

ON THE PRODUCT OF UPPER IRREDUNDANCE NUMBERS OF
A GRAPH AND ITS COMPLEMENT

By

E.J. COCKAYNE and C.M. MYNHARDT

DM-400-IR

NOVEMBER 1985

ON THE PRODUCT OF UPPER IRREDUNDANCE NUMBERS OF A GRAPH AND ITS COMPLEMENT

E.J. Cockayne
 University of Victoria
 Victoria, B.C. Canada

and

C.M. Mynhardt
 University of South Africa
 Pretoria, South Africa

ABSTRACT

A set X of vertices of a graph is irredundant if the closed neighbourhood of each $x \in X$ is not contained in the union of closed neighbourhoods of the vertices of $X - \{x\}$. The upper irredundance number, $IR(G)$ is the largest number of vertices in any irredundant set of G . We prove that for any p -vertex graph G , $IR(G) \cdot IR(\bar{G}) \leq \left\lceil \frac{p(p+2)}{4} \right\rceil$ and exhibit all graphs which attain this bound.

1. Introduction

The vertex x in a subset X of vertices of a graph is called redundant in X if its closed neighbourhood is contained in the union of closed neighbourhoods of the vertices of $X - \{x\}$. The set X is called irredundant if it contains no vertex which is redundant in X . We will need the following equivalent definition in which $N_G(x)$ denotes the open neighbourhood of vertex x in the graph G .

The set X is irredundant in G if and only if for each $x \in X$ either (i) x is an isolated vertex of $G[x]$

or (ii) there exists a vertex x' such that

$$x' \in N_G(x) \cap (V-X) \quad \text{and} \quad N_G(x') \cap X = \{x\}. \quad (1)$$

The concept of irredundance was introduced originally in [2]. It is closely related to domination and independence in graphs. An excellent bibliography of existing results is given in [3].

The upper irredundance number of G , denoted by $IR(G)$, is the maximum number of vertices in an irredundant set of G . Some results concerning this parameter were established in [1]. In this paper, we prove that for any p -vertex graph G , $IR(G) \cdot IR(\bar{G}) \leq \left\lceil \frac{p^2+2p}{4} \right\rceil$ and exhibit all the graphs which attain this upper bound. This theorem is known as a Nordhaus and Gaddum type result due to their interest in the product of chromatic numbers of G and \bar{G} (see [5]).

2. The Result

Theorem 1 (a) For any p -vertex graph G , $IR(G) \cdot IR(\bar{G}) \leq \left\lceil \frac{p^2+2p}{4} \right\rceil$.

(b) G attains the bound in (a) if and only if G or its complement consists of

(i) A set X of $\left\lceil \frac{p+1}{2} \right\rceil$ independent vertices,

(ii) A set Y of $\left\lceil \frac{p+1}{2} \right\rceil$ vertices where $G[Y]$ is complete and $X \cap Y = \{x\}$

and (iii) any set S of edges joining vertices of $X - \{x\}$ to vertices of $Y - \{x\}$.

Proof (a). Let X, Y be irredundant sets of G, \bar{G} respectively where $|X| = m$ and $|Y| = n$. Further let $|X \cap Y| = s$ and $|V - (X \cup Y)| = t$. Then $m + n - s = p - t$, hence $mn = m(p - m + s - t)$. Using elementary calculus and the fact that m, n are integral, we have

$$mn \leq \left\lfloor \left[\frac{p + (s - t)}{2} \right]^2 \right\rfloor. \quad (2)$$

It is easily verified that the right hand side of (2) is at most $\left\lfloor \frac{p^2 + 2p}{4} \right\rfloor$ provided that $s - t \leq 1$ and hence the result is true in this case.

We now show that the situation $s - t \geq 2$ is impossible. Suppose not and let $X \cap Y = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s\}$. Our assumption implies $s \geq 2$. Either $G[X \cap Y]$ or $\bar{G}[X \cap Y]$ is isolate-free. Without losing generality suppose that $G[X \cap Y]$ has this property.

Since X is an irredundant set of G , it follows from (1) that for each $i = 1, \dots, s$, there exists a vertex $f(x_i)$ such that

$$f(x_i) \in N_G(x_i) \cap (V - X) \quad (3)$$

and $N_G\{f(x_i)\} \cap X = \{x_i\}$.

Further, this definition of f implies that $f(x_1), f(x_2), \dots, f(x_s)$ are distinct and since $s \geq t + 2$, one of these, say $f(x_s) \in Y - X$.

By (3) $f(x_s)$ is adjacent in \bar{G} to each vertex of $X - Y$ and to each x_i , $i = 1, \dots, s-1$. Therefore, x_1, \dots, x_{s-1} are not isolated vertices in $\bar{G}[Y]$. Since Y is an irredundant set of \bar{G} , it follows from (1) that for each $i = 1, \dots, s-1$ there exists a vertex $g(x_i)$ such that

$$g(x_i) \in N_{\bar{G}}(x_i) \cap (V-Y)$$

$$\text{and } N_{\bar{G}}[g(x_i)] \cap Y = \{x_i\}. \quad (4)$$

This definition implies $g(x_1), \dots, g(x_{s-1})$ are distinct. Further, no $g(x_i) \in X - Y$, for otherwise $N_{\bar{G}}[g(x_i)] \cap Y$ would contain both $f(x_s)$ and x_i contrary to (4). It follows that each $g(x_1), \dots, g(x_{s-1})$ is in $V - (XUY)$, i.e. $t \geq s - 1$, a contradiction.

Proof (b). Let G attain the bound of the theorem. It is immediate from the proof above that $s - t = 1$. Moreover, the bound of (2) is attained only when (m,n) or $(n,m) = \left[\left[\frac{p+1}{2} \right], \left[\frac{p+1}{2} \right] \right]$. It will now be shown in two steps that G has $s = 1$ and $t = 0$.

Firstly suppose $s \geq 3$ and without loss of generality let $G[X \cap Y]$ be isolate-free. By arguments identical to those used in the proof of (a), we assert the existence of $x_s \in X \cap Y$ such that $f(x_s) \in Y - X$ and since $t = s - 1$,

$$\{g(x_1), \dots, g(x_{s-1})\} = V - (XUY).$$

By definition of $g(x_1)$ and since $s \geq 3$, each vertex of $V - (XUY)$ is adjacent in G to at least two vertices of $X \cap Y$. Therefore $f(x_1)$ cannot be in $V - (XUY)$ and hence $f(x_1) \in Y - X$. The definition of $f(x_1)$ implies that $f(x_1)x_s$ is an edge of \bar{G} . Therefore x_s is not isolated in \bar{G} and so there exists $g(x_s)$, distinct from $g(x_1), \dots, g(x_{s-1})$ in $V - (XUY)$, a contradiction.

Secondly suppose $s = 2, t = 1$ and without losing generality let x_1x_2 be an edge of G . Then as above, $f(x_2) \in Y - X$ and $V - (XUY) = \{g(x_1)\}$. Therefore $f(x_1) \in Y - X$ and by the definition of $f(x_1)$, $x_2f(x_1)$ is an edge of \bar{G} . Since x_2 is not isolated in \bar{G} , there exists $g(x_2) \neq g(x_1)$ in $V - (XUY)$, a contradiction showing that $s = 1, t = 0$.

We now prove that $G[X]$ has no edges. A similar argument shows that $\bar{G}[Y]$ has no edges i.e. $G[Y]$ is complete. Let $X \cap Y = \{x_1\}$ and xx' be an edge of $G[X]$, where $x \neq x_1$. Then $f(x) \in Y - X$ and the definition of $f(x)$ implies that $x_1f(x)$ is an edge of \bar{G} . Hence x_1 is not isolated in \bar{G} , and there exists $g(x_1) \in V - (X \cup Y) = \emptyset$, a contradiction.

We have proved that if G attains the bound, then

$$(i) \quad V = X \cup Y \quad \text{where} \quad |X \cap Y| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (m, n) \quad \text{or} \quad (n, m) = \left[\left[\frac{p+1}{2} \right], \left[\frac{p+1}{2} \right] \right].$$

(ii) $G[X]$ and $\bar{G}[Y]$ have no edges.

Conversely any graph G satisfying (i) and (ii) has X, Y independent and hence irredundant in G, \bar{G} , respectively and hence $IR(G) \cdot IR(\bar{G}) \geq \left[\frac{p^2 + 2p}{4} \right]$. Therefore G attains the bound. This completes the proof.

3. Deductions

Corollary 1. For any graph G , $IR(G) + IR(\bar{G}) \leq p + 1$.

Proof. In the proof of theorem 1(a) we have $m + n - s = p - t$ and $s - t \leq 1$ hence the result. We note that K_p attains this bound.

Let $\gamma(G)$ and $\Gamma(G)$ ($i(G)$ and $\beta(G)$) denote the smallest and largest cardinalities of a minimal dominating (maximal independent) vertex subset of G . We abbreviate $\gamma(G)$ by γ , $\gamma(\bar{G})$ by $\bar{\gamma}$ etc. It is well known that for any graph G ,

$$\gamma \leq i \leq \beta \leq \Gamma \leq IR. \tag{5}$$

Jaegar and Payan [4] proved that $\gamma\bar{\gamma} \leq p$ for any p -vertex graph.

Corollary 2. For any p -vertex graph G , the products $i\bar{i}$, $\gamma\bar{\beta}$, $\beta\bar{\beta}$, $\gamma\bar{\Gamma}$, $\Gamma\bar{\Gamma}$ (for example) are all bounded above by $\left\lceil \frac{p^2+2p}{4} \right\rceil$.

Proof. Immediate from the theorem and (5). The extremal graph of theorem 1(b) in which $S = \emptyset$, attains the upper bound of corollary 2 for all of the products except $i\bar{i}$.

It is easily verified that all the graphs described in theorem 1(b) have $i\bar{i} < IR \cdot \bar{I}\bar{R}$. Therefore for any p -vertex graph, $i\bar{i} < \left\lceil \frac{p^2+2p}{4} \right\rceil$.

Let p be divisible by 4 and let $V(G)$ partition into sets of equal size X, Y where $G[X]$ is edge free, $G[Y]$ is complete and the bipartite graph induced by X, Y is regular of degree $p/4$. Then $i = \bar{i} = \frac{p}{4} + 1$ and hence $i\bar{i} = \frac{(p+4)^2}{16}$.

Corollary 3. The maximum value $h(p)$, of $i\bar{i}$ among p -vertex graphs G satisfies

$$\frac{(p+4)^2}{16} \leq h(p) < \left\lceil \frac{p^2+2p}{4} \right\rceil.$$

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge research support from the Canadian Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council and the University of South Africa.

References

1. E.J. Cockayne, O. Favaron, C. Payan, and A. Thomason, Contributions to the theory of domination, independence and irredundance in graphs, *Discrete Math.*, 33, 3(1981), 249-258.
2. E.J. Cockayne, S.T. Hedetniemi and D.J. Miller, Properties of hereditary hypergraphs and middle graphs, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 21, 4(1978), 461-468.
3. S.T. Hedetniemi, R. Laskar and J. Pfaff, Irredundance in Graphs - A Survey
Clemson Univ. Computer Science Dept. Internal Research Report.
4. F. Jaegar and C. Payan, Relations du type Nordhaus-Gaddum pour le Nombre d'absorption d'un graphe simple, *C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, Series A*, t. 274, (1972).
5. E.A. Nordhaus and J.W. Gaddum, On complementary graphs, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 63(1956), 175-177.