
Faculty of Science

Faculty Publications

Monotonicity results for nabla Riemann-Liouville fractional differences

Mohammed, P., Srivastava, H., Baleanu, D., Jan, R., & Abualnaja, K.

2022

© 2022 Pshtiwan Mohammed et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License.

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>






This article was originally published at:
<https://doi.org/10.3390/math10142433>

Citation for this paper:

Mohammed, P., Srivastava, H., Baleanu, D., Jan, R., & Abualnaja, K. (2022). "Monotonicity results for nabla Riemann-Liouville fractional differences." *Mathematics*, 10(14), 2433. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math10142433>

Article

Monotonicity Results for Nabla Riemann–Liouville Fractional Differences

Pshtiwan Othman Mohammed ^{1,*}, Hari Mohan Srivastava ^{2,3,4,5}, Dumitru Baleanu ^{6,7,8,*}, Rashid Jan ⁹
and Khadijah M. Abualnaja ¹⁰¹ Department of Mathematics, College of Education, University of Sulaimani, Sulaimani 46001, Iraq² Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC V8W 3R4, Canada; harimsri@math.uvic.ca³ Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan⁴ Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Azerbaijan University, 71 Jeyhun Hajibeyli Street, AZ1007 Baku, Azerbaijan⁵ Section of Mathematics, International Telematic University Uninettuno, I-00186 Rome, Italy⁶ Department of Mathematics, Cankaya University, Ankara 06530, Turkey⁷ Institute of Space Sciences, R76900 Magurele-Bucharest, Romania⁸ Department of Natural Sciences, School of Arts and Sciences, Lebanese American University, Beirut 11022801, Lebanon⁹ Department of Mathematics, University of Swabi, Swabi 23430, KPK, Pakistan; rashidjan@uoswabi.edu.pk¹⁰ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, College of Science, Taif University, P.O. Box 11099, Taif 21944, Saudi Arabia; Kh.abualnaja@tu.edu.sa

* Correspondence: pshtiwan.muhammad@univsul.edu.iq (P.O.M.); dumitru@cankaya.edu.tr (D.B.)



Citation: Mohammed, P.O.; Srivastava, H.M.; Baleanu, D.; Jan, R.; Abualnaja, K.M. Monotonicity Results for Nabla Riemann–Liouville Fractional Differences. *Mathematics* **2022**, *10*, 2433. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math10142433>

Academic Editors: Alexandra M.S.F. Galhano, António M. Lopes, Carlo Cattani and Hsien-Chung Wu

Received: 8 June 2022

Accepted: 9 July 2022

Published: 12 July 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract: Positivity analysis is used with some basic conditions to analyse monotonicity across all discrete fractional disciplines. This article addresses the monotonicity of the discrete nabla fractional differences of the Riemann–Liouville type by considering the positivity of $({}^{RL}_{b_0}\nabla^\theta g)(z)$ combined with a condition on $g(b_0 + 2)$, $g(b_0 + 3)$ and $g(b_0 + 4)$, successively. The article ends with a relationship between the discrete nabla fractional and integer differences of the Riemann–Liouville type, which serves to show the monotonicity of the discrete fractional difference $({}^{RL}_{b_0}\nabla^\theta g)(z)$.

Keywords: discrete fractional calculus; discrete nabla Riemann–Liouville fractional differences; monotonicity analysis

MSC: 26A48; 26A51; 33B10; 39A12; 39B62

1. Introduction

In recent years, discrete fractional operators (sums and differences) have turned out to be modern tools in the modelling of many phenomena of mathematical analysis [1–3], electric circuits [4,5], medical sciences [6], material sciences and mechanics (see, for details, [7–9]). From among the several meanings and avenues of such studies, we choose to mention the discrete delta/nabla fractional operators of the Riemann–Liouville, Liouville–Caputo or other types (see, for details, [10,11]), from singular and non-singular kernel operators to the definitions based upon the time-scale theory. Some of these definitions are equivalent, even though they seem to be completely different, and they have been established by different authors (see, for example, [12–15]).

The positivity and monotonicity analyses have proven to be useful tools in discrete fractional calculus theory:

For the set $\{b_0, b_0 + 1, b_0 + 2, \dots\}$ denoted by \mathbb{J}_{b_0} with $b_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, let g be defined on \mathbb{J}_{b_0} . Then, the function g will be monotonically increasing if $(\nabla g)(z)$ is positive; that is:

$$(\nabla g)(z) := g(z) - g(z - 1) \geq 0,$$

for each $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+1}$.

In the context of discrete fractional calculus, the development of new positivity and monotonicity analyses is a source of interesting mathematical problems (see, for example, [16–21]). In recent years, several papers have been published devoted exclusively to the study of the problem of the monotonicity of discrete nabla/delta fractional operators with a certain kernel (and often under additional assumptions about the function). The interested reader may be referred, for example, to the developments reported in [22–28].

Our results in this paper concern the analysis of monotonicity for the discrete nabla fractional differences of Riemann–Liouville-type under the conditions that $\left({}^{RL}\nabla_{b_0}^\theta g\right)(z) \geq 0$ and the ones coming from one of the following:

- $g(b_0 + 2) \geq \frac{\theta}{\ell-1}g(b_0 + 1)$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{J}_3$ in Theorem 1.
- $g(b_0 + 3) \geq \frac{\theta}{\ell-2}g(b_0 + 2) + \frac{\theta(\ell-\theta-2)}{(\ell-1)(\ell-2)}g(b_0 + 1)$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{J}_4$ in Theorem 2.
- $g(b_0 + 4) \geq \frac{\theta}{\ell-2}g(b_0 + 3) + \frac{\theta(\ell-\theta-3)}{(\ell-2)(\ell-3)}g(b_0 + 2) + \frac{\theta(\ell-\theta-2)(\ell-\theta-3)}{(\ell-1)(\ell-2)(\ell-3)}g(b_0 + 1)$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{J}_5$ in Theorem 3.

Furthermore, we will show that the discrete nabla fractional Riemann–Liouville difference $\left({}^{RL}\nabla_{b_0}^\theta g\right)(z) \geq 0$ for each $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+1}$ by considering the relationship between the discrete nabla fractional and integer differences. It is worth mentioning that our results are motivated by the results in [29], wherein somewhat analogous results were investigated for the discrete delta Riemann–Liouville fractional differences.

The paper is divided into another three sections as follows. Section 2 considers preliminaries on discrete fractional operators of the Riemann–Liouville type and a main lemma, which we need in the next section. Our main results are presented in Section 3, which is separated into two subsections: In Section 3.1, we consider our three main theorems, which establish the monotonicity analysis of the discrete fractional operators. The relationship between the discrete nabla fractional and integer differences will be examined in Section 3.2, which will show how it will be used to establish the positivity of the discrete nabla fractional Riemann–Liouville operators. At the end of each theorem, a corollary is made. Section 4 provides a specific example, which confirms the applicability of our results. The article ends with concluding remarks and brief considerations of several discrete fractional modelling extensions, which can be applicable in the future to obtain monotonicity analysis for other types of discrete fractional operators in Section 5.

2. Preliminaries and a Lemma

Here, we provide some background material regarding the discrete nabla fractional operators toward the proof of our main achievements. As such, the main lemma is given.

Definition 1 (see [13,14,30]). *Let us denote the set $\{b_0, b_0 + 1, b_0 + 2, \dots\}$ by \mathbb{J}_{b_0} and with the starting point $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that g is defined on \mathbb{J}_{b_0} . Then, the ∇ Riemann–Liouville fractional sum of order $\theta (> 0)$ is expressed as follows:*

$$\left({}_{b_0}\nabla^{-\theta} g\right)(z) = \sum_{r=b_0+1}^z \frac{(z-r+1)^{[\theta-1]}}{\Gamma(\theta)} g(r) \quad \text{for } z \text{ in } \mathbb{J}_{b_0+1}, \tag{1}$$

where $z^{(\theta)}$ is defined by

$$z^{[\theta]} = \frac{\Gamma(z+\theta)}{\Gamma(z)} \quad \text{for } z \text{ and } \theta \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \tag{2}$$

and it yields zero at a pole. It is also worth recalling that

$$\nabla z^{[\theta]} = \theta z^{[\theta-1]}. \tag{3}$$

Definition 2 (see [30]). Let g be defined on \mathbb{J}_{b_0} . Then the ∇ Riemann–Liouville fractional difference of order θ ($\ell - 1 < \theta < \ell$) is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \left({}^{RL}\nabla_{b_0}^\theta g\right)(z) &= \left(\nabla_{b_0}^\ell \nabla^{-(\ell-\theta)} g\right)(z) \\ &= \nabla^\ell \left[\sum_{r=b_0+1}^z \frac{(z-r+1)^{[\ell-\theta-1]}}{\Gamma(\ell-\theta)} g(r) \right] \quad \text{for } z \text{ in } \mathbb{J}_{b_0+1}, \ell \text{ in } \mathbb{J}_1. \end{aligned}$$

Recently, Liu et al. [31] established an equivalent definition to Definition 2, as follows.

Definition 3 (see [31]). Let $\ell - 1 < \theta < \ell$. Then the ∇ Riemann–Liouville fractional difference of order θ can be expressed as follows:

$$\left({}^{RL}\nabla_{b_0}^\theta g\right)(z) = \sum_{r=b_0+1}^z \frac{(z-r+1)^{[-\theta-1]}}{\Gamma(-\theta)} g(r) \quad \text{for } z \text{ in } \mathbb{J}_{b_0+\ell}, \ell \text{ in } \mathbb{J}_1.$$

In order to begin our work later, we state and prove the following main lemma.

Lemma 1. For g defined on \mathbb{J}_{b_0} , the ∇ Riemann–Liouville fractional difference of order θ ($1 < \theta < 2$) can be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left({}^{RL}\nabla_{b_0}^\theta g\right)(z) &= (\nabla g)(z) + \frac{(z-b_0)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)} g(b_0+1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=b_0+2}^{z-1} \frac{(z-r+1)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)} (\nabla g)(r) \quad \text{for } z \text{ in } \mathbb{J}_{b_0+3}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

In addition, it is essential to observe that

$$\frac{(z-r+1)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)} < 0, \tag{5}$$

for each $r = b_0 + 2, b_0 + 3, \dots, z - 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+3}$.

Proof. According to Definition 3, we note for $1 < \theta < 2$ and $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+2}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \left({}^{RL}\nabla_{b_0}^\theta g\right)(z) &= \sum_{r=b_0+1}^z \frac{(z-r+1)^{[-\theta-1]}}{\Gamma(-\theta)} g(r) \\ &\stackrel{\text{by (3)}}{=} \sum_{r=b_0+1}^z \frac{\nabla(z-r+1)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)} g(r) \\ &= \sum_{r=b_0+1}^z \frac{(z-r+1)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)} g(r) - \sum_{r=b_0+1}^{z-1} \frac{(z-r)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)} g(r) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\theta)} \left[(z-b_0)^{[-\theta]} g(b_0+1) + \sum_{r=b_0+2}^z (z-r+1)^{[-\theta]} g(r) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \left[\sum_{r=b_0+2}^z (z-r+1)^{[-\theta]} g(r-1) \right] \\
 & = (\nabla g)(z) + \frac{(z-b_0)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)} g(b_0+1) + \sum_{r=b_0+2}^{z-1} \frac{(z-r+1)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)} (\nabla g)(r),
 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (4). For $r = b_0 + 2, b_0 + 3, \dots, z - 1$ with $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+3}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{(z-r+1)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)} &= \frac{\Gamma(z-r-\theta+1)}{\Gamma(1-\theta)\Gamma(z-r+1)} \\
 &= \frac{(z-r-\theta)(z-r-\theta-1)\cdots(2-\theta)(1-\theta)}{(z-r)!},
 \end{aligned}$$

which is clearly positive for $\theta \in (1, 2)$. Therefore, the second part of the lemma is proved. Hence, the proof of the lemma is complete. \square

3. Main Results

This section is divided into two main subsections.

3.1. Monotonicity Results

This section is devoted to the study of the monotonicity analysis of the discrete fractional Riemann–Liouville differences.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $g : \mathbb{J}_{b_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies each of the following conditions:

- (i) $\left({}^{RL}_{b_0} \nabla^\theta g \right)(z) \geq 0$ for each $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+3}$,
- (ii) $g(b_0 + 2) \geq \frac{\theta}{\ell - 1} g(b_0 + 1)$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{J}_3$,

for $\theta \in (1, 2)$. Then $(\nabla g)(z) \geq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+3}$.

Proof. According to the assumption that $\left({}^{RL}_{b_0} \nabla^\theta g \right)(z) \geq 0$ and the identity (4), one can see, for $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+3}$, that

$$(\nabla g)(z) \geq -\frac{(z-b_0)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)} g(b_0+1) - \sum_{r=b_0+2}^{z-1} \frac{(z-r+1)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)} (\nabla g)(r).$$

If we set $z := b_0 + \ell$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{J}_3$, it follows that

$$(\nabla g)(b_0 + \ell) \geq -\frac{\ell^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)} g(b_0+1) - \sum_{r=b_0+2}^{b_0+\ell-1} \frac{(b_0+\ell-r+1)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)} (\nabla g)(r). \tag{6}$$

We proceed with the proof using the principle of mathematical induction on ℓ for the inequality (6). Indeed, for $\ell = 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\nabla g)(b_0 + 3) &\geq -\frac{3^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)}g(b_0 + 1) - \frac{2^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)}(\nabla g)(b_0 + 2) \\
 &= \frac{-1}{\Gamma(1-\theta)}\left[\frac{\Gamma(3-\theta)}{\Gamma(3)}g(b_0 + 1) + \frac{\Gamma(2-\theta)}{\Gamma(2)}(\nabla g)(b_0 + 2)\right] \\
 &= \frac{-\Gamma(2-\theta)}{\Gamma(1-\theta)}\left[\frac{2-\theta}{2}g(b_0 + 1) + g(b_0 + 2) - g(b_0 + 1)\right] \\
 &= \underbrace{(\theta - 1)}_{>0}\underbrace{\left[\frac{-\theta}{2}g(b_0 + 1) + g(b_0 + 2)\right]}_{\geq 0 \text{ per condition (ii)}} \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $(\nabla g)(b_0 + j) \geq 0$ for $j = 3, 4, \dots, \ell - 1$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{J}_4$. Then, we shall show that $(\nabla g)(b_0 + \ell) \geq 0$. By making use of (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\nabla g)(b_0 + \ell) &\geq -\frac{\ell^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)}g(b_0 + 1) - \sum_{r=b_0+2}^{b_0+\ell-1} \frac{(b_0 + \ell - r + 1)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)}(\nabla g)(r) \\
 &= -\frac{\ell^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)}g(b_0 + 1) - \frac{(\ell - 1)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)}(\nabla g)(b_0 + 2) \\
 &\quad - \sum_{r=b_0+3}^{b_0+\ell-1} \underbrace{\frac{(b_0 + \ell - r + 1)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)}}_{<0 \text{ per (5)}} \underbrace{(\nabla g)(r)}_{\geq 0 \text{ per our claim}} \\
 &\geq -\frac{\ell^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)}g(b_0 + 1) - \frac{(\ell - 1)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)}(\nabla g)(b_0 + 2) \\
 &= \frac{-1}{\Gamma(1-\theta)}\left[\frac{\Gamma(\ell-\theta)}{\Gamma(\ell)}g(b_0 + 1) + \frac{\Gamma(\ell-\theta-1)}{\Gamma(\ell-1)}(\nabla g)(b_0 + 2)\right] \\
 &= \frac{-\Gamma(\ell-\theta-1)}{\Gamma(1-\theta)\Gamma(\ell-1)}\underbrace{\left[-\frac{\theta}{\ell-1}g(b_0 + 1) + g(b_0 + 2)\right]}_{\geq 0 \text{ per condition (ii)}} \geq 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that

$$\frac{-\Gamma(\ell-\theta-1)}{\Gamma(1-\theta)\Gamma(\ell-1)} = -\frac{(\ell-\theta-2)(\ell-\theta-3)\cdots(2-\theta)(1-\theta)}{(\ell-2)!} > 0, \tag{7}$$

for $\theta \in (1, 2)$ and $\ell \geq 3$. Thus, the proof is complete. \square

Corollary 1. *If the function $g : \mathbb{J}_{b_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies*

- (i) $\left({}^{RL} \nabla_{b_0}^\theta g\right)(z) \leq 0$ for each $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+3}$,
- (ii) $g(b_0 + 2) \leq \frac{\theta}{\ell - 1}g(b_0 + 1)$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{J}_3$,

for $\theta \in (1, 2)$, then, $(\nabla g)(z) \leq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+2}$.

Proof. Define $h := -g$. Thus, the proof follows immediately from Theorem 1 applying for the function g . \square

Theorem 2. Assume that $g : \mathbb{J}_{b_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies each of the following conditions:

- (i) $({}^{RL} \nabla_{b_0}^\theta g)(z) \geq 0$ for each $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+3}$,
- (ii) $g(b_0 + 3) \geq \frac{\theta}{\ell - 2}g(b_0 + 2) + \frac{\theta(\ell - \theta - 2)}{(\ell - 1)(\ell - 2)}g(b_0 + 1)$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{J}_4$,

for $\theta \in (1, 2)$. Then $(\nabla g)(z) \geq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+4}$.

Proof. The proof will make use of the principle of mathematical induction on ℓ for the inequality (6). In fact, for $\ell = 4$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\nabla g)(b_0 + 4) \\
 & \geq -\frac{4^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)}g(b_0 + 1) - \frac{3^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)}(\nabla g)(b_0 + 2) - \frac{2^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)}(\nabla g)(b_0 + 3) \\
 & = \frac{-1}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)} \left[\frac{\Gamma(4 - \theta)}{\Gamma(4)}g(b_0 + 1) + \frac{\Gamma(3 - \theta)}{\Gamma(3)}(\nabla g)(b_0 + 2) + \frac{\Gamma(2 - \theta)}{\Gamma(2)}(\nabla g)(b_0 + 3) \right] \\
 & = \frac{-\Gamma(2 - \theta)}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)} \left[\frac{(2 - \theta)(3 - \theta)}{6}g(b_0 + 1) + \frac{2 - \theta}{2}\{g(b_0 + 2) - g(b_0 + 1)\} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + g(b_0 + 3) - g(b_0 + 2) \right] \\
 & = \underbrace{(\theta - 1)}_{>0} \underbrace{\left[-\frac{\theta(2 - \theta)}{6}g(b_0 + 1) - \frac{\theta}{2}g(b_0 + 2) + g(b_0 + 3) \right]}_{\geq 0 \text{ per condition (ii)}} \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we let $(\nabla g)(b_0 + j) \geq 0$ for $j = 4, 5, \dots, \ell - 1$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{J}_5$, then, we try to show that $(\nabla g)(b_0 + \ell) \geq 0$, with the help of (6), we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\nabla g)(b_0 + \ell) \\
 & \geq -\frac{\ell^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)}g(b_0 + 1) - \frac{(\ell - 1)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)}(\nabla g)(b_0 + 2) - \frac{(\ell - 2)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)}(\nabla g)(b_0 + 3) \\
 & \quad - \underbrace{\sum_{r=b_0+4}^{b_0+\ell-1} \frac{(b_0 + \ell - r + 1)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)}}_{\geq 0 \text{ per (5) and our claim}} \\
 & \geq -\frac{\ell^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)}g(b_0 + 1) - \frac{(\ell - 1)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)}(\nabla g)(b_0 + 2) - \frac{(\ell - 2)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)}(\nabla g)(b_0 + 3) \\
 & = \frac{-1}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)} \left[\frac{\Gamma(\ell - \theta)}{\Gamma(\ell)}g(b_0 + 1) + \frac{\Gamma(\ell - \theta - 1)}{\Gamma(\ell - 1)}(\nabla g)(b_0 + 2) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{\Gamma(\ell - \theta - 2)}{\Gamma(\ell - 2)}(\nabla g)(b_0 + 3) \right] \\
 & = \underbrace{\frac{-\Gamma(\ell - \theta - 2)}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)\Gamma(\ell - 2)}}_{>0 \text{ per (7)}} \underbrace{\left[-\frac{\theta(\ell - \theta - 2)}{(\ell - 1)(\ell - 2)}g(b_0 + 1) - \frac{\theta}{\ell - 2}g(b_0 + 2) + g(b_0 + 3) \right]}_{\geq 0 \text{ per condition (ii)}} \geq 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2. *If the function $g : \mathbb{J}_{b_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies*

- (i) $\left({}^{RL} \nabla_{b_0}^\theta g\right)(z) \leq 0$ for each $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+3}$,
- (ii) $g(b_0 + 3) \leq \frac{\theta}{\ell - 2}g(b_0 + 2) + \frac{\theta(\ell - \theta - 2)}{(\ell - 1)(\ell - 2)}g(b_0 + 1)$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{J}_4$,

for $\theta \in (1, 2)$, then, $(\nabla g)(z) \leq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+5}$.

Proof. The proof follows immediately from Theorem 2 applied to the function $h := -g$.
□

Theorem 3. *Assume that $g : \mathbb{J}_{b_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies each of the following conditions:*

- (i) $\left({}^{RL} \nabla_{b_0}^\theta g\right)(z) \geq 0$ for each $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+3}$,
- (ii) $g(b_0 + 4) \geq \frac{\theta}{\ell - 2}g(b_0 + 3) + \frac{\theta(\ell - \theta - 3)}{(\ell - 2)(\ell - 3)}g(b_0 + 2) + \frac{\theta(\ell - \theta - 2)(\ell - \theta - 3)}{(\ell - 1)(\ell - 2)(\ell - 3)}g(b_0 + 1)$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{J}_5$,

for $\theta \in (1, 2)$. Then $(\nabla g)(z) \geq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+5}$.

Proof. Again, we will prove this theorem using the principle of mathematical induction on ℓ . Thus, (6) at $\ell = 5$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla g)(b_0 + 5) \\ & \geq -\frac{5^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)}g(b_0 + 1) - \frac{4^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)}(\nabla g)(b_0 + 2) - \frac{3^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)}(\nabla g)(b_0 + 3) \\ & \quad - \frac{2^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)}(\nabla g)(b_0 + 4) \\ & = \frac{-\Gamma(2 - \theta)}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)} \left[\frac{(2 - \theta)(3 - \theta)(4 - \theta)}{24}g(b_0 + 1) + \frac{(2 - \theta)(3 - \theta)}{6}(\nabla g)(b_0 + 2) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{2 - \theta}{2}(\nabla g)(b_0 + 3) + (\nabla g)(b_0 + 4) \right] \\ & = \underbrace{(\theta - 1)}_{>0} \underbrace{\left[-\frac{\theta(2 - \theta)(3 - \theta)}{24}g(b_0 + 1) - \frac{\theta(2 - \theta)}{6}g(b_0 + 2) - \frac{\theta}{2}g(b_0 + 3) + g(b_0 + 4) \right]}_{\geq 0 \text{ per condition (ii)}} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we assume that $(\nabla g)(b_0 + j) \geq 0$ for $j = 5, 6, \dots, \ell - 1$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{J}_6$. Then, we have to show that $(\nabla g)(b_0 + \ell) \geq 0$. In view of (6), we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla g)(b_0 + \ell) \\ & \geq -\frac{\ell^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)}g(b_0 + 1) - \frac{(\ell - 1)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)}(\nabla g)(b_0 + 2) - \frac{(\ell - 2)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)}(\nabla g)(b_0 + 3) \\ & \quad - \frac{(\ell - 3)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)}(\nabla g)(b_0 + 4) - \underbrace{\sum_{r=b_0+5}^{b_0+\ell-1} \frac{(b_0 + \ell - r + 1)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)}}_{\geq 0 \text{ per (5) and our claim}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq -\frac{\ell^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)}g(b_0+1) - \frac{(\ell-1)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)}(\nabla g)(b_0+2) \\
 &\quad - \frac{(\ell-2)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)}(\nabla g)(b_0+3) - \frac{(\ell-3)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta)}(\nabla g)(b_0+4) \\
 &= \frac{-1}{\Gamma(1-\theta)} \left[\frac{\Gamma(\ell-\theta)}{\Gamma(\ell)}g(b_0+1) + \frac{\Gamma(\ell-\theta-1)}{\Gamma(\ell-1)}(\nabla g)(b_0+2) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{\Gamma(\ell-\theta-2)}{\Gamma(\ell-2)}(\nabla g)(b_0+3) + \frac{\Gamma(\ell-\theta-3)}{\Gamma(\ell-3)}(\nabla g)(b_0+4) \right] \\
 &= \underbrace{\frac{-\Gamma(\ell-\theta-3)}{\Gamma(1-\theta)\Gamma(\ell-3)}}_{>0 \text{ per (7)}} \left[\underbrace{-\frac{\theta(\ell-\theta-2)(\ell-\theta-3)}{(\ell-1)(\ell-2)(\ell-3)}g(b_0+1)}_{\geq 0 \text{ per condition (ii)}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{\theta(\ell-\theta-3)}{(\ell-2)(\ell-3)}g(b_0+2) - \frac{\theta}{\ell-2}g(b_0+3) + g(b_0+4) \right] \geq 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3. *If the function $g : \mathbb{J}_{b_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies*

- (i) $\left({}^{RL}_{b_0}\nabla^\theta g \right)(z) \leq 0$ for each $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+3}$,
- (ii) $g(b_0+4) \geq \frac{\theta}{\ell-2}g(b_0+3) + \frac{\theta(\ell-\theta-3)}{(\ell-2)(\ell-3)}g(b_0+2) + \frac{\theta(\ell-\theta-2)(\ell-\theta-3)}{(\ell-1)(\ell-2)(\ell-3)}g(b_0+1)$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{J}_5$,

for $\theta \in (1,2)$, then, $(\nabla g)(z) \leq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+4}$.

Proof. This proof follows directly from Theorem 3 applied to the function $h := -g$. \square

3.2. Discrete Nabla Fractional and Integer Differences

Based on Lemma 1, we can now establish a relationship between the discrete nabla fractional and integer differences of the Riemann–Liouville type, and we immediately present the final monotonicity result of this study.

Theorem 4. *Let g be defined on \mathbb{J}_{b_0} and $N-1 < \theta < N$ with $N \in \mathbb{J}_1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left({}^{RL}_{b_0}\nabla^\theta g \right)(b_0+N+\ell) &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{(N+\ell)^{[-\theta+i]}}{\Gamma(1-\theta-i)} (\nabla^i g)(b_0+1) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(N-\theta)} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} (\ell-i+1)^{[-\theta+N-1]} \left(\nabla^N g \right)(b_0+i+N) + \left(\nabla^N g \right)(b_0+\ell+N),
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\ell \in \mathbb{J}_0$. In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{(\ell-i+1)^{[-\theta+N-1]}}{\Gamma(N-\theta)} \\
 &= \frac{(N-\theta+\ell-i-1)(N-\theta+\ell-i-2)\cdots(N+1-\theta)(N-\theta)}{(\ell-i)!} > 0, \tag{8}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell-1$ with $\ell \in \mathbb{J}_1$.

Proof. For $N = 1$, from Lemma 1, we find for $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+2}$ that

$$\left({}^{RL} \nabla_{b_0}^\theta g\right)(z) = \frac{(z - b_0)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)} g(b_0 + 1) + \sum_{r=b_0+2}^z \frac{(z - r + 1)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)} (\nabla g)(r). \tag{9}$$

Now, by the same technique used in Lemma 1, for $N = 2$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left({}^{RL} \nabla_{b_0}^\theta g\right)(z) \\ &= \nabla^2 \left[\sum_{r=b_0+1}^z \frac{(z - r + 1)^{[1-\theta]}}{\Gamma(2 - \theta)} g(r) \right] = \nabla \left[\nabla \left(\sum_{r=b_0+1}^z \frac{(z - r + 1)^{[1-\theta]}}{\Gamma(2 - \theta)} g(r) \right) \right] \\ &= \nabla \left[\frac{(z - b_0)^{[1-\theta]}}{\Gamma(2 - \theta)} g(b_0 + 1) + \sum_{r=b_0+2}^z \frac{(z - r + 1)^{[1-\theta]}}{\Gamma(2 - \theta)} (\nabla g)(r) \right] \\ &= \nabla \left[\frac{(z - b_0)^{[1-\theta]}}{\Gamma(2 - \theta)} g(b_0 + 1) \right] + \nabla \left[\sum_{r=b_0+2}^z \frac{(z - r + 1)^{[1-\theta]}}{\Gamma(2 - \theta)} (\nabla g)(r) \right] \\ &= \frac{(z - b_0)^{[-\theta]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)} g(b_0 + 1) + \frac{(z - b_0)^{[1-\theta]}}{\Gamma(2 - \theta)} g(b_0 + 1) \\ &+ \sum_{r=b_0+3}^z \frac{(z - r + 1)^{[1-\theta]}}{\Gamma(2 - \theta)} (\nabla^2 g)(r), \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+3}$, where we have used the following fact

$$\nabla (z - b_0)^{[1-\theta]} = (z - b_0)^{[1-\theta]} - (z - 1 - b_0)^{[1-\theta]} = (1 - \theta)(z - b_0)^{[-\theta]}.$$

We can continue by the same process to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left({}^{RL} \nabla_{b_0}^\theta g\right)(z) &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{(z - b_0)^{[-\theta+i]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta + i)} (\nabla^i g)(b_0 + 1) \\ &+ \sum_{r=b_0+N+1}^z \frac{(z - r + 1)^{[N-\theta-1]}}{\Gamma(N - \theta)} (\nabla^N g)(r), \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+N+1}$. Now, we define $z := b_0 + N + \ell$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{J}_1$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left({}^{RL} \nabla_{b_0}^\theta g\right)(b_0 + N + \ell) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{(N + \ell)^{[-\theta+i]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta + i)} (\nabla^i g)(b_0 + 1) + \sum_{r=b_0+N+1}^{b_0+N+\ell} \frac{(b_0 + N + \ell - r + 1)^{[N-\theta-1]}}{\Gamma(N - \theta)} (\nabla^N g)(r) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{(N + \ell)^{[-\theta+i]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta + i)} (\nabla^i g)(b_0 + 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{(\ell - i + 1)^{[N-\theta-1]}}{\Gamma(N - \theta)} (\nabla^N g)(b_0 + i + N) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{(N + \ell)^{[-\theta+i]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta + i)} (\nabla^i g)(b_0 + 1) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{(\ell - i + 1)^{[N-\theta-1]}}{\Gamma(N - \theta)} (\nabla^N g)(b_0 + i + N) + (\nabla^N g)(b_0 + \ell + N), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the first part. For the second part of the theorem, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\ell - \iota + 1)^{[-\theta + N - 1]}}{\Gamma(N - \theta)} &= \frac{\Gamma(\ell - \iota - \theta + N)}{\Gamma(\ell - \iota + 1)\Gamma(N - \theta)} \\ &= \frac{(N - \theta + \ell - \iota - 1)(N - \theta + \ell - \iota - 2) \cdots (N + 1 - \theta)(N - \theta)}{(\ell - \iota)!} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $N - 1 < \theta < N$ and $\iota = 1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1$. Hence, the proof is complete. \square

Our final result is on the positivity of $\left({}^{RL} \nabla_{b_0}^\theta g\right)(z)$, as follows.

Theorem 5. Let $g : \mathbb{J}_{b_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function, $N - 1 < \theta < N$ with $N \in \mathbb{J}_1$, $(\nabla^N g)(z) \geq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+1}$, $(-1)^{N-\iota} (\nabla^N g)(b_0 + 1) \leq 0$ for $\iota = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$. Then $\left({}^{RL} \nabla_{b_0}^\theta g\right)(z) \geq 0$ for each $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+1}$.

Proof. Let ℓ be a fixed but arbitrary element in \mathbb{J}_1 . We can then see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(N + \ell)^{[-\theta + \iota]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta + \iota)} &= \frac{\Gamma(N + \ell - \theta + \iota)}{\Gamma(1 - \theta + \iota)\Gamma(N + \ell)} \\ &= \frac{(N + \ell - \theta + \iota - 1)(N + \ell - \theta + \iota - 2) \cdots (2 - \theta + \iota)(1 - \theta + \iota)}{(N + \ell - 1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $N - \iota - 1$ is even, then we obtain

$$\frac{(N + \ell)^{[-\theta + \iota]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta + \iota)} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\nabla^N g)(b_0 + 1) \geq 0,$$

but if $N - \iota - 1$ is odd, then we obtain

$$\frac{(N + \ell)^{[-\theta + \iota]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta + \iota)} < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\nabla^N g)(b_0 + 1) \leq 0.$$

These provide that

$$\sum_{\iota=0}^{N-1} \frac{(N + \ell)^{[-\theta + \iota]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta + \iota)} (\nabla^\iota g)(b_0 + 1) \geq 0. \tag{12}$$

Hence, according to Theorems 4, (8) and (12) and the assumption that $(\nabla^N g)(z) \geq 0$, we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \left({}^{RL} \nabla_{b_0}^\theta g\right)(b_0 + N + \ell) &= \sum_{\iota=0}^{N-1} \frac{(N + \ell)^{[-\theta + \iota]}}{\Gamma(1 - \theta + \iota)} (\nabla^\iota g)(b_0 + 1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\iota=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{(\ell - \iota + 1)^{[N - \theta - 1]}}{\Gamma(N - \theta)} (\nabla^N g)(b_0 + \iota + N) + (\nabla^N g)(b_0 + \ell + N) \\ &\geq \sum_{\iota=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{(\ell - \iota + 1)^{[N - \theta - 1]}}{\Gamma(N - \theta)} (\nabla^N g)(b_0 + \iota + N) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By putting $z := b_0 + N + \ell$ for arbitrary $\ell \in \mathbb{J}_1$, we obtain $\left({}^{RL} \nabla_{b_0}^\theta g\right)(z) \geq 0$ for each $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+1}$ as desired. \square

Corollary 4. Let $g : \mathbb{J}_{b_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function, $N - 1 < \theta < N$ with $N \in \mathbb{J}_1$, $(\nabla^N g)(z) \leq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+1}$, $(-1)^{N-\iota} (\nabla^N g)(b_0 + 1) \geq 0$ for $\iota = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$. Then $({}^{RL}\nabla_{b_0}^\theta g)(z) \leq 0$ for each $z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+1}$.

4. Application: A Specific Example

In this section, we provide a specific example to illustrate our results. Consider the function

$$g(z) = \left(\frac{8}{3}\right)^z \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{J}_{b_0+2}.$$

At first, we will try to show that $({}^{RL}\nabla_{b_0}^\theta g)(z) \geq 0$ for $z \in \{b_0 + 3, b_0 + 4\}$, $\theta = \frac{3}{2}$ and $b_0 = 0$. From Definition 3 at $z = b_0 + 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &({}^{RL}\nabla_{b_0}^\theta g)(b_0 + 3) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\theta)} \sum_{r=b_0+1}^{b_0+3} (b_0 + 3 - r + 1)^{[-\theta-1]} g(r) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\theta)} \left\{ (3)^{[-\theta-1]} g(b_0 + 1) + (2)^{[-\theta-1]} g(b_0 + 2) + (1)^{[-\theta-1]} g(b_0 + 3) \right\} \\ &= \frac{-\theta(1 - \theta)}{2} g(b_0 + 1) - \theta g(b_0 + 2) + g(b_0 + 3) \\ &= \frac{251}{27} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$g(b_0 + 3) \geq \frac{\theta(1 - \theta)}{2} g(b_0 + 1) + \theta g(b_0 + 2). \tag{13}$$

In addition, Definition 3 at $z = b_0 + 4$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} &({}^{RL}\nabla_{b_0}^\theta g)(b_0 + 4) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\theta)} \sum_{r=b_0+1}^{b_0+4} (b_0 + 4 - r + 1)^{[-\theta-1]} g(r) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\theta)} \left\{ (4)^{[-\theta-1]} g(b_0 + 1) + (3)^{[-\theta-1]} g(b_0 + 2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (2)^{[-\theta-1]} g(b_0 + 3) + (1)^{[-\theta-1]} g(b_0 + 4) \right\} \\ &= \frac{-\theta(1 - \theta)(2 - \theta)}{6} g(b_0 + 1) - \frac{\theta(1 - \theta)}{2} g(b_0 + 2) - \theta g(b_0 + 3) + g(b_0 + 4) \\ &= \frac{3179}{162} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$g(b_0 + 4) \geq \frac{\theta(1 - \theta)(2 - \theta)}{6} g(b_0 + 1) + \frac{\theta(1 - \theta)}{2} g(b_0 + 2) + \theta g(b_0 + 3). \tag{14}$$

On the other hand, we consider the condition:

$$g(b_0 + 2) \geq \frac{\theta}{\ell - 1} g(b_0 + 1),$$

at $\ell = 3, 4$. At $\ell = 3$, it follows that

$$\left(\frac{8}{3}\right)^2 = g(b_0 + 2) \geq \frac{\theta}{2}g(b_0 + 1) = \frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{8}{3}\right),$$

which means that

$$g(b_0 + 2) \geq \frac{\theta}{2}g(b_0 + 1). \tag{15}$$

In addition, at $\ell = 4$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{8}{3}\right)^2 &= g(b_0 + 2) \\ &\geq \frac{\Gamma(\theta + 2)}{\Gamma(\theta)\Gamma(3)}g(b_0 + \theta h) = \frac{\theta}{3}g(b_0 + 1) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{8}{3}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$g(b_0 + 2) \geq \frac{\theta}{3}g(b_0 + 1). \tag{16}$$

Thus, we can conclude from the inequalities (13)–(14) that

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla g)(b_0 + 3) &= g(b_0 + 3) - g(b_0 + 2) \\ &\geq \frac{\theta(1 - \theta)}{2}g(b_0 + 1) + \theta g(b_0 + 2) - g(b_0 + 2) \\ &= (\theta - 1) \left\{ \frac{-\theta}{2}g(b_0 + 1) + \theta g(b_0 + 2) \right\} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Also, from the inequalities (15)–(16) we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla g)(b_0 + 4) &= g(b_0 + 4) - g(b_0 + 3) \\ &\geq \frac{\theta(1 - \theta)(2 - \theta)}{6}g(b_0 + 1) + \frac{\theta(1 - \theta)}{2}g(b_0 + 2) + \theta g(b_0 + 3) - g(b_0 + 3) \\ &= \frac{(\theta - 1)(2 - \theta)}{2} \left\{ \frac{-\theta}{3}g(b_0 + 1) + \theta g(b_0 + 2) \right\} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

These inequalities imply that g is non-decreasing in the time set $\{b_0 + 3, b_0 + 4\}$.

5. Conclusions and Future Directions

In this paper, we studied the monotonicity analysis for the discrete nabla fractional differences of the Riemann–Liouville type. The first three main results were dedicated to the positivity of $(\nabla g)(z)$ by assuming that $\left({}^{RL} \nabla_{b_0}^\theta g\right)(z) \geq 0$ combined with the condition that $g(b_0 + 2) \geq \frac{\theta}{\ell-1}g(b_0 + 1)$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{J}_3$ in Theorem 1, $g(b_0 + 3) \geq \frac{\theta}{\ell-2}g(b_0 + 2) + \frac{\theta(\ell-\theta-2)}{(\ell-1)(\ell-2)}g(b_0 + 1)$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{J}_4$ in Theorem 2, and $g(b_0 + 4) \geq \frac{\theta}{\ell-2}g(b_0 + 3) + \frac{\theta(\ell-\theta-3)}{(\ell-2)(\ell-3)}g(b_0 + 2) + \frac{\theta(\ell-\theta-2)(\ell-\theta-3)}{(\ell-1)(\ell-2)(\ell-3)}g(b_0 + 1)$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{J}_5$ in Theorem 3.

On the other hand, the relationship between the discrete nabla fractional and integer differences of the Riemann–Liouville type has been made. From which the positivity of the discrete nabla fractional differences of the Riemann–Liouville type has been established. In addition, some particular results have been obtained in the corollaries, which showed the negativity (decreasing) of the function.

There is vast room for monotonicity analysis to be explored in this fertile field of discrete fractional operators, for example, discrete Caputo–Fabrizio and Atangana–Baleanu fractional operators (see [30,32,33] for information about these discrete operators).

Author Contributions: Conceptualisation, P.O.M., H.M.S., D.B. and R.J.; Data curation, P.O.M. and K.M.A.; Formal analysis, D.B. and K.M.A.; Funding acquisition, D.B. and K.M.A.; Investigation, P.O.M., H.M.S., D.B., R.J. and K.M.A.; Methodology, R.J. and K.M.A.; Project administration, H.M.S. and D.B.; Resources, R.J.; Software, P.O.M.; Supervision, H.M.S. and D.B.; Validation, R.J.; Visualisation, R.J.; Writing—original draft, P.O.M.; Writing—review and editing, H.M.S. and K.M.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Atici, F.M.; Atici, M.; Belcher, M.; Marshall, D. A new approach for modeling with discrete fractional equations. *Fund. Inform.* **2017**, *151*, 313–324.
- Atici, F.; Sengul, S. Modeling with discrete fractional equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2010**, *369*, 1–9. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Chen, C.R.; Bohner, M.; Jia, B.G. Ulam-hyers stability of Caputo fractional difference equations. *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* **2019**, *42*, 7461–7470. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Lizama, C. The Poisson distribution, abstract fractional difference equations, and stability. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2017**, *145*, 3809–3827. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Silem, A.; Wu, H.; Zhang, D.-J. Discrete rogue waves and blow-up from solitons of a nonisospectral semi-discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2021**, *116*, 107049. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Atici, F.M.; Atici, M.; Nguyen, N.; Zhorojev, T.; Koch, G. A study on discrete and discrete fractional pharmacokinetics-pharmacodynamics models for tumor growth and anti-cancer effects. *Comput. Math. Biophys.* **2019**, *7*, 10–24. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Goodrich, C.S. On discrete sequential fractional boundary value problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2012**, *385*, 111–124. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Ferreira, R.A.C.; Torres, D.F.M. Fractional h -difference equations arising from the calculus of variations. *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.* **2011**, *5*, 110–121. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Wu, G.; Baleanu, D. Discrete chaos in fractional delayed logistic maps. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **2015**, *80*, 1697–1703. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Srivastava, H.M. An introductory overview of fractional-calculus operators based upon the Fox-Wright and related higher transcendental functions. *J. Adv. Engrg. Comput.* **2021**, *5*, 135–166. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Srivastava, H.M. Some parametric and argument variations of the operators of fractional calculus and related special functions and integral transformations. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **2021**, *22*, 1501–1520.
- Goodrich, C.S.; Peterson, A.C. *Discrete Fractional Calculus*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2015.
- Abdeljawad, T. On delta and nabla caputo fractional differences and dual identities. *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* **2013**, *2013*, 12. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Abdeljawad, T.; Jarad, F.; Atangana, A.; Mohammed, P.O. On a new type of fractional difference operators on h -step isolated time scales. *J. Fract. Calc. Nonlinear Syst.* **2021**, *1*, 46–74.
- Abdeljawad, T.; Atici, F. On the definitions of nabla fractional operators. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2012**, *2012*, 1–13. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Dahal, R.; Goodrich, C.S. Mixed order monotonicity results for sequential fractional nabla differences. *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* **2019**, *25*, 837–854. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Du, F.; Jia, B.; Erbe, L.; Peterson, A. Monotonicity and convexity for nabla fractional (q, h) -differences. *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* **2016**, *22*, 1224–1243. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Mohammed, P.O.; Almutairi, O.; Agarwal, R.P.; Hamed, Y.S. On convexity, monotonicity and positivity analysis for discrete fractional operators defined using exponential kernels. *Fractal Fract.* **2022**, *6*, 55. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Dahal, R.; Goodrich, C.S.; Lyons, B. Monotonicity results for sequential fractional differences of mixed orders with negative lower bound. *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* **2021**, *27*, 1574–1593. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Goodrich, C.S. A note on convexity, concavity, and growth conditions in discrete fractional calculus with delta difference. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **2016**, *19*, 769–779. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Goodrich, C.S. A sharp convexity result for sequential fractional delta differences. *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* **2017**, *23*, 1986–2003. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Dahal, R.; Goodrich, C.S. A monotonicity result for discrete fractional difference operators. *Arch. Math.* **2014**, *102*, 293–299. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Atici, F.; Uyanik, M. Analysis of discrete fractional operators. *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.* **2015**, *9*, 139–149. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Mohammed, P.O.; Abdeljawad, T.; Hamasalh, F.K. On discrete delta Caputo-Fabrizio fractional operators and monotonicity analysis. *Fractal Fract.* **2021**, *5*, 116. [[CrossRef](#)]

25. Mohammed, P.O.; Abdeljawad, T.; Hamasalh, F.K. On Riemann–Liouville and Caputo fractional forward difference monotonicity analysis. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9*, 1303. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Abdeljawad, T.; Baleanu, D. Monotonicity analysis of a nabla discrete fractional operator with discrete Mittag-Leffler kernel. *Chaos Solit. Fract.* **2017**, *116*, 1–5. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Goodrich, C.S.; Lizama, C. Positivity, monotonicity, and convexity for convolution operators. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **2020**, *40*, 4961–4983. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Goodrich, C.S.; Lyons, B. Positivity and monotonicity results for triple sequential fractional differences via convolution. *Analysis* **2020**, *40*, 89–103. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Erbe, L.; Goodrich, C.S.; Jia, B.; Peterson, A. Monotonicity results for delta fractional differences revisited. *Math. Slovaca* **2017**, *67*, 895–906. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Abdeljawad, T. Different type kernel h -fractional differences and their fractional h -sums. *Chaos Solit. Fract.* **2018**, *116*, 146–156. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Liu, X.; Du, F.; Anderson, D.; Jia, B. Monotonicity results for nabla fractional h -difference operators. *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* **2021**, *44*, 1207–1218. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Abdeljawad, T.; Al-Mdallal, Q.M.; Hajji, M.A. Arbitrary order fractional difference operators with discrete exponential kernels and applications. *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* **2017**, *2017*, 4149320. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Abdeljawad, T.; Madjidi, F. Lyapunov-type inequalities for fractional difference operators with discrete Mittag-Leffler kernel of order $2 < \alpha < 5/2$. *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* **2017**, *226*, 3355–3368.