
Faculty of Science

Faculty Publications

Geometric properties of a certain class of Mittag–Leffler-type functions

Srivastava, H., Kumar, A., Das, S., & Mehrez, K.

2022

© 2022 Hari Srivastava et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License.

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

This article was originally published at:

<https://doi.org/10.3390/fractalfract6020054>

Citation for this paper:

Srivastava, H., Kumar, A., Das, S., & Mehrez, K. (2022). "Geometric properties of a certain class of Mittag–Leffler-type functions." *Fractal and Fractional*, 6(2), 54.

<https://doi.org/10.3390/fractalfract6020054>



Article

Geometric Properties of a Certain Class of Mittag–Leffler-Type Functions

Hari M. Srivastava ^{1,2,3,4,*} , Anish Kumar ⁵ , Sourav Das ⁵ and Khaled Mehrez ⁶ ¹ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC V8W 3R4, Canada² Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan³ Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Azerbaijan University, 71 Jeyhun Hajibeyli Street, Baku AZ1007, Azerbaijan⁴ Section of Mathematics, International Telematic University Uninettuno, I-00186 Rome, Italy⁵ Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology Jamshedpur, Jamshedpur 831014, India; ak8107690@gmail.com (A.K.); souravdasmath@gmail.com or souravdas.math@nitjsr.ac.in (S.D.)⁶ Department of Mathematics, Kairouan Preparatory Institute for Engineering Studies, University of Kairouan, Kairouan 3100, Tunisia; k.mehrez@yahoo.fr

* Correspondence: harimsri@math.uvic.ca

Abstract: The main objective of this paper is to establish some sufficient conditions so that a class of normalized Mittag–Leffler-type functions satisfies several geometric properties such as starlikeness, convexity, close-to-convexity, and uniform convexity inside the unit disk. Moreover, pre-starlikeness and k -uniform convexity are discussed for these functions. Some sufficient conditions are also derived so that these functions belong to the Hardy spaces \mathcal{H}^p and \mathcal{H}^∞ . Furthermore, the inclusion properties of some modified Mittag–Leffler-type functions are discussed. The various results, which are established in this paper, are presumably new, and their importance is illustrated by several interesting consequences and examples. Some potential directions for analogous further research on the subject of the present investigation are indicated in the concluding section.

Keywords: Mittag–Leffler-type functions; univalent functions; analytic functions; starlike functions; convex functions; close-to-convex functions; Fox-Wright function; Bessel-Wright function; general Wright function; Srivastava Mittag–Leffler-type functions

MSC: primary 30C45; secondary 30C80; 33E12



Citation: Srivastava, H.M.; Kumar, A.; Das, S.; Mehrez, K. Geometric Properties of a Certain Class of Mittag–Leffler-Type Functions. *Fractal Fract.* **2022**, *6*, 54. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fractalfract6020054>

Academic Editor: Palle Jorgensen

Received: 24 December 2021

Accepted: 13 January 2022

Published: 22 January 2022

Publisher’s Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction and Motivation

Geometric Function Theory is one of the important branches of complex analysis. It deals with the geometric properties of analytic functions. The main foundation of Geometric Function Theory is the theory of univalent functions, but a number of new associated areas have emerged and led to various strong results and applications. Geometric Function Theory has applications in several fields of pure and applied mathematics such as mathematical physics, mathematical biology, fluid mechanics, fractional calculus, and mathematical chemistry. Recently, several researchers have constructed some new classes of functions involving fractional q -calculus operators, which are analytic in the unit disk and have established several interesting results with applications. It is remarkable to note that researchers in the field are interested nowadays in obtaining new theoretical methodologies and techniques with observational results together with their several applications. Let us now recall some known definitions and results in Geometric Function Theory.

Suppose that the class of analytic functions in open unit disk

$$\mathbb{D} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$$

is denoted by \mathcal{H} . Assume that \mathcal{A} denotes the collection of all analytic functions $g(z)$ in \mathcal{H} , satisfying the normalization $g(0) = g'(0) - 1 = 0$ such that

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

We denote the class of all univalent functions in \mathcal{A} by \mathcal{S} . A function $g \in \mathcal{A}$ is known as a starlike function (with respect to the origin) in \mathbb{D} if g is univalent in \mathbb{D} and the domain $g(\mathbb{D})$ is starlike with respect to the origin in \mathbb{C} . Let us denote the class of starlike functions in \mathbb{D} by \mathcal{S}^* . Then, the analytical description of \mathcal{S}^* can be stated as follows (see, for details, [1]):

$$g \in \mathcal{S}^* \iff \Re\left(\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)}\right) > 0 \quad (\forall z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Moreover, we recall the class of starlike functions of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), denoted by $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$, which is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{A} : \Re\left(\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)}\right) > \alpha \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}; 0 \leq \alpha < 1 \right\}.$$

An analytic function $g(z)$ in \mathcal{A} is said to be convex in \mathbb{D} , if $g(z)$ is a univalent function in \mathbb{D} with $g(\mathbb{D})$ as a convex domain in \mathbb{C} . We denote this class of convex functions by \mathcal{K} , which can also be described as follows:

$$g \in \mathcal{K} \iff \Re\left(1 + \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(z)}\right) > 0 \quad (\forall z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Moreover, if g satisfies the following condition:

$$\Re\left(1 + \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(z)}\right) > \alpha \quad (\forall z \in \mathbb{D}; 0 \leq \alpha < 1),$$

then g is known as a convex function of order α in \mathbb{D} . This class of functions is denoted by $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$.

An analytic function g in \mathcal{A} is called close-to-convex in the open unit disk \mathbb{D} if there exists a function $h(z)$, which is starlike in \mathbb{D} such that

$$\Re\left(\frac{zg'(z)}{h(z)}\right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

It can be noted that every close-to-convex function in \mathbb{D} is also univalent in \mathbb{D} .

A function g in class \mathcal{A} is called uniformly convex (or uniformly starlike) in \mathbb{D} , if, for every circular arc ζ contained in \mathbb{D} with center $\eta \in \mathbb{D}$, the image arc $g(\zeta)$ is convex (or starlike with respect to $g(\eta)$). This class of functions is denoted by UCV (or UST) (see, for details, [2]). It was introduced by Goodman (see [3,4]). On the other hand, Rønning [2] considered a newly-defined class of starlike functions \mathcal{S}_p as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}_p := \{g : g(z) = zG'(z) \quad (G \in \text{UCV})\}.$$

Assume that $g(z)$ and $h(z)$ are analytic in \mathbb{D} . Then, $g(z)$ is subordinate to $h(z)$ in \mathbb{D} , denoted by $f(z) \prec g(z)$ or $f \prec g$ ($z \in \mathbb{D}$), if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, which is analytic in \mathbb{D} satisfying the conditions $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$, such that

$$g(z) = h(w(z)) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

It can be verified that, if $g(z) \prec h(z)$ ($z \in \mathbb{D}$), then $g(0) = h(0)$ and $g(\mathbb{D}) \subset h(\mathbb{D})$. Moreover, if $h(z)$ is univalent in \mathbb{D} , then $g(z) \prec h(z)$ if and only if $g(0) = h(0)$ and $g(\mathbb{D}) \subset h(\mathbb{D})$. For more information on the various geometric properties involving subordination between

analytic functions, we refer the reader to the earlier works [1,5–11] and also to the references cited therein.

The celebrated and widely used Mittag–Leffler function $E_\alpha(z)$ and its two-parameter version $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ are defined by (see [12–14])

$$E_\alpha(z) := \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + 1)} \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) := \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} \tag{1}$$

$$(z, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}; \Re(\alpha) > 0),$$

respectively.

The above-defined Mittag–Leffler functions $E_\alpha(z)$ and $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$, which obviously provide extensions of the exponential, hyperbolic, and trigonometric functions, are contained (as a very specialized case) in the Fox-Wright function ${}_p\Psi_q$ ($p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$), which was investigated by Fox [15] in the year 1928 and, subsequently, by Wright (see [16,17]; see, for details, an article on the legacy of Charles Fox by Srivastava [18]). By definition, we have

$${}_p\Psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, A_1), \dots, (a_p, A_p); \\ (b_1, B_1), \dots, (b_q, B_q); \end{matrix} z \right] = {}_p\Psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{j=1, \dots, p}; \\ (b_j, B_j)_{j=1, \dots, q}; \end{matrix} z \right]$$

$$:= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma(a_j + A_j n)}{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j + B_j n)} \frac{z^n}{n!} \tag{2}$$

$$(a_j, b_k \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } A_j, B_k \in \mathbb{R}^+ (j = 1, \dots, p; k = 1, \dots, q)).$$

The series in (2) converges uniformly and absolutely for any bounded $|z|$ ($z \in \mathbb{C}$) when

$$\Delta = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^q B_j - \sum_{j=1}^p A_j > 0.$$

Recently, Al-Bassam and Luchko [19] introduced a multi-index (or vector-index) Mittag–Leffler function involving $2m$ parameters, which we recall below:

$$E_{(\alpha,\beta)}^{(m)}(z) \equiv E_{(\alpha_1,\beta_1), \dots, (\alpha_m,\beta_m)}(z) := \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{z^n}{\prod_{k=1}^m \Gamma(\alpha_k n + \beta_k)}$$

$$= {}_1\Psi_m \left[\begin{matrix} (1, 1); \\ (\beta_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (\beta_m, \alpha_m); \end{matrix} z \right], \tag{3}$$

$$(\alpha_k, \beta_k \in \mathbb{R}^+ (k = 1, \dots, m); m \in \mathbb{N}; z \in \mathbb{C}),$$

which they applied to solve a Cauchy-type problem for a fractional differential equation and obtained explicit solution in terms of $E_{(\alpha,\beta)}^{(m)}(z)$. It is easily seen that $E_{(\alpha,\beta)}^{(m)}(z)$ is a generalization of both the classical Mittag–Leffler function $E_\alpha(z)$ and the two-parametric Mittag–Leffler function $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$

$$E_\alpha(z) = E_{\alpha,1}^{(1)}(z) \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = E_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)}(z),$$

as well as the Bessel-Wright function $J_\nu^\mu(z)$ given by (see [20])

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_\nu^\mu(z) &= E_{(1,1),(\mu,\nu+1)}^{(2)}(-z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-z)^n}{n! \Gamma(\mu n + \nu + 1)} \\
 &= {}_0\Psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \text{---}; \\ (v + 1, \mu); \end{matrix} -z \right].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4}$$

In the year 2009, the following inequality was established by Pogány and Srivastava ([21], p. 133, Theorem 4)

$$\psi_0 \exp\left(\frac{\psi_1}{\psi_0} |z|\right) \leq {}_p\Psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_j, A_j)_{j=1, \dots, p}; \\ (b_j, B_j)_{j=1, \dots, q}; \end{matrix} z \right] \leq \psi_0 - (1 - e^{|z|}) \psi_1
 \tag{5}$$

for all suitably restricted $z, a_j, A_j, b_\ell, B_\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ ($j = 1, \dots, p; \ell = 1, \dots, q$) and for all ${}_p\Psi_q[z]$ satisfying the following inequalities:

$$\psi_1 > \psi_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_1^2 < \psi_0 \psi_2,$$

where

$$\psi_k := \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma(a_j + A_j k)}{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j + B_j k)} \quad (k = 0, 1, 2).$$

The general Wright function $\mathfrak{E}_{\alpha,\beta}(\phi; z)$ emerged from a systematic study of the asymptotic expansion of the following Taylor-Maclaurin series (see [22], p. 424):

$$\mathfrak{E}_{\alpha,\beta}(\phi; z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(n)}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)} z^n \quad (\Re(\alpha) > 0; \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}),
 \tag{6}$$

where $\phi(t)$ is a function of t satisfying appropriate conditions. For a reasonably detailed historical background and other details about the following interesting unification of the definition (6) and some multi-parameter extensions of several functions occurring in Analytic Number Theory, the reader is referred, for example, to the recent works [23–25]:

$$\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi; z, s, \kappa) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{(\kappa + n)^s \Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)} z^n \quad (\Re(\alpha) > 0; \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}),
 \tag{7}$$

where for a suitably restricted function $\varphi(\tau)$ of the argument τ , the parameters α, β, s , and κ satisfy suitable conditions.

We remark in passing that Prabhakar [26] considered a singular integral equation involving a three-parameter Mittag–Leffler-type function in its kernel, which happens to be a special case of the general Wright function $\mathfrak{E}_{\alpha,\beta}(\phi; z)$ in (6) when

$$\phi(n) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + n)}{n! \Gamma(\gamma)} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0; \gamma \in \mathbb{C}),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{E}_{\alpha,\beta}(\phi; z) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+n)}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)} \frac{z^n}{n!} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} {}_1\Psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (\gamma, 1); \\ (\beta, \alpha); \end{matrix} z \right] \quad (\Re(\alpha) > 0; \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C})\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

in terms of the Fox-Wright function ${}_p\Psi_q$ ($p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$) defined by (2). For a potentially useful further investigation of this three-parameter Mittag–Leffler-type function, the reader is referred to a recent article by Garra and Garrappa [27]. Other Mittag–Leffler-type functions of the above class were used by Gorenflo et al. [28] and Srivastava et al. [29,30] as (for example) the kernel of some fractional integral operators.

If, in the general Wright function $\mathfrak{E}_{\alpha,\beta}(\phi; z)$ defined by (6), we set

$$\phi(n) = \frac{1}{[\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)]^{\gamma-1}} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

or, alternatively, if we set

$$\varphi(n) = \frac{(n + \kappa)^s}{[\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)]^{\gamma-1}} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

in the definition (7), we immediately lead to the following Mittag–Leffler-type function considered by Gerhold [31] and, subsequently, by Garra and Polito [32]:

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{[\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)]^{\gamma}} \quad (\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0; z \in \mathbb{C}).\quad (9)$$

In particular, when $\alpha = \beta = 1$, $E_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)$ leads to the following function studied by Le Roy [33] more than one century ago:

$$R_{\gamma}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{(n!)^{\gamma}} \quad (\gamma > 0; z \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Some other special cases of the function $E_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)$ are given below:

$$\begin{aligned}E_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)}(z) &= E_{\alpha,\beta}(z), & E_{1,1}^{(\gamma)}(z) &= R_{\gamma}(z), \\ E_{2,2}^{(1)}(z) &= \frac{\sinh \sqrt{z}}{z}, & E_{1,1}^{(1)}(z) &= \exp(z), \\ E_{1,2}^{(1)}(z) &= \frac{\exp(z) - 1}{z}, & E_{2,1}^{(1)}(z) &= \cosh \sqrt{z}, \\ E_{1,1}^{(2)}(z) &= J_0(2\sqrt{z}), & E_{\alpha,\beta}^{(n)}(z) &= E_{(\alpha,\beta), \dots, (\alpha,\beta)}^{(n)}(z) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}), \\ E_{1,1}^{(\nu)}(\lambda) &= Z(\lambda, \nu), & E_{1,1}^{(\alpha+1)}(z) &= \mathfrak{e}_{\alpha}(z),\end{aligned}$$

where $J_{\nu}(z) = J_{\nu}^1(z)$ is known as the Bessel function of the first kind of order ν , $Z(\lambda, \nu)$ is the COM-Poisson renormalization constant (see [34]), and $\mathfrak{e}_{\alpha}(z)$ denotes the αL -exponential function (see [32]).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We recollect some known results in Section 2, which will be helpful to establish the main theorems of this investigation. In Section 3, we derive some sufficient conditions so that the normalized Mittag–Leffler-type function $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)$ satisfies such geometric properties as starlikeness, convexity, close-to-convexity, and uniform convexity inside the unit disk. In Section 4, some sufficient

conditions are established so that these functions belong to the Hardy spaces \mathcal{H}^p and \mathcal{H}^∞ . Moreover, the inclusion properties of some modified Mittag–Leffler-type functions are discussed in Section 5. As an application of our results, the inclusion properties of the Mittag–Leffler-type functions are also studied in this section. Pre-starlikeness and k -uniform convexity are studied in Section 6. Finally, in Section 7, we present several potential directions for analogous further research on the subject of the present investigation.

2. A Set of Useful Lemmas

In this section, we recall the following lemmas, each of which will be helpful to derive the main results.

Lemma 1 (see [5]). *If $g \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the condition:*

$$\left| \left(\frac{g(z)}{z} \right) - 1 \right| < 1 \quad (\forall z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

then $g \in \mathcal{S}^*$ in

$$\mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}} = \left\{ z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

Lemma 2 (see [6]). *If $g \in \mathcal{A}$ and $|g'(z) - 1| < 1$ ($\forall z \in \mathbb{D}$), then $f \in \mathcal{K}$ in $\mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}$.*

Lemma 3 (see [35]). *Suppose that $g \in \mathcal{A}$ and the following inequality holds true:*

$$|g'(z) - 1| < \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \quad (\forall z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Then, the function $g(z)$ belongs to the class \mathcal{S}^* in \mathbb{D} .

Lemma 4 (see [36]). *Assume that $g \in \mathcal{A}$.*

- (i) *If $\left| \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| < \frac{1}{2}$, then $g \in \mathcal{S}_p$.*
- (ii) *If $\left| \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(z)} \right| < \frac{1}{2}$, then $g \in \text{UCV}$.*

Lemma 5 (see [37]). *Assume that $g(z)$ can be expressed as follows:*

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_k z^k.$$

If

$$1 \geq 2B_2 \geq \dots \geq nB_n \geq (n+1)B_{n+1} \geq \dots \geq 0$$

or if

$$1 \leq 2B_2 \leq \dots \leq nB_n \leq (n+1)B_{n+1} \leq \dots \leq 2,$$

then, $g(z)$ is close-to-convex with respect to $-\log(1-z)$.

Lemma 6 (see [38]). *Suppose that*

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_{2k-1} z^{2k-1}$$

is analytic in \mathbb{D} . If

$$1 \leq 3B_3 \leq \dots \leq (2k-1)B_{2k-1} \leq \dots \leq 2$$

or if

$$1 \geq 3B_3 \geq \dots \geq (2k-1)B_{2k-1} \geq \dots \geq 0,$$

then, $g(z)$ is univalent in \mathbb{D} .

Lemma 7 below can be proved fairly easily, so we omit the proof.

Lemma 7. If $c, d \geq 1$, then

$$\frac{k}{(c)_k} \leq \frac{1}{c(c+1)^{k-2}} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}), \tag{10}$$

$$\frac{1}{(d)_k} \leq \frac{1}{d(d+1)^{k-1}} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{11}$$

and

$$\frac{\Gamma(d)}{\Gamma(ck+d)} \leq \frac{1}{(d)_k} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda)_k &= \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+k)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & (k=0; \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \\ \lambda(\lambda+1)\cdots(\lambda+k-1) & (k \in \mathbb{N}; \lambda \in \mathbb{C}) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

denotes the Pochhammer symbol [39].

Lemma 8 (see [40]). Suppose that $p = p_1 + ip_2$ and $q = q_1 + iq_2$ with $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\Lambda \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Suppose that $\Theta : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ admits the following assertions:

- (i) $\Theta(p, q)$ is continuous in Λ .
- (ii) $\Re\{\Theta(1, 0)\}$ is positive and $(1, 0) \in \Lambda$.
- (iii) $\Re\{\Phi(ip_2, q_1)\} \leq 0$ for all $(ip_2, q_1) \in \Lambda$ such that

$$q_1 \leq -\frac{(1+p_2)^2}{2}.$$

Consider the analytic function $G(z)$ in \mathbb{D} , which satisfies the conditions $G(0) = 1$ and

$$(G(z), zG'(z)) \in \Lambda \quad (\forall z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

If $\Re\{\Phi(G(z), zG'(z))\}$ is positive in \mathbb{D} , then $\Re\{G(z)\}$ is also positive in \mathbb{D} .

Lemma 9 (see [41]). Suppose that $g(z)$ is convex univalent in \mathbb{D} and also assume that $w(z)$ and $h(z)$ are analytic in \mathbb{D} with $h(0) = g(0)$ and a real part of $w(z)$ is non-negative in \mathbb{D} . Then, for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$, the following subordination:

$$h(z) + zw(z)h'(z) \prec g(z)$$

yields

$$h(z) \prec g(z).$$

3. Starlikeness and Convexity of Normalized Mittag–Leffler-Type Functions

Since $E_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) \notin \mathcal{A}$, we consider the following normalization of $E_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta + \alpha k)} \right)^{\gamma} z^{k+1} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) z^k \quad (\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0, z \in \mathbb{C}),\end{aligned}\quad (13)$$

where

$$A_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta - \alpha + \alpha k)} \right)^{\gamma}.$$

Although Formula (13) holds true for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, yet in this article, we will restrict our attention to the case involving positive real-valued parameters α, β , and γ , and the argument $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

Theorem 1. Suppose that one of the following hypotheses holds true:

(a) $\alpha, \beta > 0, \gamma \geq 1$ and

$$(H_1) : \begin{cases} \text{(i)} & \Gamma(3\alpha + \beta) > 2\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta); \\ \text{(ii)} & 2[\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)]^2 > \Gamma(\alpha + \beta)\Gamma(3\alpha + \beta); \\ \text{(iii)} & \frac{(e-1)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} < 1. \end{cases}$$

(b) $\alpha, \beta \geq 1, \gamma > 0$ and $\beta^{\gamma} \leq (\beta + 1)^{\gamma}(\beta^{\gamma} - 1)$.

Then, $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$ in $\mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof. (a) For any $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\gamma \geq 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)}{z} - 1 \right| &< \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta + \alpha k)} \right)^{\gamma} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(k+1) \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \alpha k)} \right)^{\gamma} \frac{1}{k!} \\ &\leq \left(\Gamma(\beta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \alpha k)} \frac{1}{k!} \right)^{\gamma} \\ &= \left(\Gamma(\beta) {}_1\Psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (1, 1); \\ (\alpha + \beta, \alpha); \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] \right)^{\gamma}.\end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

In this case, we have

$$\psi_0 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}, \quad \psi_1 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2 = \frac{2}{\Gamma(3\alpha + \beta)}.$$

It can be noted that the assertions given by $(H_1) : \text{(i)}$ and $(H_1) : \text{(ii)}$ are equivalent to $\psi_2 < \psi_1$ and $\psi_1^2 < \psi_0\psi_2$. Therefore, by (5), we find that

$${}_1\Psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (1, 1); \\ (\alpha + \beta, \alpha); \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] \leq \frac{e-1}{\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}.\quad (15)$$

Using (14), (15), and (H₁) : (iii), for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$\left| \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < 1.$$

Furthermore, with the help of Lemma 1, we get the required result.

(b) Using Lemma 7, for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)}{z} - 1 \right| &< \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta + \alpha k)} \right)^{\gamma} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(\beta)_k} \right)^{\gamma} \\ &< \frac{1}{\beta^{\gamma}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(\beta + 1)^{\gamma}} \right)^k \\ &= \frac{(\beta + 1)^{\gamma}}{\beta^{\gamma}[(\beta + 1)^{\gamma} - 1]} \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

under the given hypothesis. Finally, applying Lemma 1, we conclude that $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$ in $\mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}$.
□

Remark 1. From Part (a) of Theorem 1, we note that the functions $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_2,2}^{(1)}$, $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_3,3}^{(1)}$, and $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_4,4}^{(1)}$ belong to \mathcal{S}^* in $\mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ if $\alpha_2 \in [0.839, 1.897]$, $\alpha_3 \in [0.59, 2.156]$, and $\alpha_4 \in [0.474, 2.376]$, respectively. Similarly, we can observe that for any $\beta \geq 2$, $\exists \alpha_n \in (0, 1]$ s.t. $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_n,\beta}^{(1)} \in \mathcal{S}^*$ in $\mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ for any $n \geq 2$. Again, upon setting $\gamma = 1$ in Part (b) of Theorem 1, we obtain that $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)}(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$ in $\mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, if $\beta \geq \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $\alpha \geq 1$, which is the same condition as that given in ([42], Theorem 2.4). However, ([42], Theorem 2.4) can study the starlikeness of $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)}(z)$ for the case when $\alpha \geq 1$. On the other hand, Part (a) of Theorem 1 can also discuss the case for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Hence, Theorem 1 improves the corresponding results given in ([42], Theorem 2.4). Other appropriate normalizations of the Mittag–Leffler-type functions and their applications in Geometric Function Theory of Complex Analysis can be found in (for example) [43].

Example 1. The following functions belong to the class \mathcal{S}^* in $\mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}$:

$$\mathbb{E}_{2,2}^{(1)}(z) = \frac{\sinh(z)}{z},$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{1,2}^{(1)}(z) = e^z - 1,$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{1,3}^{(1)}(z) = \frac{2(e^z - z - 1)}{z},$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{1,4}^{(1)}(z) = \frac{6(e^z - 1 - z) - 3z^2}{z^2}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_{1, \frac{5}{2}}^{(1)}(z) = \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi} e^z \operatorname{erf}(\sqrt{z}) - 2\sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{z}} \right),$$

where $\operatorname{erf}(z)$ denotes the error function, which is known also as the probability integral $\Phi(z)$, which is defined by (see [39])

$$\operatorname{erf}(z) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^z e^{-t^2} dt = \Phi(z).$$

Theorem 2. Suppose that one of the following assertions holds true:

(a) $\alpha, \beta > 0, \gamma \geq 1$ and

$$(H_2) : \begin{cases} \text{(i)} & 3\Gamma(3\alpha + \beta) > 8\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta); \\ \text{(ii)} & 16[\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)]^2 > 9\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)\Gamma(3\alpha + \beta); \\ \text{(iii)} & \frac{3(e-1)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{2\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} < 1. \end{cases}$$

(b) $\alpha, \beta \geq 1, \gamma > 0$ and

$$\frac{(\beta + 1)^\gamma [2(\beta + 1)^\gamma - 1]}{\beta^\gamma ((\beta + 1)^\gamma - 1)^2} < 1.$$

Then, $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) \in \mathcal{K}$ in $\mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof. (a) For any $z \in \mathbb{D}$, under the given condition (b), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) \right)' - 1 \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+2) \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \alpha + \beta)} \right)^\gamma z^{k+1} \right| \\ &< \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+3)}{\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(\alpha k + \alpha + \beta)k!} \right)^\gamma \\ &= \left(\Gamma(\beta) {}_2\Psi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} (1, 1), (3, 1); \\ (2, 1), (\alpha + \beta, \alpha); \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] \right)^\gamma. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Moreover, it can be seen that the assertions $(H_2) : \text{(i)}$ and $(H_2) : \text{(ii)}$ are equivalent to $\psi_2 < \psi_1$ and $\psi_1^2 < \psi_0\psi_2$, where

$$\psi_0 = \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}, \quad \psi_1 = \frac{3}{\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2 = \frac{8}{\Gamma(3\alpha + \beta)}.$$

Now, by using (5), we get

$${}_2\Psi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} (1, 1), (3, 1); \\ (2, 1), (\alpha + \beta, \alpha); \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] < \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{3(e-1)}{\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)}. \tag{17}$$

Using (16), (17), and $(H_2) : \text{(iii)}$, we obtain

$$\left| \left[\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)} \right]'(z) - 1 \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Again, by using Lemma 2, the desired result can be established.

(b) By using Lemma 7, for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left[\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) \right]' - 1 \right| &< \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1) \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta + \alpha k)} \right)^{\gamma} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k+1}{[(\beta)_k]^{\gamma}} \\ &< \frac{1}{\beta^{\gamma}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k}{[(\beta+1)^{\gamma}]^k} + \frac{2}{\beta^{\gamma}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(\beta+1)^{\gamma}} \right)^k \\ &= \frac{(\beta+1)^{\gamma}}{\beta^{\gamma} [(\beta+1)^{\gamma} - 1]^2} + \frac{2(\beta+1)^{\gamma}}{\beta^{\gamma} [(\beta+1)^{\gamma} - 1]} \\ &= \frac{(\beta+1)^{\gamma} (2(\beta+1)^{\gamma} - 1)}{\beta^{\gamma} [(\beta+1)^{\gamma} - 1]^2} < 1, \end{aligned}$$

under the given hypothesis (b). Finally, Lemma 2 helps us to establish the desired result.

The proof of Theorem 2 is thus completed. \square

Remark 2. It can be shown from Part (a) of Theorem 2 that $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_4, 4}^{(1)}$, $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_5, 5}^{(1)}$, and $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_6, 6}^{(1)}$ belong to \mathcal{K} in $\mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ if $\alpha_4 \in [0.88, 2.09]$, $\alpha_5 \in [0.77, 2.26]$, and $\alpha_6 \in [0.699, 2.43]$, respectively. Similarly, it can be easily proved that for each $\beta \geq 4$, there exist $\alpha_n \in (0, 1]$ such that $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_n, \beta}^{(1)}$ belongs to \mathcal{K} in $\mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ for any $n \geq 4$. Moreover, by putting $\gamma = 1$ in Part (b) of Theorem 2, we see that $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)}(z)$ belongs to \mathcal{K} in $\mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, if $\beta \geq 3.0796$ and $\alpha \geq 1$. In ([42], Theorem 2.4), it was derived that the function $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)}(z)$ belongs to \mathcal{K} in $\mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, if

$$\beta \geq \frac{3 + \sqrt{17}}{2} \approx 3.561552813 \text{ and } \alpha \geq 1.$$

Thus, clearly, Theorem 2 improves the corresponding result available in the literature ([42], Theorem 2.4).

Example 2. The following functions belong to the class \mathcal{K} in $\mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}$:

$$\mathbb{E}_{1,4}^{(1)}(z) = \frac{6(e^z - 1 - z) - 3z^2}{z^2},$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{1, \frac{7}{2}}^{(1)}(z) = \frac{5}{8} \left(\frac{3\sqrt{\pi} e^z \operatorname{erf}(\sqrt{z})}{z^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{6}{z} - 4 \right),$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{1,5}^{(1)}(z) = \frac{-4(z^3 + 3z^2 + 6z - 6e^z + 6)}{z^3}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_{1, \frac{9}{2}}^{(1)}(z) = \frac{105\sqrt{\pi} e^z \operatorname{erf}(\sqrt{z})}{16z^{\frac{5}{2}}} - \frac{7(4z^2 + 10z + 15)}{8z^2}.$$

Theorem 3. Consider that one of the following assertions is valid:

(a) The parameters $\alpha, \beta > 0$, and $\gamma \geq 1$ satisfy the following inequalities:

$$(H_3) : \begin{cases} \text{(i)} & \Gamma(3\alpha + \beta) > 3\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta); \\ \text{(ii)} & 3[\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)]^2 > 2\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)\Gamma(3\alpha + \beta); \\ \text{(iii)} & \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{(e-1)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)}\right)^\gamma + \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{2(e-1)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)}\right)^\gamma < 1. \end{cases}$$

(b) The parameters $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$, and $\gamma > 0$ satisfy the conditions given by

$$\frac{(\beta + 1)^{2\gamma}}{[(\beta + 1)^\gamma - 1][\beta^\gamma((\beta + 1)^\gamma - 1) - (\beta + 1)^\gamma]} < 1.$$

Then, $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$ in \mathbb{D} .

Proof. Suppose that

$$\mathcal{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) = \frac{z [\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)]'}{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Then, clearly, $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)$ satisfies the condition $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(0) = 1$, and it is analytic in \mathbb{D} .

In order to establish the required result, it suffices to show that

$$\Re(\mathcal{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)) > 0 \quad (\forall z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

For this objective in view, it suffices to establish that

$$\left| \mathcal{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{z [\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)]'}{[\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)]} - 1 \right| = \frac{\left| [\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)]' - \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)}{z} \right|}{\left| \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)}{z} \right|} < 1 \quad (\forall z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

(a) A simple computation leads us to

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)]' - \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)}{z} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} \right)^\gamma z^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(k + 2) \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \alpha + \beta)} \right)^\gamma \frac{z^{k+1}}{k!}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\left| [\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)]' - \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)}{z} \right| < \left(\Gamma(\beta)_1 \Psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (2, 1); \\ (\alpha + \beta, \alpha); \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] \right)^\gamma \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{18}$$

In our case, we get

$$\psi_0 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}, \quad \psi_1 = \frac{2}{\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2 = \frac{6}{\Gamma(3\alpha + \beta)}.$$

We see that the assertions $(H_3) : (i)$ and $(H_3) : (ii)$ are equivalent to $\psi_2 < \psi_1$ and $\psi_1^2 < \psi_0\psi_2$. Therefore, by (5), it follows that

$${}_1\Psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (2, 1); \\ (\alpha + \beta, \alpha); \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] \cong \frac{2(e - 1)}{\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}. \tag{19}$$

Moreover, with the help of the inequality:

$$|z_1 + z_2| \cong ||z_1| - |z_2||,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)}{z} \right| &\cong 1 - \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta + \alpha k)} \right)^\gamma z^k \right| \\ &\cong 1 - \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta + \alpha k)} \right)^\gamma = 1 - \left(\Gamma(\beta) {}_1\Psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (1, 1); \\ (\alpha + \beta, \alpha); \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] \right)^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by applying the inequality (5), we have

$${}_1\Psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (1, 1); \\ (\alpha + \beta, \alpha); \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] \cong \frac{e - 1}{\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)},$$

where $\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)\Gamma(3\alpha + \beta) < 2[\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)]^2$ and $2\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta) < \Gamma(3\alpha + \beta)$. Hence, we find that

$$\left| \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)}{z} \right| \cong 1 - \left(\frac{(e - 1)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} \right)^\gamma > 0 \quad (\forall z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{20}$$

Using (18) and (19), together with (20), for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{z[\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)]'}{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)} - 1 \right| &= \frac{\left| \mathbb{E}'_{\alpha, \beta}(z) - \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)}{z} \right|}{\left| \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)}{z} \right|} \\ &< \left(\frac{2(e - 1)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} \right)^\gamma \\ &\quad \cdot \left[1 - \left(\frac{(e - 1)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} \right)^\gamma \right]^{-1} < 1, \end{aligned}$$

where we have made use of the given hypothesis.

(b) Under the given hypothesis, by using Lemma 7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left[\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) \right]' - \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)}{z} \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k[\Gamma(\beta)]^{\gamma} z^k}{[\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)]^{\gamma}} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k+1}{[\beta(\beta+1) \cdots (\beta+k)]^{\gamma}} \\ &< \frac{1}{\beta^{\gamma}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k}{[(\beta+1)^{\gamma}]^k} + \frac{1}{\beta^{\gamma}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(\beta+1)^{\gamma}} \right)^k \\ &= \frac{(\beta+1)^{\gamma}}{\beta^{\gamma} [(\beta+1)^{\gamma} - 1]^2} + \frac{(\beta+1)^{\gamma}}{\beta^{\gamma} [(\beta+1)^{\gamma} - 1]} \\ &= \frac{(\beta+1)^{2\gamma}}{\beta^{\gamma} [(\beta+1)^{\gamma} - 1]^2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)}{z} \right| &\geq 1 - \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\Gamma(\beta)]^{\gamma} z^k}{[\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)]^{\gamma}} \right| \\ &> 1 - \frac{1}{\beta^{\gamma}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\beta+1)^{\gamma k}} \\ &= \frac{\beta^{\gamma} ((\beta+1)^{\gamma} - 1) - (\beta+1)^{\gamma}}{\beta^{\gamma} ((\beta+1)^{\gamma} - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{[\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)]' - \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)}{z}}{\frac{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)}{z}} \right| &= \frac{\frac{(\beta+1)^{2\gamma}}{\beta^{\gamma} [(\beta+1)^{\gamma} - 1]^2}}{\frac{\beta^{\gamma} ((\beta+1)^{\gamma} - 1) - (\beta+1)^{\gamma}}{\beta^{\gamma} ((\beta+1)^{\gamma} - 1)}} \\ &= \frac{(\beta+1)^{2\gamma}}{[(\beta+1)^{\gamma} - 1] (\beta^{\gamma} [(\beta+1)^{\gamma} - 1] - (\beta+1)^{\gamma})} < 1 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

under the given Condition (b).

□

Remark 3. It can be verified from Part (a) of Theorem 3 that $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_4, 4}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_5, 5}^{(1)}$ belong to \mathcal{S}^* in \mathbb{D} if $\alpha_4 \in [0.88, 1.65]$ and $\alpha_5 \in [0.766, 1.805]$, respectively. In the same manner, it can be concluded that for each $\beta \geq 4$, there exist $\alpha_n \in (0, 1]$ such that the function $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_n, \beta}^{(1)}$ belongs to the class \mathcal{S}^* in \mathbb{D} for all $n \geq 4$. Now, putting $\gamma = 1$ in Part (b) of Theorem 3, we claim that $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)}(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$ in \mathbb{D} , if $\beta \geq 3.0796$ and $\alpha \geq 1$. In ([42], Theorem 2.2), it is established that $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)}(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$ in \mathbb{D} if

$$\beta \geq \frac{3 + \sqrt{17}}{2} \approx 3.561552813 \text{ and } \alpha \geq 1.$$

Again, in ([44], Theorem 6), it is derived that $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)}(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$ in \mathbb{D} , if $\beta \geq 3.214319744$ and $\alpha \geq 1$. Consequently, Theorem 3 improves the corresponding results available in [42,44].

Example 3. The following functions belong to the class \mathcal{S}^* in \mathbb{D} :

$$\mathbb{E}_{1, \frac{7}{2}}^{(1)}(z) = \frac{5}{8} \left(\frac{3\sqrt{\pi} e^z \operatorname{erf}(\sqrt{z})}{z^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{6}{z} - 4 \right)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_{1, \frac{9}{2}}^{(1)}(z) = \frac{105\sqrt{\pi} e^z \operatorname{erf}(\sqrt{z})}{16z^{\frac{5}{2}}} - \frac{7(4z^2 + 10z + 15)}{8z^2}.$$

Similarly, using Lemma 4, the following result can be established.

Corollary 1. Assume that one of the following hypotheses holds true:

(a) $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and $\gamma \geq 1$ such that

$$(H'_3) : \begin{cases} \text{(i)} & \Gamma(3\alpha + \beta) > 3\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta); \\ \text{(ii)} & 3[\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)]^2 > 2\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)\Gamma(3\alpha + \beta); \\ \text{(iii)} & \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{(e-1)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)} \right)^\gamma + 2 \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{2(e-1)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)} \right)^\gamma < 1. \end{cases}$$

(b) $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$, and $\gamma > 0$ with

$$\frac{(\beta + 1)^{2\gamma}}{\beta^\gamma [(\beta + 1)^\gamma - 1][(\beta + 1)^\gamma - 1] - (\beta + 1)^\gamma} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then, $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p$.

Each of the following results can be proved in a manner that is analogous to the proofs of the earlier results in this section. Therefore, we omit the details involved.

Theorem 4. Suppose that one of the following assertions holds true:

(a) The parameters α, β , and γ satisfy the hypothesis (a) of Theorem 2, together with the following hypotheses:

$$(H_4) : \begin{cases} \text{(i)} & \Gamma(3\alpha + \beta) > 4\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta); \\ \text{(ii)} & 4[\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)]^2 > 3\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)\Gamma(3\alpha + \beta); \\ \text{(iii)} & \left(\frac{2\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{3(e-1)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)} \right)^\gamma + \left(\frac{2\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{6(e-1)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)} \right)^\gamma < 1. \end{cases}$$

(b) $\alpha, \beta \geq 1, \gamma > 0$, and

$$\frac{(\beta + 1)^\gamma [(\beta + 1)^\gamma - 1]^2}{2[(\beta + 1)^\gamma - 4][\beta^\gamma [(\beta + 1)^\gamma - 1]^2 - (\beta + 1)^\gamma [2(\beta + 1)^\gamma - 1]]} < 1.$$

Then, $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) \in \mathcal{K}$ in \mathbb{D} .

Corollary 2. The normalized Mittag–Leffler-type function $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)} \in \mathcal{K}$ in \mathbb{D} if $\beta \geq 4.52416$ and $\alpha \geq 1$.

Remark 4. It can be observed from Part (a) of Theorem 4 that $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_9, 9}^{(1)} \in \mathcal{K}$ in \mathbb{D} if $\alpha_9 \in [0.84, 1.87]$. Similarly, we can verify that for each $\beta \geq 9$, there exist $\alpha_n \in (0, 1]$ s.t. $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_n, \beta}^{(1)} \in \mathcal{K}$ in \mathbb{D} for any $n \geq 9$. In ([44], Theorem 7), the condition for convexity of $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)}$ in \mathbb{D} was given by $\beta \geq 3.56155281$

and $\alpha \geq 1$. However, Theorem 4 studies the case for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ also. As a consequence, Theorem 4 improves the result available in [44].

Example 4. The function $\mathbb{E}_{1,5}^{(1)}(z) = \frac{-4(z^3+3z^2+6z-6e^z+6)}{z^3}$ is convex in \mathbb{D} .

Proceeding in a similar way and using Lemma 4, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3. Assume that one of the following assertions hold:

(a) α and β satisfy the hypothesis H_2 of Theorem 2 as well as the following hypothesis:

$$(H'_4) : \begin{cases} \text{(i)} & \Gamma(3\alpha + \beta) > 4\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta); \\ \text{(ii)} & 4[\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)]^2 > 3\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)\Gamma(3\alpha + \beta); \\ \text{(iii)} & \left(\frac{2\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)} + \frac{3(e-1)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(2\alpha+\beta)}\right)^\gamma + 2\left(\frac{2\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)} + \frac{6(e-1)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(2\alpha+\beta)}\right)^\gamma < 1. \end{cases}$$

(b) $\alpha, \beta \geq 1, \gamma > 0$, and

$$\frac{(\beta + 1)^\gamma [(\beta + 1)^\gamma - 1]^2}{[(\beta + 1)^\gamma - 4] [\beta^\gamma [(\beta + 1)^\gamma - 1]^2 - (\beta + 1)^\gamma [2(\beta + 1)^\gamma - 1]]} < 1.$$

Then, $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) \in \text{UCV}$.

Remark 5. Using Corollary 2 and proceeding similarly as in Remark 4, we observe that the functions $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_8,8}^{(1)}(z)$ and $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_9,9}^{(1)}(z)$ are in UCV, if $\alpha_8 \in [0.885, 1.78]$ and $\alpha_9 \in [0.84, 1.87]$, respectively. In ([45], Theorem 2.6) it is derived that the function $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)}(z) \in \text{UCV}$, if $\beta \geq 9.111259774$ and $\alpha \geq 1$. As a consequence, Corollary 3 improves the known result ([45], Theorem 2.6).

Corollary 4. If $\alpha, \beta \geq 1, \gamma > 0, \delta \in [0, 1)$, and if the following condition is satisfied:

$$\frac{(\beta + 1)^\gamma [(\beta + 1)^\gamma - 1]^2}{2[(\beta + 1)^\gamma - 4] [\beta^\gamma ((\beta + 1)^\gamma - 1)^2 - (\beta + 1)^\gamma [2(\beta + 1)^\gamma - 1]]} < 1 - \delta.$$

Then, $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)$ is convex of order δ in \mathbb{D} .

Theorem 5. Suppose that $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 1$ and the following inequalities are satisfied:

$$(H_5) : \begin{cases} \text{(i)} & \Gamma(3 + \beta) > 3\Gamma(2 + \beta); \\ \text{(ii)} & 3[\Gamma(\beta + 2)]^2 > 2\Gamma(\beta + 1)\Gamma(\beta + 3); \\ \text{(iii)} & \left(\frac{e-1}{\beta(\beta+1)} + \frac{1}{\beta}\right)^\gamma + \left(\frac{2(e-1)}{\beta(\beta+1)} + \frac{1}{\beta}\right)^\gamma < 1. \end{cases}$$

Then, the normalized Mittag–Leffler-type function $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)$ is close-to-convex with respect to the starlike function $\mathbb{E}_{1,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)$ in \mathbb{D} .

4. Hardy Space of the Mittag–Leffler-Type Functions

Let \mathcal{H}^∞ denote the space of all bounded functions in \mathbb{D} . We also assume that $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and set

$$\mathcal{M}_p(r, h) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |h(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & (0 < p < \infty) \\ \max\{|h(z)| : |z| \leq r\} & (p = \infty). \end{cases}$$

It is known from [46] that $h \in \mathcal{H}^p$ if $\mathcal{M}_p(r, h)$ is bounded for $r \in [0, 1)$ and

$$\mathcal{H}^\infty \subset \mathcal{H}^q \subset \mathcal{H}^p \quad (0 < q < p < 1).$$

Let us consider the following known result [47] for the Hardy space \mathcal{H}^p :

$$\begin{aligned} \Re\{h'(z)\} > 0 &\implies h' \in \mathcal{H}^p \quad (\forall p < 1) \\ &\implies h \in \mathcal{H}^{\frac{q}{1-q}} \quad (\forall 0 < q < 1). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Suppose that the following power series:

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (|z| < R_1)$$

and

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad (|z| < R_2)$$

have R_1 and R_2 as their radii of convergence, respectively. Then, their Hadamard product is given by (see, for example, [48])

$$(f * g)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n \quad (|z| < R_1 \cdot R_2).$$

The following lemmas will be useful in proving the main results in this section.

Lemma 10 (see [49]). *If $0 \leq \delta, \lambda < 1$ and $\mu = 1 - 2(1 - \delta)(1 - \lambda)$, then*

$$\mathcal{R}_o(\delta) * \mathcal{R}_o(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{R}_o(\mu)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\mathcal{P}_o(\delta) * \mathcal{P}_o(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{P}_o(\mu).$$

Lemma 11 (see [50]). *If the function h , convex of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$), is not of the following form:*

$$h(z) = \begin{cases} m + d \cdot z(1 - ze^{i\eta})^{2\delta-1} & (\delta \neq \frac{1}{2}) \\ m + d \cdot \log(1 - ze^{i\eta}) & (\delta = \frac{1}{2}) \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

for $d, m \in \mathbb{C}$, and for $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, then each of the following statements holds true:

- (i) If $\delta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$, then $\exists \sigma = \sigma(h) > 0$ such that $h \in \mathcal{H}^{\sigma + \frac{1}{1-2\delta}}$.
- (ii) If $\delta \geq \frac{1}{2}$, then $h \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$.
- (iii) $\exists \rho = \rho(h)$ such that $h' \in \mathcal{H}^{\rho + \frac{1}{2(1-\delta)}}$.

Our first main result in this section is now given below.

Theorem 6. *If $\alpha \geq 1, \beta \geq 1, \gamma > 0, 0 \leq \delta < 1, z \in \mathbb{D}$ and*

$$\frac{(\beta + 1)^\gamma}{\beta^\gamma(1 - \delta)[(\beta + 1)^\gamma - 1]} < 1,$$

then $\frac{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)}{z} \in \mathcal{P}(\delta)$.

Proof. We establish the result asserted by Theorem 6 by proving that $|h(z) - 1| < 1$, where

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{1-\delta} \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)}{z} - \delta \right).$$

Indeed, by using Lemma 7, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{1-\delta} \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)}{z} - \delta \right) - 1 \right| &= \frac{1}{1-\delta} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\Gamma(\beta)]^{\gamma} z^n}{[\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)]^{\gamma}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\beta^{\gamma}(1-\delta)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\beta+1)^{\gamma} \cdots (\beta+n)^{\gamma}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta^{\gamma}(1-\delta)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\beta+1)^{n\gamma}} = \frac{1}{\beta^{\gamma}(1-\delta)} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{(\beta+1)^{\gamma}}} < 1, \end{aligned}$$

under the hypothesis of Theorem 6. \square

Theorem 7. Assume that $\alpha \geq 1, \beta \geq 1, \gamma > 0, 0 \leq \delta < 1$, and

$$\frac{(\beta+1)^{\gamma} [(\beta+1)^{\gamma} - 1]^2}{2[(\beta+1)^{\gamma} - 4](\beta^{\gamma} [(\beta+1)^{\gamma} - 1]^2 - (\beta+1)^{\gamma} [2(\beta+1)^{\gamma} - 1])} < 1 - \delta.$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) \in \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{1-2\delta}} & \left(0 \leq \delta < \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ \mathcal{H}^{\infty} & \left(\delta \geq \frac{1}{2} \right). \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

Proof. From the definition of the hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$, we have

$$m + \frac{d \cdot z}{(1 - ze^{i\eta})^{1-2\delta}} = m + d \cdot {}_2F_1\left(1, 1 - 2\delta; 1; ze^{i\eta}\right) \quad \left(\delta \neq \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

and

$$m + d \cdot \log(1 - ze^{i\eta}) = k + d \cdot {}_2F_1\left(1, 1; 2; ze^{i\eta}\right) \quad \left(\delta = \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Hence, clearly, the normalized Mittag–Leffler-type function $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)$ is not of the following forms:

$$m + d \cdot z(1 - ze^{i\eta})^{2\delta-1} \quad \left(\delta \neq \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

and

$$m + d \cdot \log(1 - ze^{i\eta}) \quad \left(\delta = \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Thus, by applying Corollary 4, we observe that $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)$ is convex of order δ in \mathbb{D} . Finally, if we apply Lemma 11, the desired result would follow readily. \square

Theorem 8. Consider that $\alpha \geq 1, \beta \geq 1, \gamma > 0$, and

$$2(\beta+1)^{\gamma} - \beta^{\gamma}[(\beta+1)^{\gamma} - 1] < 0.$$

If $g \in \mathcal{R}$, then, the convolution $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)} * g$ is in $\mathcal{H}^\infty \cap \mathcal{R}$.

Proof. If $g \in \mathcal{R}$, then $g' \in \mathcal{P}$. Upon setting

$$w(z) = \mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) * g(z),$$

we have

$$w'(z) = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)}{z} * g'(z).$$

Using Theorem 6, we obtain $\frac{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)}{z} \in \mathcal{P}(\frac{1}{2})$ under the given hypothesis.

Now, using Lemma 10 and (22), we see that $w'(z) \in \mathcal{P}$, which yields $w'(z) \in \mathcal{H}^q$ for all $q < 1$. Therefore, we get $w(z) \in \mathcal{H}^{\frac{q}{1-q}}$ for all $0 < q < 1$ or, equivalently, $w(z) \in \mathcal{H}^p$ for $0 < p < \infty$.

Applying the known bound for the Carathéodory function, it can be observed from ([51], p. 533, Theorem 1) that if

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{R},$$

then $|a_n| \leq \frac{2}{n}$. Moreover, applying Lemma 7, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |w(z)| &\leq |z| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\Gamma(\beta)]^\gamma a_n |z|^n}{[\beta + \Gamma(\alpha(n-1))]^\gamma} \\ &\leq 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\Gamma(\beta)]^\gamma}{[\beta + \Gamma(\alpha(n-1))]^\gamma} \frac{2}{n} \\ &\leq 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\Gamma(\beta)]^\gamma}{[\beta + \Gamma(\alpha n)]^\gamma} \frac{2}{(n+1)} \\ &\leq 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{(n+1)} \frac{1}{[(\beta)_n]^\gamma} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which yields that the power series for $w(z)$ converges absolutely for $|z| = 1$.

Next, by using a known result ([46], p. 42, Theorem 3.11), we see that $w'(z) \in \mathcal{H}^q$, which implies that $w(z)$ is continuous in the closure $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ of \mathbb{D} . Since continuous functions on the compact set $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ are bounded, the function $w(z)$ is a bounded analytic function in \mathbb{D} . Hence, $w(z) \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$. \square

Remark 6. Setting $\gamma = 1$ in Theorem 8, we observe that $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)} * g$ is in the Hardy class $\mathcal{H}^\infty \cap \mathcal{R}$, if $\beta > 1 + \sqrt{3}$. This leads to the known result ([52], Theorem 4.5). Hence, Theorem 8 generalizes the result given in [52].

Example 5. Suppose that $g \in \mathcal{R}$. Then the functions $\mathbb{E}_{1,5}^{(1)} * g$ and $\mathbb{E}_{1,\frac{9}{2}}^{(1)} * g$ are in the Hardy class $\mathcal{H}^\infty \cap \mathcal{R}$.

Finally, in this section, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 9. Suppose that $\alpha \geq 1, \beta \geq 1, \gamma > 0$ and

$$\frac{(\beta + 1)^\gamma}{\beta^\gamma(1 - \delta)[(\beta + 1)^\gamma - 1]} < 1.$$

If $g \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda)$ ($\lambda < 1$), then $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)} * g \in \mathcal{R}(\mu)$, with $\mu = 1 - 2(1 - \delta)(1 - \lambda)$.

Proof. If $g \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda)$ ($\lambda < 1$), then $g' \in \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$. Assuming that

$$w(z) = \mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) * g(z),$$

we have

$$w'(z) = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)}{z} * g'(z).$$

Now, if we apply Theorem 6, we observe that the normalized Mittag–Leffler-type function $\frac{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)}{z} \in \mathcal{P}(\delta)$ under the given hypothesis. Therefore, by using Lemma 10, we find that $w'(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\mu)$, which is equivalent to $w(z) \in \mathcal{R}(\mu)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 9. \square

Remark 7. Upon setting $\gamma = 1$ in Theorem 9, we deduce that $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)} * g \in \mathcal{R}(\leq)$, if

$$\beta > \frac{1 + \sqrt{(5 - 4\delta)}}{2(1 - \delta)}.$$

This leads to the result given in ([52], Theorem 4.6). Hence, Theorem 9 generalizes the corresponding known result ([52], Theorem 4.6).

5. Inclusion Properties

Let us consider a modified Mittag–Leffler-type function defined as follows:

$$G_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(Bk + A)[\Gamma(\beta k + \alpha)]^{\gamma-1}}, \tag{25}$$

where $A, B, \alpha, \beta, z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\min\{\Re(B), \Re(\beta)\} > 0$ and $\gamma \geq 1$.

Remark 8. Setting $A = \alpha$ and $B = \beta$ in (25), we obtain the Mittag–Leffler-type function as defined in (9), which is also known as the Le Roy-type Mittag–Leffler function [33]. Similarly, for $A = \alpha$, $B = \beta$ and $\gamma = 1$, $G_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)}(z)$ reduces to the Mittag–Leffler function. Moreover, the Bessel–Wright function $J_{\nu}^{\mu}(z)$ given by (4) can be derived as a particular case if set $A = B = 1$, $\alpha = \nu + 1$, $\beta = \mu$ and $\gamma = 2$, and replace z by $-z$, in the definition (25). In particular, upon setting $A = \alpha$, $B = \beta$, and $\gamma = n$ in (25), a multi-index Mittag–Leffler function can be obtained. These are important special functions, which have several applications in fractional calculus [53–56], mathematical physics and related branches of science and engineering [27,57–59]. Hence, clearly, the modified Mittag–Leffler-type function $G_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)}(z)$ defined by (25) has the potential for applications in physics, biology, fractional dynamics, and other branches of science and engineering.

Since $G_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)}(z) \notin \mathcal{A}$, we consider the following normalized form of (25):

$$\mathbb{G}_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(A + B)[\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)]^{\gamma-1} z^k}{\Gamma(A + Bk)[\Gamma(\alpha + \beta k)]^{\gamma-1}} \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{26}$$

We now consider a linear convolution operator $\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ defined, in terms of the Hadamard product (or convolution), by

$$\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)} f(z) = \mathbb{G}_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)}(z) * f(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}, f(z) \in \mathcal{A}). \tag{27}$$

Then, by using (26) and (27), we get

$$z [\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A+1,B}^{(\gamma)} f(z)]' = \frac{A+B}{B} \mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)} f(z) - \frac{A}{B} \mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A+1,B}^{(\gamma)} f(z). \tag{28}$$

With the help of the linear operator $\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)}$, we define new subclasses as follows:

$$S_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^*(\delta) = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \Re \left(\frac{z [\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)} f(z)]'}{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)} f(z)} \right) > \delta \right. \\ \left. (0 \leq \delta < 1; z \in \mathbb{D}) \right\}, \tag{29}$$

$$\kappa_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(\delta) = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \Re \left(1 + \frac{z [\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)} f(z)]''}{[\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)} f(z)]'} \right) > \delta \right. \\ \left. (0 \leq \delta < 1; z \in \mathbb{D}) \right\}, \tag{30}$$

$$C_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(\rho, \delta) = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \exists g \in S_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^*(\delta) \text{ such that } \Re \left(\frac{z [\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)} f(z)]'}{[\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)} g(z)]'} \right) > \rho \right. \\ \left. (0 \leq \delta; \rho < 1; z \in \mathbb{D}) \right\} \tag{31}$$

and

$$C_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^*(\rho, \delta) = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \exists g \in S_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^*(\delta) \text{ such that } \Re \left(\frac{\left(z [\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)} f(z)]' \right)'}{[\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)} g(z)]'} \right) > \rho \right. \\ \left. (0 \leq \delta; \rho < 1; z \in \mathbb{D}) \right\}. \tag{32}$$

The linear operator $\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)}$ satisfies the following properties:

$$f(z) \in \kappa_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(\delta) \iff zf'(z) \in S_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^*(\delta) \tag{33}$$

and

$$f(z) \in C_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^*(\rho, \delta) \iff zf'(z) \in C_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(\rho, \delta). \tag{34}$$

Theorem 10. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}$, $B > 0$, $\alpha, \beta, z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re(\beta) > 0$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and $B(B\delta + A) \geq 0$. Then,

$$S_{\alpha, \beta, A, B}^*(\delta) \subset S_{\alpha, \beta, A+1, B}^*(\delta).$$

Proof. Suppose that $f \in S_{\alpha, \beta, A, B}^*(\delta)$ and the function $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1}{1-\delta} \left(\frac{z [\mathbb{H}_{\alpha, \beta, A+1, B}^{(\gamma)} f(z)]'}{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha, \beta, A+1, B}^{(\gamma)} f(z)} - \delta \right). \quad (35)$$

Then, $\phi(0) = 1$ and ϕ is analytic in \mathbb{D} . From (28), we get

$$\frac{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha, \beta, A, B}^{(\gamma)} f(z)}{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha, \beta, A+1, B}^{(\gamma)} f(z)} = \frac{A}{A+B} + \frac{B}{A+B} \frac{z [\mathbb{H}_{\alpha, \beta, A+1, B}^{(\gamma)} f(z)]'}{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha, \beta, A+1, B}^{(\gamma)} f(z)}. \quad (36)$$

Combining (35) and (36), we obtain

$$\frac{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha, \beta, A, B}^{(\gamma)} f(z)}{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha, \beta, A+1, B}^{(\gamma)} f(z)} = \frac{A}{A+B} + \frac{B}{A+B} [(1-\delta)\phi(z) + \delta], \quad (37)$$

which leads to

$$\frac{z [\mathbb{H}_{\alpha, \beta, A, B}^{(\gamma)} f(z)]'}{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha, \beta, A, B}^{(\gamma)} f(z)} = \frac{z [\mathbb{H}_{\alpha, \beta, A+1, B}^{(\gamma)} f(z)]'}{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha, \beta, A+1, B}^{(\gamma)} f(z)} + \frac{B(1-\delta)z\phi'(z)}{B[(1-\delta)\phi(z) + \delta] + A},$$

which, in view of (35), yields

$$\frac{1}{1-\delta} \left(\frac{z [\mathbb{H}_{\alpha, \beta, A, B}^{(\gamma)} f(z)]'}{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha, \beta, A, B}^{(\gamma)} f(z)} - \delta \right) = \frac{Bz\phi'(z)}{B[(1-\delta)\phi(z) + \delta] + A} + \phi(z). \quad (38)$$

Next, we consider another new function $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\Phi(u, v) = u + \frac{Bv}{B[(1-\delta)u + \delta] + A},$$

where $u = u_1 + iu_2$ and $v = v_1 + iv_2$ with $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. It can be easily observed that Φ is continuous on $\Lambda = \left\{ \mathbb{C} \setminus \frac{A+B\delta}{B(\delta-1)} \right\} \times \mathbb{C}$ with $(1, 0) \in \Lambda$ and $\Re\{\Phi(1, 0)\} > 0$. Since $f \in S_{\alpha, \beta, A, B}^*(\delta)$, it follows that

$$\Re\{\Phi(\phi(z)), z(\phi(z))\} > 0 \quad (\forall z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Moreover, for $(iu_2, v_1) \in \Lambda$, with

$$u_2, v_1 \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad v_1 \leq -\frac{(1+u_2)^2}{2},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Re(\Phi(iu_2, v_1)) &= \Re\left\{iu_2 + \frac{Bv_1}{B[(1-\delta)iu_2 + \delta] + A}\right\} \\ &= \frac{Bv_1(B\delta + A)}{B^2(1-\delta)^2u_2^2 + (B\delta + A)^2} \\ &\leq -\frac{(1+u_2)^2}{2} \frac{B(B\delta + A)}{B^2(1-\delta)^2u_2^2 + (B\delta + A)^2} \\ &\leq 0,\end{aligned}$$

which leads to $\Re\{\Phi(iu_2, v_1)\} \leq 0$. Hence, by Lemma 8, we claim that $\Re\{\phi(z)\} > 0$.

Finally, by using (35), we see that $f \in S_{\alpha, \beta, A+1, B}^*(\delta)$, which is the desired result asserted by Theorem 10. \square

Remark 9. Setting $A = \alpha$, $B = \beta$ and $\gamma = 1$ in (25) and using Theorem 10, the inclusion relation $S_{\alpha, \beta}^*(\delta) \subset S_{\alpha+1, \beta}^*(\delta)$ for a subclass associated with the normalized Mittag-Leffler function $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}(z) = z\Gamma(\beta)E_{\alpha, \beta}(z)$ can be deduced.

Theorem 11. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}$, $B > 0$, $\alpha, \beta, z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re(\beta) > 0$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and $B(B\delta + A) \geq 0$. Then,

$$\kappa_{\alpha, \beta, A, B}^*(\delta) \subset \kappa_{\alpha, \beta, A+1, B}^*(\delta).$$

Proof. Using (33) and Theorem 10, we get

$$\begin{aligned}f \in \kappa_{\alpha, \beta, A, B}(\delta) &\iff zf' \in S_{\alpha, \beta, A, B}^*(\delta) \\ &\implies zf' \in S_{\alpha, \beta, A+1, B}^*(\delta) \\ &\iff f \in \kappa_{\alpha, \beta, A+1, B}^*(\delta).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the proof of Theorem 11 is completed. \square

Theorem 12. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}$, $B > 0$, $\alpha, \beta, z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re(\beta) > 0$, $0 \leq \rho, \delta < 1$ and $(B\delta + A) \geq 0$. Then,

$$C_{\alpha, \beta, A, B}(\rho, \delta) \subset C_{\alpha, \beta, A+1, B}(\rho, \delta).$$

Proof. Let $f \in C_{\alpha, \beta, A, B}(\rho, \delta)$. Then, $\exists g \in S_{\alpha, \beta, A, B}^*(\delta)$ s.t.

$$\Re\left(\frac{z[\mathbb{H}_{\alpha, \beta, A, B}^{(\gamma)} f(z)]'}{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha, \beta, A, B}^{(\gamma)} g(z)}\right) > \rho. \quad (39)$$

Define a function $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1}{1-\rho} \left(\frac{z[\mathbb{H}_{\alpha, \beta, A+1, B}^{(\gamma)} f(z)]'}{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha, \beta, A+1, B}^{(\gamma)} g(z)} - \rho \right). \quad (40)$$

Then $\phi(0) = 1$ and ϕ is analytic in \mathbb{D} . Thus, by using (28), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z[\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)}f(z)]'}{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)}g(z)} &= \frac{[\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)}zf'(z)]}{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)}g(z)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{B}{A+B}z[\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A+1,B}^{(\gamma)}zf'(z)]' + \frac{A}{A+B}\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A+1,B}^{(\gamma)}zf'(z)}{\frac{B}{A+B}z[\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A+1,B}^{(\gamma)}g(z)]' + \frac{A}{A+B}\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A+1,B}^{(\gamma)}g(z)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{B}{A+B}\frac{z[\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A+1,B}^{(\gamma)}zf'(z)]}{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A+1,B}^{(\gamma)}g(z)} + \frac{A}{A+B}\frac{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A+1,B}^{(\gamma)}zf'(z)}{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A+1,B}^{(\gamma)}g(z)}}{\frac{B}{A+B}\frac{z[\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A+1,B}^{(\gamma)}g(z)]'}{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A+1,B}^{(\gamma)}g(z)} + \frac{A}{A+B}}. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Now, we consider a new function $q : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, defined by

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{1-\delta} \left(\frac{z[\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A+1,B}^{(\gamma)}g(z)]'}{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A+1,B}^{(\gamma)}g(z)} - \delta \right). \tag{42}$$

Since $g \in S_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^*(\delta)$, by Theorem 10, we have $g \in S_{\alpha,\beta,A+1,B}^*(\delta)$. Hence, $\Re\{q(z)\} > 0$ in \mathbb{D} . Then, by using (40) and (42) into (41), we have

$$\frac{z[\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)}f(z)]'}{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)}g(z)} = \frac{\frac{B}{A+B}\frac{z[\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A+1,B}^{(\gamma)}zf'(z)]'}{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A+1,B}^{(\gamma)}g(z)} + \frac{A}{A+B}[(1-\rho)\phi(z) + \rho]}{\frac{B}{A+B}[(1-\delta)q(z) + \delta] + \frac{A}{A+B}}. \tag{43}$$

With the help of (40), we obtain

$$\frac{z[\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A+1,B}^{(\gamma)}zf'(z)]'}{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A+1,B}^{(\gamma)}g(z)} = (1-\rho)z\phi'(z) + (1-\rho)[(1-\rho)\phi(z) + \rho][(1-\delta)q(z) + \delta]. \tag{44}$$

Using (44) in the Equation (40), we get

$$\frac{1}{1-\rho} \left(\frac{z[\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)}f(z)]'}{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^{(\gamma)}g(z)} - \rho \right) = \phi(z) + \frac{Bz\phi'(z)}{B(1-\delta)q(z) + B\delta + A}. \tag{45}$$

Assume that

$$w(z) = \frac{B}{B(1-\delta)q(z) + B\delta + A}, \tag{46}$$

which is analytic in \mathbb{D} . Therefore, using (39), we see that $\Re\{\phi(z) + w(z)z\phi'(z)\}$ is positive in \mathbb{D} .

Since $\Re\{q(z)\}$ is positive in \mathbb{D} , by using the inequality $(B\delta + A) > 0$, we observe that $\Re\{w(z)\}$ is positive in \mathbb{D} . Moreover, if we apply Lemma 9 with

$$g(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z},$$

we find that $\Re\{\phi(z)\}$ is positive in \mathbb{D} .

Finally, by using (40), we see that $f \in C_{\alpha,\beta,A+1,B}$, which completes the proof of Theorem 12. \square

Remark 10. Putting $A = \alpha$, $B = \beta$ and $\gamma = 1$ in (25) and using Theorem 12, the inclusion relation $C_{\alpha,\beta}^*(\rho, \delta) \subset C_{\alpha+1,\beta}^*(\rho, \delta)$ for a subclass associated with the normalized Mittag-Leffler function given by

$$\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = z\Gamma(\beta)E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$$

can be established.

Theorem 13. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}$, $B > 0$, $\alpha, \beta, z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re(\beta) > 0$, $0 \leq \rho, \delta < 1$ and $(B\delta + A) \geq 0$. Then,

$$C_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^*(\rho, \delta) \subset C_{\alpha,\beta,A+1,B}^*(\rho, \delta).$$

Proof. Using (32), (34), and Theorem 12, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f \in C_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}^*(\rho, \delta) &\iff zf' \in C_{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(\rho, \delta) \\ &\implies zf' \in C_{\alpha,\beta,A+1,B}(\rho, \delta) \\ &\iff f \in C_{\alpha,\beta,A+1,B}^*(\rho, \delta), \end{aligned}$$

which completes proof of Theorem 13. \square

6. Pre-Starlikeness and k -Uniform Convexity

In this section, we consider the class of pre-starlike functions, which was introduced by Ruscheweyh [60]. The class of pre-starlike functions of order μ is denoted by \mathcal{L}_μ and defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{A} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2-2\mu}} * f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mu) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}; 0 \leq \mu < 1) \right\}.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{C}$. An interesting generalization $\mathcal{L}[\rho, \mu]$ of the class \mathcal{L}_μ was considered in [61]. It is known that $f \in \mathcal{L}[\rho, \mu]$ if

$$f \in \mathcal{A} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2-2\rho}} * f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mu) \quad (0 \leq \rho < 1; 0 \leq \mu < 1).$$

Clearly, we have

$$\mathcal{L}[\mu, \mu] = \mathcal{L}_\mu.$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$, which is real on $(-1, 1)$, is said to be a typically real function, if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\Im(z)\Im(f(z)) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is called convex in the direction of the imaginary axis, if $f(\mathbb{D})$ is convex in the direction of the imaginary axis, that is,

$$\Re\{u_1\} = \Re\{u_2\} \quad (\forall u_1, u_2 \in f(\mathbb{D})).$$

It is seen from [62] that a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is convex in the direction of the imaginary axis with real coefficients if the function $zf'(z)$ is typically real. Equivalently, we have

$$\Re\{(1-z^2)f'(z)\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Let k -UCV and k -ST be the subclasses of the normalized univalent function class \mathcal{S} , which consist, respectively, of k -uniformly convex functions and k -starlike functions in \mathbb{D} . The classes k -UCV and k -ST were introduced and studied by Kanas et al. (see [63,64]; see also [65]) as follows:

$$k\text{-UCV} = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{S} \quad \text{and} \quad \Re\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > k \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}) \right\}$$

and

$$k\text{-ST} = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \Re \left(\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > k \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \ (z \in \mathbb{D}) \right\}.$$

We now discuss some theorems related to the classes k -UCV and k -ST.

Theorem 14. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{H}$. If

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{k+2}$$

for some k ($0 \leq k < \infty$), then $f \in k$ -UCV. The number $\frac{1}{k+2}$ cannot be increased.

Theorem 15. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{H}$. If

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n + k(n-1)]|a_n| > 1$$

for some k ($0 \leq k < \infty$), then $f \in k$ -ST.

Let us consider the following class:

$$\mathcal{R}_\eta(\delta) = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{H}, \Re \{ e^{i\eta}(f'(z) - \delta) \} > 0 \quad \left(z \in \mathbb{D}; \delta < 1; -\frac{\pi}{2} < \eta < \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right\}.$$

If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $\mathcal{R}_\eta(\delta)$, then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2(1-\delta) \cos \eta}{n} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}).$$

We now define a linear convolution operator, which is associated with the normalized Mittag-Leffler-type function $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)$, as follows:

$$J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \text{ such that}$$

$$[J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(f)](z) = \mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) * f(z) \quad (f \in \mathcal{H}).$$

Theorem 16. Let the parameters $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \gamma \geq 1$, and $k \in [0, \infty)$ be such that $f(z) \in \mathcal{R}_\eta(\delta)$. In addition, let the following conditions hold true:

$$(H_6) : \begin{cases} \text{(i)} & \frac{3}{\Gamma(3\alpha+\beta)} < \frac{1}{\Gamma(2\alpha+\beta)}; \\ \text{(ii)} & \frac{4}{[\Gamma(2\alpha+\beta)]^2} < \frac{3}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)\Gamma(3\alpha+\beta)}; \\ \text{(iii)} & 2(1-\delta) \cos \eta \left[\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)} + \frac{2(e-1)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(2\alpha+\beta)} \right]^\gamma \leq \frac{1}{k+2}. \end{cases}$$

Then, $[J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(f)](z) \in k$ -UCV.

Proof. We make use of Theorem 14 to prove the result asserted by Theorem 16. It is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) \left| \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha n - \alpha + \beta)} \right)^\gamma a_n \right| \leq \frac{1}{k+2}.$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{R}_\eta(\delta)$, we have

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2(1-\delta) \cos \eta}{n}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) \left| \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha n - \alpha + \beta)} \right)^{\gamma} a_n \right| \\
 & \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+2)(n+1) \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha n - \alpha + \beta)} \right)^{\gamma} \frac{2(1-\beta)\cos\eta}{n+2} \\
 & \quad \cdot 2(1-\beta)\cos\eta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(n+2) \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \alpha + \beta)} \right)^{\gamma} \frac{1}{n!} \\
 & \leq 2(1-\beta)\cos\eta \left(\Gamma(\beta) {}_1\Psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (2,1); \\ (\alpha+\beta,\alpha); \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] \right)^{\gamma} \quad (\forall z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{47}
 \end{aligned}$$

In this case, we have

$$\psi_0 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}, \quad \psi_1 = \frac{2}{\Gamma(2\alpha+\beta)} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2 = \frac{6}{\Gamma(3\alpha+\beta)}.$$

We observe that the parametric conditions in $(H_6) : (i)$ and $(H_6) : (ii)$ are equivalent to $\psi_2 < \psi_1$ and $\psi_1^2 < \psi_0\psi_2$. Therefore, by (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2(1-\beta)\cos\eta \left(\Gamma(\beta) {}_1\Psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (2,1); \\ (\alpha+\beta,\alpha); \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] \right)^{\gamma} \\
 & \leq 2(1-\beta)\cos\eta \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)} + \frac{2(e-1)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(2\alpha+\beta)} \right)^{\gamma} \leq \frac{1}{k+2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the required result is proved. \square

Theorem 17. Assume that $\alpha, \beta > 0, \gamma \geq 1$ and

$$(H_7) : \begin{cases} (i) & \frac{4-2\rho}{\Gamma(3\alpha+\beta)} < \frac{1}{\Gamma(2\alpha+\beta)}; \\ (ii) & \frac{3-2\rho}{[\Gamma(2\alpha+\beta)]^2} < \frac{4-2\rho}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)\Gamma(3\alpha+\beta)}; \\ (iii) & \frac{2-\mu}{2-2\rho} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(3-2\rho)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)} - \frac{(e-1)\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(4-2\rho)}{\Gamma(2\alpha+\beta)} \right)^{\gamma} < 1 - \mu. \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) \in \mathcal{L}[\rho, \mu]$ for $0 \leq \rho < 1$ and $0 \leq \mu < 1$.

Proof. Let the function g be given by

$$g(z) = \mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) * \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2-2\rho}} \quad (0 \leq \rho < 1).$$

To prove the result asserted by Theorem 17, it is sufficient to show that

$$\Re \left(\frac{z[g(z)]'}{g(z)} \right) > \mu \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Thus, clearly, its sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{z[g(z)]'}{g(z)} - 1 \right| = \frac{|[g(z)]' - \frac{g(z)}{z}|}{\left| \frac{g(z)}{z} \right|} < 1 - \mu,$$

by using the following convolution:

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha k - \alpha + \beta)} \right)^{\gamma} \frac{\Gamma(1 - 2\rho + k)}{\Gamma(2 - 2\rho)(k - 1)!} z^k.$$

A simple computation leads to

$$\begin{aligned} [g(z)]' - \frac{g(z)}{z} &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k - 1) \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha k - \alpha + \beta)} \right)^{\gamma} \frac{\Gamma(1 - 2\rho + k)}{\Gamma(2 - 2\rho)(k - 1)!} z^{k-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha k - \alpha + \beta)} \right)^{\gamma} \frac{\Gamma(1 - 2\rho + k)}{\Gamma(2 - 2\rho)(k - 2)!} z^{k-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \alpha + \beta)} \right)^{\gamma} \frac{\Gamma(3 - 2\rho + k)}{\Gamma(2 - 2\rho)(k)!} z^{k+1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(2 - 2\rho)} \left(\Gamma(\beta) {}_1\Psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (3 - 2\rho, 1); \\ (\alpha + \beta, \alpha); \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] \right)^{\gamma} \quad (\forall z \in \mathbb{D}). \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

In this case, we have

$$\psi_0 = \frac{3 - 2\rho}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}, \quad \psi_1 = \frac{4 - 2\rho}{\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2 = \frac{5 - 2\rho}{\Gamma(3\alpha + \beta)}.$$

We observe that the parametric conditions in (H₇) : (i) and (H₇) : (ii) are equivalent to $\psi_2 < \psi_1$ and $\psi_1^2 < \psi_0\psi_2$. Therefore, by means of (5), we have

$${}_1\Psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (3 - 2\rho, 1); \\ (\alpha + \beta, \alpha); \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] \leq \frac{\Gamma(3 - 2\rho)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} - \frac{(1 - e)\Gamma(4 - 2\rho)}{\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)}. \tag{49}$$

Now, using the following inequality:

$$|z_1 + z_2| \geq ||z_1| - |z_2||,$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{g(z)}{z} \right| &\geq 1 - \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha k - \alpha + \beta)} \right)^{\gamma} \frac{\Gamma(1 - 2\rho + k)}{\Gamma(2 - 2\rho)(k - 1)!} z^{k-1} \right| \\ &= 1 - \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \alpha + \beta)} \right)^{\gamma} \frac{\Gamma(3 - 2\rho + k)}{\Gamma(2 - 2\rho)(k + 1)k!} z^{k+1} \right| \\ &> 1 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(2 - 2\rho)} \left(\Gamma(\beta) {}_1\Psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (3 - 2\rho, 1); \\ (\alpha + \beta, \alpha); \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] \right)^{\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by applying the inequality (5), we have

$${}_1\Psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (3 - 2\rho, 1); \\ (\alpha + \beta, \alpha); \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] \leq \frac{\Gamma(3 - 2\rho)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} - \frac{(1 - e)\Gamma(4 - 2\rho)}{\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)},$$

where

$$\frac{4 - 2\rho}{\Gamma(3\alpha + \beta)} < \frac{1}{\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)}$$

and

$$\frac{3-2\rho}{[\Gamma(2\alpha+\beta)]^2} < \frac{4-2\rho}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)\Gamma(3\alpha+\beta)}.$$

Therefore, we get

$$\left| \frac{g(z)}{z} \right| \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2-2\rho} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(3-2\rho)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)} - \frac{(1-e)\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(4-2\rho)}{\Gamma(2\alpha+\beta)} \right)^\gamma > 0 \quad (\forall z \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (50)$$

Finally, by using (48)–(50) and (H₇) : (iii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{z[g(z)]'}{g(z)} - 1 \right| &= \frac{\left| [g(z)]' - \frac{g(z)}{z} \right|}{\left| \frac{g(z)}{z} \right|} \\ &< 1 - \mu, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 17. \square

Remark 11. Upon setting $\rho = \mu$ in Theorem 17, it can be proved that $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) \in \mathcal{L}[\mu]$, that is, the function $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)$ is pre-starlike of order μ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

Remark 12. If we set $\rho = \mu = 0$ in Theorem 17, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) * \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} \in \mathcal{S}^*.$$

It is clear that $z(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z))' \in \mathcal{S}^*$, which yields $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z) \in \mathcal{C}$; that is, $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\gamma)}(z)$ is a convex function.

7. Concluding Remarks and Observations

In our present investigation, we have established some sufficient conditions so that a class of Mittag–Leffler-type functions satisfies several geometric properties such as starlikeness, convexity, close-to-convexity, and uniform convexity inside the unit disk \mathbb{D} . For each of these functions, we also discuss pre-starlikeness and k -uniform convexity. Moreover, some sufficient conditions are derived so that these functions belong to the Hardy spaces \mathcal{H}^p and \mathcal{H}^∞ . Moreover, we have derived the inclusion properties of the modified Mittag–Leffler-type functions. The various results, which we have established in this paper, are believed to be new, and their importance is illustrated by several interesting consequences and examples.

Several potential directions for further research on the subject of the present investigation can be based analogously upon Wright’s general Mittag–Leffler-type function $\mathfrak{E}_{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi; z)$, defined by (6), and Srivastava’s unification $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi; z, s, \kappa)$ of the Mittag–Leffler-type functions as well as such important functions of Analytic Number Theory as the Hurwitz-Lerch-type functions, which are defined by (7). Yet another novel direction of research can possibly be motivated by some of the related developments on Analytic Function Theory of Complex Analysis, which are presented in the monograph by Alpay [66].

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, H.M.S., A.K., S.D., K.M.; methodology, H.M.S., A.K., S.D.; software, H.M.S., K.M.; validation, H.M.S., A.K., S.D.; formal analysis, H.M.S., S.D., K.M.; investigation, A.K., S.D., K.M.; resources, H.M.S., K.M.; data curation, H.M.S., K.M.; writing-original draft preparation, A.K., S.D.; writing-review and editing, H.M.S., S.D., K.M.; visualization, S.D.; supervision, H.M.S., K.M. All authors have read and agreed to the final version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: This study did not report any data.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Duren, P.L. *Univalent Functions*; Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany; New York, NY, USA, 1983; Volume 259.
2. Rønning, F. Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1993**, *118*, 189–196. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Goodman, A.W. On uniformly starlike functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **1991**, *155*, 364–370. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Goodman, A.W. On uniformly convex functions. *Ann. Polon. Math.* **1991**, *56*, 87–92. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. MacGregor, T.H. The radius of univalence of certain analytic functions. II. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1963**, *14*, 521–524. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. MacGregor, T.H. A class of univalent functions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1964**, *15*, 311–317. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Mehrez, K. Some geometric properties of a class of functions related to the Fox-Wright functions. *Banach J. Math. Anal.* **2020**, *14*, 1222–1240. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Swaminathan, A. Inclusion theorems of convolution operators associated with normalized hypergeometric functions. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **2006**, *197*, 15–28. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Mehrez, K.; Das, S.; Kumar, A. Geometric properties of the products of modified Bessel functions of the first kind. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **2021**, *44*, 2715–2733. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Das, S.; Mehrez, K. Geometric properties of the four parameters Wright function. *J. Contemp. Math. Anal.* **2022**, *57*, 43–58.
11. Srivastava, H.M.; El-Deeb, S.M. Fuzzy differential subordinations based upon the Mittag–Leffler type Borel distribution. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 1023. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Mittag–Leffler, G.M. Sur la nouvelle fonction $E_\alpha(x)$. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **1903**, *137*, 554–558.
13. Wiman, A. Über den Fundamentalsatz in der Theorie der Functionen $E_\alpha(x)$. *Acta Math.* **1905**, *29*, 191–201. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Wiman, A. Über die Nullstellen der Functionen $E_\alpha(x)$. *Acta Math.* **1905**, *29*, 217–234. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Fox, C. The asymptotic expansion of generalized hypergeometric functions. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (Ser. 2)* **1928**, *27*, 389–400. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Wright, E.M. The asymptotic expansion of the generalized hypergeometric function. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **1935**, *10*, 286–293. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Wright, E.M. The asymptotic expansion of the generalized hypergeometric function. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (Ser. 2)* **1940**, *46*, 389–408. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Srivastava, H.M. Charles Fox. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **1980**, *12*, 67–70. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Al-Bassam, M.A.; Luchko, Y.F. On generalized fractional calculus and its application to the solution of integro-differential equations. *J. Fract. Calc. Appl.* **1995**, *7*, 69–88.
20. Wright, E.M. The asymptotic expansion of the generalized Bessel function. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (Ser. 2)* **1935**, *38*, 257–270. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Pogány, T.K.; Srivastava, H.M. Some Mathieu-type series associated with the Fox-Wright function. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **2009**, *57*, 127–140. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Wright, E.M. The asymptotic expansion of integral functions defined by Taylor series. I. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Sci.* **1940**, *238*, 423–451.
23. Srivastava, H.M. An introductory overview of fractional-calculus operators based upon the Fox-Wright and related higher transcendental functions. *J. Adv. Engrg. Comput.* **2021**, *5*, 135–166.
24. Srivastava, H.M. Some parametric and argument variations of the operators of fractional calculus and related special functions and integral transformations. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **2021**, *22*, 1501–1520.
25. Srivastava, H.M. A survey of some recent developments on higher transcendental functions of analytic number theory and applied mathematics. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 2294. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Prabhakar, T.R. A singular integral equation with a generalized Mittag Leffler function in the kernel. *Yokohama Math. J.* **1971**, *19*, 7–15.
27. Garra, R.; Garrappa, R. The Prabhakar or three parameter Mittag–Leffler function: Theory and application. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **2018**, *56*, 314–329. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Gorenflo, G.; Mainardi, F.; Srivastava, H.M. Special functions in fractional relaxation-oscillation and fractional diffusion-wave phenomena. In Proceedings of the Eighth International Colloquium on Differential Equations, Plovdiv, Bulgaria, 18–23 August 1997; Bainov, D., Ed.; VSP Publishers: Utrecht, The Netherlands; Tokyo, Japan, 1998; pp. 195–202.
29. Srivastava, H.M. Some families of Mittag–Leffler type functions and associated operators of fractional calculus. *TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math.* **2016**, *7*, 123–145.
30. Tomovski, Ž.; Hilfer, R.; Srivastava, H.M. Fractional and operational calculus with generalized fractional derivative operators and Mittag–Leffler type functions. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **2010**, *21*, 797–814. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Gerhold, S. Asymptotics for a variant of the Mittag–Leffler function. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **2012**, *23*, 397–403. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Garra, R.; Polito, F. On some operators involving Hadamard derivatives. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **2013**, *24*, 773–782. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Le Roy, É. Valeurs asymptotiques de certaines séries procédant suivant les puissances entièreset positives d’une variable réelle. *Bull. Sci. Math.* **1900**, *24*, 245–268.
34. Conway, R.W.; Maxwell, W.L. A queuing model with state dependent service rates. *J. Industr. Engrg.* **1962**, *12*, 132–136.

35. Mocanu, P.T. Some starlike conditions for analytic functions. *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl.* **1988**, *33*, 117–124.
36. Ravichandran, V. On uniformly convex functions. *Ganita* **2002**, *53*, 117–124.
37. Ozaki, S. On the theory of multivalent functions. II. *Sci. Rep. Tokyo Bunrika Daigaku Sect. A* **1941**, *4*, 45–87.
38. Ozaki, S. On the theory of multivalent functions. *Sci. Rep. Tokyo Bunrika Daigaku Sect. A* **1935**, *2*, 167–188.
39. Abramowitz, M.; Stegun, I.A. *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*; Applied Mathematics Series, No. 55; National Bureau of Standards: Washington, DC, USA, 1972; Dover Publications Incorporated: New York, NY, USA, 1992; Reprinted.
40. Miller, S.S.; Mocanu, P.T. Second-order differential inequalities in the complex plane. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **1978**, *65*, 289–305. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Eenigenburg, P.; Miller, S.S.; Mocanu, P.T.; Reade, M.O. On a Briot-Bouquet differential subordination. *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl.* **1984**, *29*, 567–573.
42. Bansal, D.; Prajapat, J.K. Certain geometric properties of the Mittag–Leffler functions. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **2016**, *61*, 338–350. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Srivastava, H.M.; Murugusundaramoorthy, G.; El-Deeb, S.M. Faber polynomial coefficient estimates of bi-close-to-convex functions connected with the Borel distribution of the Mittag–Leffler type. *J. Nonlinear Var. Anal.* **2021**, *5*, 103–118.
44. Noreen, S.; Raza, M.; Liu, J.-L.; Arif, M. Geometric properties of normalized Mittag–Leffler functions. *Symmetry* **2019**, *11*, 45. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Noreen, S.; Raza, M.; Din, M.U.; Hussain, S. On certain geometric properties of normalized Mittag–Leffler functions. *UPB Sci. Bull. Ser. A Appl. Math. Phys.* **2019**, *81*, 167–174.
46. Duren, P.L. *Theory of H^p Space*; Series of Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics; Academic Press: New York, NY, USA; London, UK, 1970; Volume 38.
47. Komatu, Y. On a one-parameter additive family of operators defined on analytic functions regular in the unit disk. *Bull. Fac. Sci. Engrg. Chuo Univ. Ser. I Math.* **1979**, *22*, 1–22.
48. Pohlen, T. The Hadamard Product and Universal Power Series. Doctoral Dissertation, Universität Trier, Trier, Germany, 2009.
49. Noreen, S.; Raza, M.; Malik, S.N. Certain geometric properties of Mittag–Leffler functions. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2019**, *2019*, 94. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Eenigenburg, P.J.; Keogh, F.R. The Hardy class of some univalent functions and their derivatives. *Michigan Math. J.* **1970**, *17*, 335–346. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. MacGregor, T.H. Functions whose derivative has a positive real part. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **1962**, *104*, 532–537. [[CrossRef](#)]
52. Prajapat, J.K.; Maharana, S.; Bansal, D. Radius of starlikeness and Hardy space of Mittag–Leffler functions. *Filomat* **2018**, *32*, 6475–6486. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Kiryakova, V. *Generalized Fractional Calculus and Applications*; Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; Co-published in the United States with John Wiley & Sons: New York, NY, USA; Chichester, UK; Brisbane, Australia; Toronto, ON, Canada, 1994.
54. Kilbas, A.A.; Srivastava, H.M.; Trujillo, J.J. *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*; North-Holland Mathematical Studies; Elsevier (North-Holland) Science Publishers: Amsterdam, The Netherlands; London, UK; New York, NY, USA, 2006; Volume 204.
55. Kochubei, A.N.; Luchko, Y. *Handbook of Fractional Calculus with Applications*, 1st ed.; Basic Theory; De Gruyter: Berlin, Germany, 2019.
56. Kochubei, A.N.; Luchko, Y. *Handbook of Fractional Calculus with Applications*, 2nd ed.; Fractional Differential Equations; De Gruyter: Berlin, Germany, 2019.
57. Gorenflo, R.; Kilbas, A.A.; Mainardi, F.; Rogosin, S.V. *Mittag–Leffler Functions, Related Topics and Applications*; Springer Monographs in Mathematics; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany; New York, NY, USA, 2014.
58. Gorenflo, R.; Kilbas, A.A.; Mainardi, F.; Rogosin, S.V. *Mittag–Leffler Functions, Related Topics and Applications*, 2nd ed.; Springer Monographs in Mathematics; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany; New York, NY, USA, 2020.
59. Rogosin, S.V. The role of the Mittag–Leffler function in fractional modeling. *Mathematics* **2015**, *3*, 368–381. [[CrossRef](#)]
60. Ruscheweyh, S. *Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory*; Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures; Presses de l’Université de Montréal: Montreal, QC, Canada, 1982; Volume 83.
61. Sheil-Small, T.; Silverman, H.; Silvia, E.M. Convolution multipliers and starlike functions. *J. Anal. Math.* **1982**, *41*, 181–192. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Robertson, M.S. On the theory of univalent functions. *Ann. Math. (Ser. 2)* **1936**, *37*, 374–408. [[CrossRef](#)]
63. Kanas, S.; Wiśniowska, A. Conic regions and k -uniform convexity. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **1999**, *105*, 327–336. [[CrossRef](#)]
64. Kanas, S.; Wiśniowska, A. Conic regions and k -starlike functions. *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl.* **2000**, *45*, 647–657.
65. Kanas, S.; Srivastava, H.M. Linear operators associated with k -uniformly convex functions. *Integral Transform. Spec. Funct.* **2000**, *9*, 121–132. [[CrossRef](#)]
66. Alpay, D. *An Advanced Complex Analysis Problem Book: Topological Vector Spaces, Functional Analysis, and Hilbert Spaces of Analytic Functions*; Birkhäuser/Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2015.