\frac{1}{(\sigma_1 + 1)(\sigma_n + 1)(\sigma_1 + \sigma_n)}$ ,

$$\beta = \frac{1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_n},$$

and  $\gamma = \frac{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_n^2 + \sigma_1 \sigma_n - 1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_n} - 1.$

Hence  $P$  is of the form (2.2).

For  $U$  we have  $U^{-1} = C^{-1}P$ , and since  $U$  is unitary  $U = P(C^{-1})^*$ . Hence, by (2.11),

$$U = [p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}, p_n] \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} -d/\bar{a}_0 & I_{n-1} \\ \hline 1/\bar{a}_0 & 0 \end{array} \right] = [v, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}].$$

Taking (2.5) and (2.6) into account, the first column  $v$  can be determined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \frac{1}{\bar{a}_0} \begin{bmatrix} I_{n-1} + \alpha d d^* & \beta d \\ \beta d^* & \gamma + 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -d \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\bar{a}_0} \begin{bmatrix} (-1 + \alpha d^* d + \beta) d \\ -\beta d^* d + \gamma + 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\bar{a}_0} \frac{1}{(\sigma_1 + \sigma_n)} \begin{bmatrix} -\sigma_1 \sigma_n d \\ \sigma_1 \sigma_n (1 + \sigma_1 \sigma_n) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{|a_0|}{\bar{a}_0 (\sigma_1 + \sigma_n)} \begin{bmatrix} -d \\ 1 + |a_0| \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

which yields (2.3) and completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. ADDITIONAL REMARKS

**3.1** For a nonsingular  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $A$ , the explicit polar decomposition  $A = VP$  with

$V$  unitary and  $P$  positive definite is given for  $A$  real in [7, Th 1] and for  $A$  complex in

[6, Th 1]. Specializing to a  $2 \times 2$  complex companion matrix  $C = PU$ , gives

$$P = |\det(C^* + |\det C| C^{-1})|^{-1/2} (CC^* + |\det C| I_2),$$

and

$$U = |\det(C^* + |\det C| C^{-1})|^{-1/2} (C + |\det C| (C^*)^{-1}),$$

with

$$|\det(C^* + |\det C| C^{-1})| = |a_1|^2 + (1 + |a_0|)^2 = (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)^2;$$

in agreement with our Theorem 1 above. For an  $n \times n$  companion matrix  $C$ , we have

$$|\det(C^* + |\det C| C^{-1})| = (1 + |a_0|)^{n-2} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |a_i|^2 + (1 + |a_0|)^2 \right\},$$

but this does not appear in our polar decomposition formula for  $n > 2$ .

**3.2** Closely related to the polar decomposition of a matrix is the singular value decomposition, see, for example, [3], [5, Ch. 7]. For a nonsingular companion matrix  $C$ , this decomposition is  $C = V \Lambda W^*$ , where  $V$  and  $W$  are unitary and  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\sigma_n, 1, \dots, 1, \sigma_1)$ . The columns of  $V$  are the (ordered) orthonormal eigenvectors of  $CC^*$ . The first and last columns are given by (2.7), (2.8) normalized by (2.9). Each remaining column  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)^T$  has  $v_n = 0$  and is a normalized linearly independent solution of  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i v_i = 0$ . Matrix decompositions are important in best approximation

properties. With the Frobenius norm, the nearest unitary matrix to a given matrix is the unitary factor in its polar decomposition [3]. Thus for a companion matrix  $C$ , the nearest unitary matrix is given by  $U$  in Theorem 1.

3.3 Some spectral properties of polar decompositions of general matrices have been considered in the literature, see, for example [2], [4]. Restricting our attention to real nonsingular companion matrices, we are interested in the relation of the spectrums of  $C$  and  $U$ , where  $C = PU$ , and have some preliminary results. For  $2 \times 2$  matrices, explicit calculation of eigenvalues shows that  $C$  and  $U$  have the same inertia. However, this is not in general true as the following  $3 \times 3$  example shows. Let

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 48 & -44 & 12 \end{bmatrix}$$

with spectrum  $\{2, 4, 6\}$ . The polar factorization  $PU$  has the spectrum  $U$  equal to  $[1, -.0477 \pm .9989i]$ , thus  $\text{inertia}(U) \neq \text{inertia}(C)$ . The field of values  $F(C)$  (numerical range) of  $C$ , always contains 0, as  $z^T C z = 0$  for  $z = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ . In some cases  $0 \in F(U)$  and in others  $0 \notin F(U)$ . For  $n = 2$ , we know precisely:  $0 \notin F(U)$  if and only if  $a_0 > 0$  and  $a_1 \neq 0$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. BARNETT, *Matrices: Methods and Applications*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990.
- [2] B.E. CAIN, *Some spectral properties of polar decompositions*, Can. J. Math., 36(1984), pp. 973-985.
- [3] N.J. HIGHAM, *Computing the polar decomposition - with applications*, SIAM J. Sci. Stat. Comput., 7(1986), pp. 1160-1174.
- [4] A. HORN AND R. STEINBERG, *Eigenvalues of the unitary part of a matrix*, Pacific J. Math. (1959), pp. 541-550.
- [5] R.A. HORN AND C.R. JOHNSON, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1985.
- [6] P.C. SINHA, *Explicit polar decomposition formulas*, Ganita, 37(1986), pp. 41-43.
- [7] F. UHLIG, *Explicit polar decomposition and a near-characteristic polynomial: the  $2 \times 2$  case*, Linear Algebra Appl., 38(1981), pp. 239-249.